

3200 Devine Street, Suite 103 Columbia, South Carolina 29205 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

November 19, 2024

2024 SC Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Kathryn Bostrom

1		* * * *
2		JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
3		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
4		* * * *
5		
6	BEFORE:	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
7		REPRESENTATIVE "MICAH" CASKEY, IV, VICE CHAIRMAN
8		SENATOR BILLY GARRETT
9		REPRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN, JR.
10		REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
11		HOPE BLACKLEY
12		LUCY GREY MCIVER
13		ANDREW N. SAFRAN
14		J.P. PETE STROM
15		ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
16		* * * *
17		
18	DATE:	Tuesday, November 19, 2024
19	TIME:	9:30 a.m.
20	LOCATION:	Gressette Building, Room 105
21		1101 Pendleton Street
22		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
23		
24	REPORTED B	Y: Kathryn B. Bostrom, Court Reporter
25		

1	CONTENTS:
2	(Hearings)
3	PAGE
4	Exhibit Index
5	Ashley A. McMahan
6	Examination by Ms. Trask
7	Examination by Mr. Safran
8	Examination by Ms. McIver
9	Examination by Senator Sabb
10	Examination by Ms. Blackley
11	Examination by Chairman Rankin
12	Christopher Dolan Taylor
13	Examination by Ms. Webb
14	Examination by Representative Rutherford 41
15	Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey 42
16	Examination by Mr. Safran 46
17	Examination by Senator Sabb 50
18	Examination by Senator Garrett
19	Examination by Representative Jordan
20	Justin T. Williams
21	Examination by Ms. Crawford 66
22	Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey 90
23	Examination by Senator Garrett
24	Examination by Mr. Safran
25	Examination by Representative Jordan

1	Examination by Ms. Blackley
2	William K. Witherspoon
3	Examination by Ms. Wilkinson
4	Examination by Representative Jordan
5	The Honorable David Shawn Graham
6	Examination by Mr. Walpole
7	Examination by Senator Sabb
8	Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey
9	Examination by Senator Garrett
10	Examination by Mr. Strom
11	Examination by Chairman Rankin
12	The Honorable Kyliene Lee Keesley
13	Examination by Ms. Benson
14	Examination by Chairman Rankin
15	Examination by Representative Caskey 189
16	Examination by Mr. Safran 191
17	Derrick E. Mobley
18	Examination by Ms. Foster 201
19	Christian Giresi Spradley
20	Complainant: Mr. Ralph Kennedy
21	Examination by Ms. Webb
22	Examination by Chairman Rankin
23	Examination by Representative Caskey
24	Questioning of Mr. Spradley
25	Examination by Ms. Webb

1	Examination by Senator Sabb
2	Examination by Ms. Webb
3	Examination by Chairman Rankin
4	Examination by Mr. Safran 241
5	Examination by Ms. McIver 247
6	Examination by Mr. Strom
7	Certification of Reporter
8	Word Index
9	
10	EXHIBITS
11	
12	Exhibit No. 1 (20 Pages) 7
13	(PDQ - Ashley A. McMahan)
14	Exhibit No. 2 (1 Pages) 8
15	(Amendment to PDQ - Ashley A. McMahan)
16	Exhibit No. 3 (7 Pages) 8
17	(Sworn Statement - Ashley A. McMahan)
18	Exhibit No. 4 (21 Pages)
19	(PDQ - Christopher Dolan Taylor)
20	Exhibit No. 5 (7 Pages)
21	(Sworn Statement - Christopher Dolan Taylor)
22	Exhibit No. 6 (16 Pages)
23	(PDQ - Justin T. Williams)
24	Exhibit No. 7 (8 Pages) 63
25	(Amendment - Justin T. Williams)

1	Exhibit No.	8 (19 Pages) 64
2		(Sworn Statement - Justin T. Williams)
3	Exhibit No.	9 (19 Pages)
4		(Letter with attachments - Justin T.
5	Williams	
6	Exhibit No.	10 (17 Pages)
7		(PDQ - William K. Witherspoon)
8	Exhibit No.	11 (1 Pages)
9		(Amendment - William K. Witherspoon)
10	Exhibit No.	12 (6 Pages)
11		(Sworn Statement - William K. Witherspoon)
12	Exhibit No.	16 (16 Pages)
13		(PDQ - The Honorable David Shawn Graham)
14	Exhibit No.	17 (8 Pages)
15		(Sworn Statement - The Honorable David Shawn
16		Graham)
17	Exhibit No.	18 (16 Pages)
18		(PDQ - The Honorable Kyliene Lee Keesley)
19	Exhibit No.	19 (8 Pages)
20		(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Kyliene Lee
21		Keesley)
22	Exhibit No.	20 (16 Pages)
23		(PDQ - Derrick E. Mobley)
24	Exhibit No.	21 (8 Pages)
25		(Sworn Statement - Derrick E. Mobley)

i	
1	Exhibit No. 22 (19 Pages) 198
2	(Amendment - Derrick E. Mobley)
3	Exhibit No. 23 (16 Pages) 213
4	(PDQ - Christian Giresi Spradley)
5	Exhibit No. 24 (8 Pages) 213
6	(Sworn Statement - Christian Giresi Spradley)
7	Exhibit No. 25 (125 Pages) 216
8	(Complaint - Christian Giresi Spradley)
9	Exhibit No. 26 (101 Pages) 226
10	(Response - Christian Giresi Spradley)
11	
12	Court Reporter's Legend:
13	dashes [] Intentional or purposeful]
14	interruption
15	[ph] Denotes phonetically written
16	[sic] Written as said
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are on the record and on motion
3	of Mr. Safran, seconded by Ms. McIver, we are
4	going to go into Executive Session.
5	(Executive Session was held from 9:30 to 10:25 am)
6	(Off the Record)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record. During
8	Executive Session, no votes were taken, no
9	decisions were made. We will proceed now to the
10	first candidate of the morning. Good morning.
11	MS. MCMAHAN: Morning.
12	WHEREUPON:
13	ASHLEY ANN MCMAHAN, being duly sworn and
14	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
15	and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
16	
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And if you will, Ms.
18	McMahan, state your name for the record.
19	MS. MCMAHAN: Ashley Ann McMahan.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have a PDQ and a
21	sworn statement. Are those ready to be entered
22	into the record?
23	MS. MCMAHAN: I believe they are.
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 MARKED FOR
25	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)

1	PDQ)
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 MARKED FOR
3	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
4	Amendment)
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
7	Sworn Statement)
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. They will go in
9	the record without objection. Ms. McMahan, as
10	you know, our focus here is, in terms of
11	screening our candidates, we focus on the nine
12	evaluative criteria, which include the ballot
13	box survey, thorough review of your application
14	materials, verification of your compliance with
15	the state ethic laws, search of newspaper
16	articles in which your name appears, study of
17	previous screenings, and a check for economic
18	conflicts of interest. No affidavits or
19	complaints have been filed in opposition to you.
20	You have brought someone with you. I'd invite
21	you to introduce them, if you'd like to.
22	MS. MCMAHAN: This is my sister, Kerri Flowers.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you.
24	MS. MCMAHAN: And it's K-e-r-r-i. Last year it was
25	spelled K-a-r-e-y on the record. So I just want

1	to make sure it's fixed.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sis, she's got your back.
3	MS. MCMAHAN: Yeah.
4	MS. FLOWERS: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And welcome back. You,
6	again, know how this works. You have the
7	opportunity to make a statement, if you would
8	like, an opening statement. Otherwise, we'll
9	turn it over to counsel for your initial line of
10	questions, and then open it to the Commission.
11	MS. MCMAHAN: Just, you know, again, want to thank
12	everybody for being here and taking this time
13	because I know you're in for a marathon over the
14	next couple of weeks, and I appreciate it.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And your mic, is it on?
16	MS. MCMAHAN: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Very good. And you all
18	were dutifully here early, and my apologies for
19	the delay in getting started. And now, with
20	that, Ms. Trask, turn it over to you.
21	MS. TRASK: I note for the record that, based on the
22	testimony contained in the candidates PDQ which
23	has been included in the record with the
24	candidate's consent, Ashley A. McMahan meets the
25	constitutional and statutory requirements for

1 this position regarding age, residence, and 2 years of practice. 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. TRASK: 4 5 Q. Ms. McMahan, why do you want to be a circuit court 6 judge? 7 I've wanted to be a circuit court judge ever since I Α. 8 sat with a circuit judge as a law clerk with Judge 9 Newman, Clifton Newman. And that was my first sort 10 of real exposure to the courtroom. And ever since 11 then, I've always wanted to do it, but I dedicate a 12 good chunk of my time also to public service. 13 would also like to continue in that capacity as well. 14 Ms. McMahan, how do you feel your legal and Q. 15 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective 16 17 circuit court judge? 18 Well, I was sort of writing down some of the things Α. 19 that I've done over the past 20 years because, 20 believe it or not, as of last week, it was 20 years 21 that I've been practicing law, and time flies. And so, you know, a couple things popped up. You know, I 22 23 do a fair amount of criminal law. I've tried 30 24 juries -- 30 trials to verdict. That's just the ones 25 that went to verdict. I didn't -- not the ones that

1 pled out. I've probably done close to 600 bench 2 trials, appeals. I've been in family court. I go to 3 probate court. I got to Federal court. 4 handful of magistrate's civil and criminal issues. 5 You know, I do other civil cases like personal 6 injury, declaratory judgements, breaches of contract, 7 that kind of thing. I've even done some Workers' 8 So I feel like kind of based on everything 9 I've done and everything I've handled that I've 10 gotten a good, you know, well-rounded view of, you 11 know, what the legal system holds and the cases that 12 are being held in circuit court, and I think all of 13 that, you know, with that experience, that would 14 allow me to be an effective circuit court judge. 15 Ms. McMahan, the Commission received 251 ballot box Q. 16 surveys regarding you with 41 additional comments. 17 The ballot box survey, for example, contained the 18 following positive comments: She's very professional 19 and extremely knowledgeable, has a tremendous amount 20 of experience, both criminal and civil, would be a 21 wonderful asset to the judiciary. Eight of the 22 written comments express concerns. The main area of 23 concern was regarding judicial temperament and

demeanor. What response would you like to offer to

24

25

these concerns?

1	Α.	As to demeanor, I will readily admit and I did
2		last year that when I was in my 20s, I was a bit
3		of a go-getter as I think a lot of brand new
4		attorneys are. Thankfully, with age, that has
5		diminished greatly. As for professionalism, I have -
6		- I strive every day to be professional and
7		respectful to all parties in cases, especially cases
8		where I might have some pro se people on the other
9		side. I think maintaining composure and having a
10		respectful tone, especially while you're in the
11		courtroom, is essential. And as an advocate for my
12		client, I also think that's essential. You know
13		you know, running around the courtroom getting real
14		angry generally doesn't help your position too much.
15		But my goal in all of this is to be calm and
16		courteous to everybody involved. There are stressful
17		situations, obviously, and I am hired out to
18		represent my clients to the best of my ability; and
19		so there are times where, you know, my position and
20		the way I'm taking my position someone may find
21		disagreeable, but ultimately, you know, if I'm there
22		and I'm hired to represent someone and be an advocate
23		for them, that's my ultimate goal in court.
24		MS. TRASK: I would note that the Midlands Citizens
25		Committee reported that Ms. McMahan was found

1 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 2 constitutional qualifications, ethical fitness, 3 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, physical health, mental stability, 4 5 experience, and judicial temperament. 6 Committee comment was: Committee concerned 7 about her courtroom demeanor if elected. 8 I have a few housekeeping issues. Q. 9 Α. Sure. 10 Ms. McMahan, are you aware that, as a judicial Q. 11 candidate, you are bound by the Code of Judicial 12 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina 13 Appellate Court rules? 14 I am. Α. 15 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 16 contacted any members of the Commission about your 17 candidacy? 18 Α. I have not. 19 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. sought or received a pledge of any legislator, either 20 21 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 22 screening? 23 Α. I have not.

limitations on contacting members of the General

Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the

24

25

Q.

1 Assembly regarding your screening? 2 Yes. Α. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 4 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 6 process on your behalf? 7 I have not, and I'm not aware that anybody has. Α. 8 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 9 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina 10 Code Section 2-19-70 (E)? 11 Α. I'm aware. 12 MS. TRASK: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record 13 that any concerns raised today during the 14 investigation by staff regarding the candidate 15 were incorporated into the questioning of the 16 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 17 further questions. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Mr. Safran. 19 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. SAFRAN: 22 0. Ma'am. 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Third time I think I've seen you. Appreciate the Q. 25 fact that you've offered again. Let me just ask a

1 couple of things. I get and agree with you completely that during the course of representation, 2 3 we sometimes behave in ways that we may think are 4 fine that the other side is gonna potentially take 5 offense to because we're advocating positions that 6 are contrary. I get that. I do it all the time. 7 But my question is: Why do you think this Citizen's 8 Committee would have had that little caveat there 9 about concerns because I don't believe they have 10 access to these ballot boxes. So they don't have a 11 chance to see it. It's not like they're predisposed 12 because of having looked at them. So ---13 Α. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I actually was wondering that myself because I've -that's never been anything that's been noted to me
through the Citizen's Committee, and most of the
lawyers on the Citizen's Committee I've never
actually been in court with. So I'm not sure -- to
answer your question, I'm not sure, but as I've noted
before, you know, in my 20s, yes, I did -- I did -- I
was a go-getter with a little bit of a chip on my
shoulder, but as I have aged, that has diminished
greatly. And you know, as you're working through
life and you're working through becoming a lawyer,
you know, you learn a lot of things about people and
then you learn there's things that you can and can't

1 control. And so, you know, later -- mid 20s I 2 learned, Hey, you know what, I can't control 3 everybody, but I can control the way that I respond 4 to people. And so I've just taken that stance since 5 then and tried to move forward, and try to be as 6 polite and as courteous as possible. You know, I'm 7 just like everybody. There's been times where that's 8 been tested, but I do try do my best.

- 9 Q. No. And I mean, you can understand the concern ---
- 10 A. I -- I don't actually understand the concern.
- 11 Q. Well, I'm not talking about you, but I'm talking
 12 about generically.
- 13 A. Oh. Absolutely because ---
- 14 | Q. I mean --

21

22

23

24

- A. --- you'll have -- you know, you'll have pro se

 people in there that want to know that they're being

 respected by the judge just as much as the attorneys

 or any other party in there, and they want to be

 heard. So absolutely, demeanor is incredibly

 important.
 - Q. Well, and -- and from this side, basically what we see and have seen, at least the time I've been here, is that there are a number of times where maybe a small percentage, but there's still some recognition from some element of the Bar that people, you know,

can have a little difficulty and develop what sometimes we used to refer to as "robe-itis." That, you know, people can be sitting on the bench and become condescending and maybe sometimes reaches an extreme where they're even fearful of being in front of them, and obviously nobody wants that. Flip side of this, we just had somebody here yesterday, who was a little bit more of a contemporary of mine, who remembers when maybe people weren't quite as sensitive to the fact that a judge might get a little out of sorts with them. It's part of the deal. So I mean, you understand that in today's times, particularly the concern about putting somebody on the bench, particularly somebody young like you are, is that if it doesn't work out, you're looking at somebody for decades basically that may have some real issues. I mean -- so you understand why we have a question about that, I assume.

- 19 A. Oh, absolutely, and I also appreciate you calling me 20 young, even though I don't feel it.
- 21 Q. You got 20 and I got 40 ---
- 22 | A. Well --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 23 Q. --- so you're young to me.
- A. Yeah. So I do appreciate it, but I absolutely do understand that, and you know, there's times where

I've been on the receiving end of, you know, a very 1 2 angry judge, and you know, there's times where I've 3 been confused as to why, you know -- you know, what's 4 going on, but you know, that's again where you just 5 kind of be as polite and as courteous as possible. 6 You accept, you know, what the judge is telling you, 7 and you move on. Do I want to emulate those people? 8 Absolutely not. In fact, my goal -- like, as sitting 9 with Judge Newman, to me he was probably one of the -10 - the best judges out there in terms of demeanor to 11 get to learn from and be in front of. 12 MR. SAFRAN: All right. Well, appreciate your 13 responses. Thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 Ms. McIver. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chair. MS. MCIVER: 16 EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER: 17 18 Ms. McMahan, you and I were involved with the South Q. 19 Carolina Leadership Academy, and that was back when 20 we both baby lawyers, and I will attest to the fact 21 that Ms. McMahan was, from a very young lawyer stage, 22 assertive, direct, not warm and fuzzy in a way that I 23 saw anyway, but what I remember and what I have seen

through her letters as well as her experiences is

that she has taken her passion for the law and

24

1 commitment to her career and has been involved in the 2 community, has been a forceful advocate as a 3 She's got letters here. One is from a solicitor. 4 defense lawyer that talks about how respectful she is 5 of his position, even though they've been adverse to 6 one another, as well as what she had done to assist 7 in getting his wife a green card. So, I mean, there 8 are all kind of examples that we can see in here, and 9 I can understand how you would get the reputation of 10 being abrupt or abrasive, but I don't think that that 11 means that she's disrespectful or unfit. And like I 12 said, she's got good experience, she's been involved 13 in the community, and -- and being a forceful 14 advocate day in and day out in the courtroom, it 15 means aggressive type battles requires a bit of being 16 tough. And so I just wanted to point that out 17 because I do have experience -- some experience with 18 Ms. McMahan, and also offer you an opportunity, if 19 you would like, to address some of the things that 20 you've been doing outside of the courtroom. 21 So some of the things I've done outside the Α. Sure. 22 courtroom -- and it's still -- some of these are 23 still law adjacent. I do a lot of -- I get invited a 24 lot to speak on different matters in front of

different groups. Mostly low bono/pro bono groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I always take up the opportunity if I can. taken on, you know, low bono/pro bono immigration matters to assist people. I've, like, taught people about certain -- like, how to -- birth certificate amendments and all that kind of stuff. I actually get a lot of clients where they come in and they don't necessarily have a birth certificate and can't access one because they don't have ID. So in that situation they have to hire a lawyer. I usually do that for almost nothing just to help these people out, especially when I'm talking to them I can tell -- you know, I've had some 78, 80 year-old-people that I can tell just really desperately need someone to go get this birth certificate for them. Outside of that, I do a lot of volunteer work for a lot of, you know, animal rescues around town. I used to volunteer more with -- believe it or not, back in the day, the South Carolina State Legislature, among other things. I was in the Junior League for awhile. I started a -- all sorts of committees within the Junior League before I, you know, sort of aged out of Any time there is, you know, something to step up and work on, I -- you know, I've done it. also -- prosecution -- I was invited to speak at the Criminal Law Update this year, and back in the day --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for those of us who practice criminal law -- we had these cool little charts that would tell you the drug and it would tell you the CDR code and what the range Well, I noticed the last one was pretty out of date. So I -- and I knew they were created out of Charleston. So I called my friends in Charleston and I was like, Hey, do you have a new one because this would be great. And they're like, We don't. took hours and hours out of my time and recreated a whole new drug chart for everybody to use, and I gave it out at the Criminal Law thing. And so I go out of my way to try to help people, and other lawyers too, if I have information that I think would be helpful to them and I direct it that way. And so I've never -- you know, I never kept it to try to sell it myself or anything like that, and even when I wrote the PCR manual, I donated almost all of the income from that to the -- where we pay our IOLTA money to. Olivia -the Bar Foundation. I donated most of that to the Bar Foundation, and I do, like, step in and I help Legal Services quite a bit. So you know, not just that, you know. Kerri and I's mom is -- our mom's from England. So I have volunteered with, you know, the Daughters of the British Empire in different things to help them. So whenever there's a place I

can step in and I feel like I can help and utilize in an area, I do take the time to do that.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Senator Sabb.

SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. SABB:

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Ms. Ashley, good to see you.
- 8 A. You too.
 - And of course, I'm kinda like you. I -- I don't know Q. where the years went either. It seems like yesterday when you were Judge Newman's law clerk, and of course, I've tried a number of cases in front of you all and I know how heavily he used to rely on your research skills and all of that. So when I read your ballot box surveys and folks talking about how smart you are, none of that surprises me. And so I'm one of the ones that have watched you as I've watched other law clerks, particularly Judge Newman's law clerk, progress and I'm really proud of how you are giving of yourselves to not only our profession, but society. Now, you mentioned some of the cases that you've tried to verdict. Now, were all of those -the 30 cases that you mentioned, were they all criminal cases or have you tried some civil cases to verdict as well?

- 1 Α. I have not yet had a civil case actually finish out 2 to a verdict. You know, a lot of my civil cases end 3 up getting handled through mediation. So the few 4 that have trickled out further than that ended up 5 mostly by consent and it'd be bench trials towards 6 the end. So we didn't actually have verdicts in 7 those, but we did have bench trials in them. All --8 so the 30 -- so these 30 specific verdicts are all 9 Anywhere from murder trials to CSC first criminal. 10 to BOPHAN -- breach of peace, high and aggravated in 11 case anybody doesn't know what that is -- to, you 12 know, DUI first. So I've -- I've done the -- almost 13 everything I can think of at this point in terms of 14 trials in criminal law, but most of those, yeah, have 15 been just criminal. 16 And last thing I'm curious about, Judge Newman is 0.
 - Q. And last thing I'm curious about, Judge Newman is notorious when it comes to questioning defendants ad nauseam before he sentences them. Having witnessed all of that, have you developed a philosophy as to how you would approach the idea of figuring out sentences because most judges that I've heard say that that's one of the more difficult parts of their job is trying to figure out and fashion sentences, so...

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, from my experience sitting with Judge Newman, I

1 realize that he's asking all those questions so he 2 can get to know the person in front of him. That's 3 the defendant. Now, some of the questions I -- I 4 know, like, the defense attorneys get a little, you 5 know, like, woo about because it'll be like, Tell me 6 what you did then -- make -- that says you committed 7 this crime. And so, you know, that one's a little 8 wonky, but he does always ask about their family 9 life, how far they went in school. He'll ask very 10 detailed questions to get an idea of that person. 11 You know, Have you struggled with, you know, drugs in 12 the past? How many children do you have? And -- and 13 it's usually in addition to whatever the defense 14 attorney is also telling him, but from my experience, 15 I've noticed that he's ask -- specifically asking 16 these questions so he can get to know the person in front of them a little bit better than what's just 17 18 been presented by a defense attorney or the 19 solicitor.

Q. What I'm curious about though is having witnessed that, how has it influenced your thought process in terms of how you would go about sentencing.

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I would probably adopt some of that just because I do think, in order to sentence someone, you need a little bit more background information on the person,

1 you know, kind of like what they went through in 2 their lifetime, that kind of thing. So I would -- I 3 would probably use some of that, and I do sort of use 4 some of that now at -- in my defense work. 5 you -- and I'd also probably look into their criminal 6 history, see what's going on there. 7 sometimes there's a lot of very minor offenses in 8 some people's background, but you kind of have to 9 take all of it and balance it out a little bit. You 10 know, you have to temper justice with mercy. 11 would probably adopt some of what Judge Newman had 12 done.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Last question on sentencing as well. And you know, quite frankly, you know, when Judge Newman and I were prosecuting cases together, one of the things that we always looked at was whether or not a mother was with her child because we believed that if anybody in the world would stick by this child, it's Mama. How do you view the idea of whether or not Mama appears or not appears when it comes to you in sentencing?
- A. I, just even now in regular criminal cases, if someone's mom's involved with their child, their husband, you know, whatever, it says a lot to me about the unity of that family already. Now, I've had some cases where Mama's been borderline also

1 involved in the crime that their child's been 2 involved in too. But when I get mamas calling me or 3 moms coming to court to support their child, I -- to 4 me it is saying something that they're standing by 5 their child no matter what. Like, you're my child. 6 I'm here for you. And it does mean something to me, 7 but you know, in the alternative, I've had other 8 mothers that have basically told me, you know, lots 9 of expletives about their children and they don't 10 want anything to do with them. So it does -- I think 11 it speaks a lot to the -- you know, the cohesiveness of that family and how they operate when mothers or 12 13 siblings or anybody shows up for that matter. 14 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. MR. SABB: 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey. 16 REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 Ashley, good to see you. I just wanted to take 18 a moment to share with you, since you're here, 19 some of the positive comments that were on the 20 ballot box survey because it can be, I think, 21 tempting sometimes to focus on the negative and 22 I don't want you to come away with the 23 impression that that's the only thing that we've 24 -- we've noticed because when I see comments 25 Ms. McMahan has the breadth of such as:

1 experience to become a fantastic circuit court 2 She's also brilliant. Writes well. judge. 3 Engages in fair, logical reasoning. 4 one of the best people I know. 5 intelligence, wit, courtroom skill and 6 experience and demeanor are perfectly suited to 7 the circuit court bench. And were we to have 8 more time together, I would show it even more, 9 but I think it's important to know that -- for 10 you to know the -- the reputation that you have 11 earned in the Bar is positive, and while we do have an obligation as your follow through with 12 13 Mr. Safran suggested, we do have the 14 responsibility to ask about it. I wanted you to 15 know that -- that you have done quite well for 16 yourself and should certainly be proud of the 17 career that you've demonstrated so far. 18 have any questions, Mr. Chairman, but I didn't 19 want her to escape the room without having drawn 20 some attention to that. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Ms. Blackley. 22 **EXAMINATION** 23 BY MS. BLACKLEY: 24 I want to circle back around to a Q. Good afternoon. 25 comment you made and I want to -- I just want to have an example what that meant when you said, "I was a go-getter with a chip on my shoulder."

| A. So ---

- 4 | Q. You were --
- 5 | A. Well --
- 6 Q. -- I guess, younger?
- 7 A. Oh, yeah. When I was ---
- 8 Q. You seem young, but --
- 9 Again, thank you. Thank you. Thank you for that. Α. Ι 10 do -- there are some days where I -- I wake up and 11 parts of my body hurt that did not use to hurt 12 before. So then -- then I realize I'm not as young 13 as I used to be. Well, so yeah. When I was in my 14 early 20s, I definitely was like, I'm out -- I'm 15 I'm gonna, like, change the world and gonna go out. 16 legal world and I'm gonna win. I'm gonna do all that 17 stuff, and I would say that was the chip on my 18 shoulder was sort of just like, Don't tell me what to 19 do or how to do all this stuff. And then, you know, 20 as you get older with age and you're dealing with 21 more and more cases that you may not have had 22 experience with in the past, and you grow, you know, 23 and a group of, you know, peers that you can go 24 approach and talk to about different types of cases 25 and get ideas, and you know, then it's way more

collaborative at that point, and that's sort of what 1 2 I meant. Like, I kinda considered myself I guess 3 more of a lone wolf in the beginning, but now, much 4 more of a collaborative way. I'm -- I'm -- honestly, 5 if anybody has questions, I'm always here to help. 6 If someone has a question about a case they've never 7 done but I've done, I -- I'm always willing to share 8 So the chip has gone, but also, again, as pleadings. 9 I alluded to, you know, there was one day where I 10 realized I can't change how -- the way other people 11 respond. I -- I can't change you.

12 | Q. Would you ---

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 A. But I can change myself.
- Q. Would you say back then it was hard for you to take
 advice or when -- when you were having these
 exchanges with people?
 - A. It depends on the person that was trying to give me advice. If it was my parents, probably. If it was Judge Newman, no. So it really would just depend.

 And at that time, you know, I -- there were only a few, you know, circle of peers that I was familiar with. I mean, nobody in my family has been a lawyer. So I didn't have, you know, family lawyers or friends to kind of go back on. So for me, it was classmates and stuff like that.

- Q. So if you had a mentor as someone you would like to emulate in how they ---
- 3 A. It would be Judge Newman.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. Yeah. Clifton Newman.
- 6 | Q. Well, there you go.
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- 8 So I would just echo what Micah has just said. 0. 9 mean, you know, you do have a lot of positive comments and regardless of the negative, you know, 10 11 people can learn and change if that is needed. But I 12 would caution in making too many apologies about how 13 you operate in stance depending on whatever that 14 reason is behind some of the comments, and be 15 authentically you regardless. Wish you the best.
- 16 MS. MCMAHAN: Thanks.
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
- 19 Q. Real quick, and I ---
- 20 | A. Sure.
- Q. --- want to touch on a couple of things, and you are an assistant solicitor currently, and you have your
- 23 own firm, correct?
- 24 A. Technically I'm a senior assistant solicitor. So ---
- 25 | Q. Well, you didn't want to make that look too old on

1 your application. Good for you. 2 So I am a -- I have my own law firm, yes, and I'm a Α. 3 senior -- a part-time senior assistant solicitor. 4 Todd and I get to hang out frequently in Fairfield 5 County. We know he's senior. But we don't ---6 Q. 7 I'm gonna call him that next we're in court. Α. 8 Yeah. 0. 9 I'm gonna make sure he gets addressed as that. Α. 10 And I want to touch on that -- the interview process, Q. 11 and every touch point here we look at, we hear, we 12 weigh, we consider. You've been through the Citizens 13 Committee process with the same members twice? 14 Three times. Α. 15 Three times. And so sometimes we get notes, Q. 16 sometimes we don't in the transcript before. That 17 was not -- the temperament was not a concern before, 18 and so it is -- it was in this most recent process. 19 And I -- I don't want to explain it, but I do want to 20 know whether there was a little bit of give and take 21 there. Was there any exploration of that?

A. No. I didn't think so. I went in pretty fairly relaxed. Maybe that might have thrown them off a little bit because I wasn't as nervous as I was the last two times. We discussed, you know, some of --

22

23

24

1 Mr. Tetterton had caught an alligator last year. 2 we kinda talked about that a little bit. Talked 3 about different things going on in court. I did not 4 see that there was anything different other than just 5 maybe my demeanor was much more relaxed and sort of 6 laid back than it had been in the past, but I did not 7 -- like, there was no arguing, there was no fighting 8 back and forth. It was just ---9 Well, the -- I -- maybe more to the point, was that Q. 10 topic discussed at all? 11 No, not at all. Α. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Never even brought up. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And your letters of 15 reference can't be any better. You are an up 16 and comer, judge material sooner or later. As a 17 lawyer, you've got it going on in all the right 18 places it seems to me, and so to your sister, 19 Ms. Flowers, I don't recall you bringing her 20 before. Forgive me. Maybe she ---21 My third time. MS. FLOWERS: 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, forgive me for not 23 remembering, but anyway, it's great, not 24 necessarily to have a parent here, but 25 definitely to have a sibling. So welcome back.

1	Unless there are other comments or questions,
2	this will conclude this portion, unless you'd
3	like to make a brief closing statement.
4	MS. MCMAHAN: Well, I prepared a talent show for you
5	guys. So you hold I'm just kidding. I
6	don't have anything else to say.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Submit that to Ms. Trask, and we'll
8	consider that duly. But thank you, and again,
9	as you know having done this before, the record
10	does not close until the formal release of the
11	report of qualifications. Any violation or
12	appearance of a violation of either the letter
13	or the spirit of the ethics law is very
14	seriously considered by us. You do know that we
15	could call you back for questions about that
16	should that arise, correct?
17	MS. MCMAHAN: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Ms. McMahan, thank you
19	so much.
20	MS. MCMAHAN: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Flowers, take care.
22	(Off the record)
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning. State your name for
24	the record, please.
25	MR. TAYLOR: Christopher Dolan Taylor.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good.
2	WHEREUPON:
3	CHRISTOPHER DOLAN TAYLOR, being duly sworn
4	and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
5	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as
6	follows:
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have prepared for us a personal
8	data questionnaire and sworn statement; is that
9	correct?
10	MR. TAYLOR: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Are they ready to go in to the
12	record or do you need to amend or
13	MR. TAYLOR: I believe they're ready.
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15
16	pages) PDQ)
17	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 MARKED FOR
18	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
19	Sworn Statement)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. So we will put those
21	into the record without objection. Mr. Taylor,
22	as you know, this is your first walk through
23	this process, I believe, correct?
24	MR. TAYLOR: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You know our role as vetters of the

1 candidates for either first election or re-2 election is to consider the nine evaluative 3 criteria, which includes that ballot box survey, 4 a study of your application materials, a check 5 for newspaper articles in which your name 6 appears, study of previous screenings, of 7 course, which don't apply, and then a check for 8 economic conflicts of interest, as well as your 9 -- verification of your conformity to and 10 compliance with the state ethics laws. 11 affidavits or complaints have been filed in opposition to your candidacy and I don't believe 12 13 you brought anybody in with you; is that 14 correct? 15 MR. TAYLOR: I did not. My wife is at a conference 16 and so she just couldn't be here today. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You have the 18 opportunity to make a brief opening statement, 19 if you would like, otherwise, we'll turn it over 2.0 to counsel for questions and give you an 21 opportunity to make an opening statement if you'd like or close at your -- or both. 22 23 MR. TAYLOR: Well, I'd just like to thank everybody 24 for having me in today. It's truly an honor to 25 be here in front of the Commission and to be

1 considered for such a valuable post in our 2 So I thank everybody. state. 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Ms. Webb. 4 MS. WEBB: Good morning, Mr. Taylor. 5 MR. TAYLOR: Good morning. I note for the record that based on the 6 MS. WEBB: 7 testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, 8 which has been included in the record with the 9 candidate's consent, Christopher Dolan Taylor 10 meets the constitutional and statutory 11 requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice. 12 13 **EXAMINATION** 14 BY MS. WEBB: 15 And Mr. Taylor, why do you want to be a circuit court Q. 16 judge? 17 I've been in the practice of law over 20 years now. 18 I've done mostly -- or primarily criminal law. So I've seen pretty fairly complex cases as it relates 19 20 to that side of the law. I was also involved in --21 during law school as a law clerk and that type of 22 thing, and I've actually, before I ever went to law school, I was a probation and parole agent and had 23 24 done some other things outside the legal profession. 25 So I've had quite a number of years of going through

the legal profession, seeing how it works, how that impacts different people. I want to be a part of that system. I think that my training and experience will help me be a good judge. I've seen a lot of tough circumstances in my practice, even on the criminal side of it. I think I have something -- certainly something to offer this state in terms of my experience. So those are some of the reasons that I would like to become a circuit court judge.

- Q. And along that same line of thinking, Mr. Taylor, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?
- A. I have been involved in quite a few complicated matters. I've worked in state court and in Federal court and I think that gives me kind of a unique perspective of sort of seeing both sides of that.

 Obviously this is a state court judge position, but I have handled fairly complex matters in Federal court and again in state court, and I think I that I have a -- kind of unique perspective about -- on those two things.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Taylor. And Mr. Taylor, the

 Commission received 52 ballot box surveys regarding

 you, with 16 additional comments. The ballot box

survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Amazing lawyer and better man. Knows the law, makes decisions, and seeks justice and has a great temperament. Six of the written comments expressed concerns. Several of the comments expressed concerns regarding your lack of experience with civil law. What response would you offer to this concern?

- A. I've taken initiative to try to get more experience on that side of the practice. I've signed up for some CLEs. Also some previous materials that I have looked at in regards to civil matters. I do recognize that the civil side of my experience is not as much as, of course, the criminal side, but I do think that I'm trying to take proactive steps to become better qualified in that arena and feel that I -- that's something that I can accomplish.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Taylor. And Mr. Taylor, you noted in your PDQ that you -- when you were in the process of separating from your first wife, that there were two tax years where your state and Federal taxes were not addressed in a timely manner. Have those issues been -- since been resolved?
- A. They have.

Q. Thank you. And in addition, you reported in your PDQ

1 that around 2006, your wages were garnished regarding 2 a tax issue that arose with your former wife. How did you address and resolve that specific issue? 3 4 That was taken care of through payment. I think that 5 situation was more a confusion based on our situation 6 at the time, but that -- that has been resolved. 7 MS. WEBB: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I would note that 8 the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that 9 Mr. Taylor is well qualified as to the 10 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 11 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, and judicial temperament, and 12 13 qualified in the criteria of experience, 14 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 15 and mental stability. The Committee noted zero civil experience listed, but his position has 16 17 prevented it, and he has a great work ethic. 18 Now, Mr. Taylor, for a few housekeeping issues. 0. 19 Taylor, are you aware that, as a judicial candidate, you are bound by this -- the Code of Judicial Conduct 20 21 as found in Rule 501 or the South Carolina Appellate 22 Court rules? 23 Yes. Α. 24 And Mr. Taylor, since submitting your letter of Q. 25 intent, have you contacted any members of the

1 Commission about your candidacy? 2 No. Α. 3 And since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 4 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 5 either prior to this day or pending the outcome of 6 your screening? 7 Α. No. 8 And are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 9 the limitations on contacting members of the General 10 Assembly regarding your screening? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And have you asked any third parties to contact 13 members of the General Assembly on behalf or are you 14 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 15 process on your behalf? 16 Α. No. 17 And have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 18 Commission's guidelines on pledging in SC Code 19 Section 2-19-70(E)? 20 Α. Yes. 21 All right. And Mr. Chairman, I would note MS. WEBB: 22 for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding the 23 24 candidate were incorporated into the questioning 25 of the candidate today, and Mr. Chairman, I have

1 no further questions. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Questions by members of 3 the Commission? Representative Rutherford. 4 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 7 Mr. Taylor, you and I go back to Chester, South Q. 8 Carolina when you were a beginning prosecutor, and 9 then I have since run into you as an AUSA in Federal 10 court and just commend you on how you do your job, 11 and I say that because I tell people all the time, if 12 you want to know who somebody is, give them power. 13 And you've had it. You've had it as assistant 14 solicitor and certainly as an AUSA. And you have 15 demonstrated your ability to understand the plight of 16 those persons that are in front of you and the 17 difficulty sometimes with balancing law enforcement 18 that may not have done their jobs, but still a desire 19 to do justice. And so I commend you for what you've done thus far. 20 21 Thank you. Α. One of the questions has to do with the -- your civil 22 0. 23 experience or your lack thereof. If you could, 24 expound a little bit more on what you've done to

build up your civil experience.

- A. I've signed up for -- I guess they call it a CLE bundle. I haven't actually attended any CLE courses. I have tried to sign up for different CLEs that will let me start the process of trying to get further -- further my civil experience.
 Q. And I just want to tell you, yeah, those that expect
 - Q. And I just want to tell you, yeah, those that expect that our judicial candidates are going to always have criminal and civil experience, I think that is an outdated notion. I think that we ought to start moving more towards general sessions judges and circuit court judge to do different things because it's just hard to do both criminal and civil. But I do want to thank you for at least reaching out.

 We've had other candidates before that didn't do anything even though we told them to, but so, again, just thank you for your candidacy and thank you for, again, being a great prosecutor who exercises power the way that they should.
 - A. Thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 20 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey.
- 21 | REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY:
- 24 Q. Mr. Taylor, thank you for being here. Thank you to -
- 25 thank you to you for your service to state and

1 nation. I do want to get directly to the heart of the 2 concern with respect to your candidacy and that is 3 that as I understand from your PDQ, your practice of 4 law has been exclusively as an attorney for the 5 government, whether state or Federal. And one of the 6 -- all right -- what the right word is -- challenges, 7 complications, realities that we face in private 8 practice is the challenge of having to be in multiple 9 courtrooms at the same time sometimes. And I'm just 10 curious how you envision handling that, what thoughts 11 you may have about that, where sometimes there's a --12 an ongoing and lasting tension between moving a 13 docket, understanding that while we do have a rule 14 prioritizing court systems, you know, we've got to 15 manage that. And I'm just curious what your thoughts 16 on that are. 17 Α. You know, I think, as Representative Rutherford

A. You know, I think, as Representative Rutherford mentioned, I worked in a small county. I was thankful for that when I started my career in prosecution. I've been able, certainly in the state level I believe, to try to handle the complexities of trying to move a docket. Working in state and Federal I understand the tensions that may be between lawyers in terms of trying to have cases moved and get things resolved. That's certainly an issue that

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

has been, I guess, throughout my career even -- even primarily looking at criminal side of it. I think -- and I don't know if I'm answering your question correctly, but are you asking how would I handle, like, just getting case -- like, civil docket moved or criminal docket moved?

- Q. I'm not asking for an answer per se. I'm just looking for some assurances that you recognized the challenges that would otherwise be absent from your resume. The challenges of practicing law that lawyers are going to face, that litigants are going to face in the real work where, you know, Federal court trumps everything. Got it. But I'm looking for some assurances that you understand that challenge in the practice of law and the successful operation of a circuit court in state system.
- A. Right. I understand. I certainly think I could be beneficial in that. Twenty-plus years of practicing law. I've had a lot of cases come up for trial, pleas, that type of thing, trying to -- in state court, it was more on the solicitor to kind of organize court as opposed to Federal court where the judge is sort of moving that along, but I do think my experience -- I certainly understand what lawyers have to go through in terms of trying to get cases

1 resolved, that they have to many times be in two, 2 three different courtrooms at one time, and I 3 certainly -- if I'm able to become a circuit court 4 judge, I certainly will understand that and work with 5 both sides in terms of trying to get cases resolved. 6 I think that's important for the judge, in certain 7 cases, to try to help move the process along, and 8 that can take a lot of different steps in terms of 9 getting that done. So I don't think one rigid 10 approach of, you know, it's gotta be this. 11 questions asked. That won't work, and neither will 12 just kinda letting the lawyers do what they'd like to 13 I think there's some -- somewhere in the middle 14 will have to be done, but I certainly -- believe me, 15 coming from my experience, I certainly understand the difficulties that can come about in terms of 16 17 practicing law. 18 Q. Yes, sir. I appreciate this and this next comment

Q. Yes, sir. I appreciate this and this next comment isn't necessarily apropos of that -- that issue, but I would encourage you, particularly if you're successful in your candidacy, to spend time with -- to aggressively spend time with our judges on the bench now who are making substantial progress in advancing our dockets and particularly in those areas where your depth of experience is shallower than in

19

20

21

22

23

24

other areas. So again, thank you for your time and thank you for your service.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. SAFRAN:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Let me preface it by saying I've read your letters of recommendation. Mr. Delaney, the former chairman of this Commission, he's a very close friend of mine and I find him to be one of the finest people I've ever known. He seems to think the same of you. And so basically, that goes a long way with me. I just think that, you know, what we're trying to find is basically somebody who's willing to learn. I think what I'm hearing from you is, Yeah. I don't have the civil background maybe some people do, but I will commit myself to getting where I need to be.
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Is that fair?
- 21 A. That's fair.
- 22 Q. The other thing that I think the Vice Chairman was
 23 trying to being across is this, and I know we sat
 24 through this and had this question, maybe more
 25 pointedly, asked in the past. Judges have to -- at

- least from our estimation -- be cognizant of the fact that while most lawyers that I know that are worth a crap basically work like dogs.
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. I know that, but at the same time, they do have lives beyond being in the courtroom, being in their office.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. And I think the question has been posed in other
 10 years about will you, as a judge, be cognizant of
 11 that ---
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. --- recognize that there may be life moments that are gonna be coming up for lawyers ---
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. --- that are gonna be once and forever and that they
 won't come again. And things that, personally, a lot
 of us regret maybe because we were in the office
 instead of being with our kids somewhere. I mean, is
 that something that at least resonates with you?
- A. It does. Certainly family, I think, is important to
 everyone. Not just lawyers, but just the general
 public. I certainly will be sympathetic and
 understanding, and again, it kinda goes back to what
 I was saying about working in a smaller county. We

didn't have a lot of people there working in the 1 2 office. So a lot of it was what you said, just 3 trying to juggle court cases and dockets and that 4 kind of thing, and certainly I had the benefit of 5 working with two judges that understood how that 6 dynamic works in terms of lawyers having had time to 7 practice, having -- have time to get the other things 8 that are very important. And even though I did do a 9 lot of criminal cases, I was around the courthouse 10 sometime for civil matters. When these judges were -11 - would be on the bench, we used to have PCRs, and 12 sometime we'd have to be there to kinda watch what 13 happens in non-jury dockets and that kind of thing, 14 and I -- certainly I think learn from judges like 15 Brooks Goldsmith, some other people, Judge Short, who 16 did pass away, Brian Gibbons, who I think is a judge now on court in that county. And I certainly learned 17 18 from them the importance of not only clearly 19 understand we have a job to do. Things that have to 20 be done, but also understanding the human nature side 21 of what we do and --22 Well, and I'm certainly not gonna suggest to you that Q.

- Q. Well, and I'm certainly not gonna suggest to you that some people won't try to abuse the privilege --
- 24 A. Right.

23

25 Q. -- but again, that's something that your discretion

- will weigh in pretty heavily. And I guess what -
 the only expectation that the Bar would have is,

 obviously, that be aware of these things as they come

 up. If people abuse it, then you know what to do. I

 mean, you don't keep letting somebody call or cry

 wolf over and over again.
 - A. Right. I will -- this is the right way to say it, but it just came to my mind. I ask for grace because I know other people may ask it from me. So I try to give it as I receive it. So ...
 - Q. And I guess, you know, when you get on the bench, particularly in a circuit judge seat, there is a great degree of what happens that is largely left to your discretion. You actually have a great deal of discretion I assume in terms of how you handle your cases, both when you were a solicitor and when you were an assistant U.S. Attorney, correct?
 - A. Yeah. I think in the state system there was more than in the Federal system.
- 20 | Q. But even in ---
- 21 A. But still --
- 22 | Q. --- the Federal system ---
- 23 A. Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. --- you still got a job to do certainly. But I mean, everything's not completely black and white, correct?

- 1 A. Right. Exactly.
- 2 Q. And that works as a judge, too, doesn't it?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you know, the thing about it is in so many
- 5 instances, particularly on appeal, discretion is
- 6 pretty well something the court defers to and says,
- 7 Okay. Judge was able to do that within his
- 8 discretion. So it really can have a mighty effect,
- 9 can't it?
- 10 | A. It can.
- 11 Q. And so, again, that's, I guess, why we talk about
- 12 using it judiciously.
- 13 A. Right. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. You think you're prepared for that?
- 15 | A. I do.
- 16 | Q. Okay.
- 17 | A. I do.
- 18 | Q. And this is something you want to do.
- 19 | A. It is.
- 20 MR. SAFRAN: All right. Thank you for offering.
- 21 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.
- 23 | SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 EXAMINATION
- 25 BY SENATOR SABB:

- Q. Just a few questions. I was interested in your conversation that you had relating to the desire to learn, and I was just looking back over some of the cases that you mentioned, and it was interesting to me the cases that you chose. You know, like the first wiretap case you tried, the first this, the first that, the first other thing. Why'd you choose those particular cases?
- A. They really had probably the most impact on me. I know I'd mentioned Short in there, but, like, the first case I ever tried. When I came out of law school, I didn't have a family of attorneys that I came from. So a lot of things that I did practicing law was the first time that I'd ever come across anything like that. So some of those cases that I did mention in the PDQ were cases that I thought had a significant bearing on me as a lawyer, not only in the state side, but also in the Federal side. I had mentioned, I think, one case that I had to argue in Fourth Circuit about.
- Q. Yeah. I read that one too.

A. Yeah. And so that was -- you know, you go through law school and you read these judicial opinions and you try to pull off the page what this case is really about, but that was a circumstance where I actually

- 1 saw a case from start to finish and had to come up in 2 front of a panel of judges and I was just as nervous 3 for them as I am now to try to -- it helped me 4 understand -- looking back at the case, it kinda 5 helped me understand. Oh, like, okay. I -- it's not 6 just what I'm reading off the paper, but it's also 7 this is how it can translate to other judges, how 8 other people may see it.
- 9 Yeah. And of course, in undergrad, you majored in Q. 10 criminal justice. So you --
- 11 Uh-huh. Α.
- 12 Q. -- kinda had a knack for -- an interest in the 13 criminal area, I quess.
- 14 I did. Α.
- 15 The last question I want to ask you about is this is Q. 16 the first time you've run --
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 -- for a judgeship. Why now? Q.
- 19 I think now is a good time in my career. Α. 20 roughly 12, 13 years in state system, and I've done 21 just about 10 in the Federal system. I'll be 54 next 22 year. At one time I didn't think that was -- I don't 23 think that's old, certainly don't think that now.
- 24 Careful. Todd is old. CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 'Cause I'm 54.

- 1 Α. But you know, just -- it -- to me it felt like a good 2 opportunity to say, Well, let me try something 3 different. That's what my parents always tried to 4 encourage me to do, my wife encourages me to do, is 5 take a step and try to make a next step, and that's 6 sort of what I'm doing now.
 - You know, your -- I mean, your demeanor, it seems so Q. calm and measured. So I've always had an appreciation of that, compose themselves and presents the way that you do and so ---

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett.

SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 EXAMINATION

15 BY SENATOR GARRETT:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

21

25

Thank you for offering for a judgeship. 0. I don't 17 worry too much about, you know, just criminal 18 experience or just civil experience because you've 19 taken the Bar exam and apparently you passed it and 20 did well. So -- but I am interested in in how you think there's a difference between state and Federal courts and what you can do if you get on the bench to 22 23 try to help our state courts improve. I really like 24 Federal court because things move so much better.

Uh-huh. Α.

- Q. What's your -- could you just tell us your thoughts on that?
- 3 I do -- and certainly in Federal court we have Α. 4 pre-trial conferences, status conferences and stuff, 5 and those are things that I don't think always happen 6 in state court. I think that would be something to 7 be -- that would be helpful in a state court 8 I do recognize that there is a huge 9 difference I think in the volume of cases that end up 10 coming through state court versus Federal court, but 11 I think some type of status conference system can certainly help. Certainly the public has an interest 12 13 in trying to get cases moved as quickly as possible, 14 and as Representative Safran said, there can be other 15 reasons that cases can be delayed for different But I do think some of the structure that 16 reasons. 17 is used in Federal court could be helpful in trying 18 to help cases move in state court.
- 19 Q. Well, thank you for that --
- 20 A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- and if you're selected, please try to pass that on up so you can take that experience and help us on the state side. More specifically, let me ask you are -- have you participated in any death penalty cases?
- 25 A. I have not.

- Q. Okay. And what about any life without possibility of parole cases?
- 3 A. I have -- not directly. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. Those are kinda the pinnacle of the criminal law practice, and is it something you avoided? Is it something that you ---
- 7 | A. Well, it's --
- 8 Q. -- ow just get the opportunity or --
- 9 A. Well, that -- that's actually why I hesitated. We
 10 had -- in state court, we did have a couple of cases
 11 that -- at least when I was there, some of the
 12 sentencing could end up -- could put people in a life
 13 without parole situation.
- 14 Q. Plea bargain.

21

22

- 15 A. Plea bargain. Yeah. Without a plea bargain, that
 16 could potentially be the sentence. We were able to
 17 resolve the cases. So there were cases that may have
 18 been eligible for that, but for different reasons, we
 19 felt that a different result was appropriate.
 - Q. The discretion that was talked about earlier, did you have any participation in that discretion in making the decision not to go with the death penalty versus a life without possibility of parole?
- A. Well, I didn't have a death penalty situation, but as far as like life without parole, that I -- I had -- I

1 did have some discretion in that.

- 2 Q. So you could actually plea one of them down and not trigger the life without possibility of parole ---
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. --- situation.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 | SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Jordan.
- 9 EXAMINATION
- 10 BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN:
- 11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Taylor.
- 12 A. Good morning.
- 13 Thank you for -- as others have said, for offering to Q. 14 serve in this very important capacity. I want to 15 follow up on a couple of comments that I've heard. 16 First, and to -- heard this a couple of times and I 17 want to kinda use my experience with you to talk 18 about your temperament for a second. We had many 19 cases together. We miss you in Florence actually. 20 You were very hard working AUSA, but you were always 21 someone who I could talk to and say, I've got something going on in my civil practice. Can we work 22
- around some timing issue. Not one time, that I can
- remember, you weren't absolutely, Jay, let's find a
- 25 way to work through the issue and work together on

- the case. But I also want to talk about it, too, to
 bring up -- go back to what Senator Garrett's talking
 about. You've been in the Federal system now I think
 you said for 10 years?
- 5 A. Just about 10.
 - Q. So while you might not have had those life without parole situations in the state court we were talking about, you've certainly seen many cases, participated in many cases, where people were looking at very, very significant sentences no doubt, correct?
 - A. Right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. I mean, some of those guideline ranges that I remember, especially when we were handling cases in the Federal system in years past, seems like everybody I would get assigned to would have 18 -- looking at 18 to 20 to plus years. So I want to make sure if my recollection was correct, you engaged in many cases where individuals were looking at very significant penalties. Is that fair to say?
- A. That's fair to say, yeah.
- Q. I also think -- if I also remember correctly, I know we had at least one case I think where we went up to the Fourth Circuit. So you've been up there and argued previously I think a few times; have you not?
 - A. I've only gone one time. It was assistant Federal

1 public defender was actually on that side of the 2 case. 3 That -- you didn't whup me up there that time. 0. Yeah. 4 That was somebody else. 5 No. Α. 6 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: But again, thank you for 7 putting your name forward, and I wish you the 8 best. 9 MR. TAYLOR: I appreciate it. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Others. Representative. 11 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah. Senator Sabb 12 brought up to my recollection of when Chris and 13 I would be in Chester, back when Judge Short was 14 on the bench, and Judge Short had zero patience. 15 When Judge Short took the bench, it was time for 16 the solicitor to do something, and on Monday 17 mornings in Chester, it got to be pretty 18 frenetic as to which case they were going to 19 call to please Judge Short; and never once did 20 Mr. Taylor grab a case and not give somebody the 21 deal that he thought they should take just to please Judge Short and call a trial. And the 22 23 same demeanor that you see here is the same 24 demeanor that you saw there, which is, Well, if 25 this is what the right thing to do is, that's

1 what we're going to do. Judge, we'll get to you 2 in a second, which obviously did not please him 3 not one bit; but that's why -- I think you saw 4 the letter from Greq Delaney, that's why I can 5 tell you that when the heat is on and when it's 6 very easy to do something to please a higher up, 7 never once did you see that -- I've never seen 8 him raise his voice, never seen him move quickly 9 to just jump to please some -- it was always, 10 This is what the right thing to do is and that's 11 what we're going to do, and we'll figure out how 12 to get there. And so I just want to commend you 13 for what you've done in your practice of law, 14 and give you your flowers now for all of us that 15 were there during Judge Short's tenure when 16 being a lawyer meant you were going to get 17 yelled at and your client was gonna get the max 18 if he could give it to them. And so thank you 19 for all that you did back then, and thank you 20 for the -- what you do as an AUSA because I've 21 dealt with you there, too. So again, thank you. 22 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any questions you'd like to ask of 24 Mr. Rutherford? Direct those to Ms. Webb, if 25 you will, and we'll be sure to get answer.

REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm under oath.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Your exchange with perhaps the most collected calm, cool, and measured person, that being Senator Sabb, could not be more his term generally used impactful, because that is the type demeanor and temperament that we're looking for on the bench or in life. And so I want to just compliment you by way of a compliment to him.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And then never have I ever seen a letter of reference from the Atlanta Journal Constitution, but that is not the marguee, it's the words and the author of that which I cannot let un-mention and include it as a part of this record, particularly your discussions with this classmate, this long time college friend who is now 14 years down there as the editor in chief, but your experience at Carolina, going through and touring CCI and what came of that. His comment -- you insisted was our shared obligation to not accept imperfections in our system, but to work -- and to work collectively to build toward better. You have that calling, and that has been to our ears -- and again, I've

1	never met you before, but reading, hearing,
2	every touch point that you've had along this
3	process with every the Bar, Citizen's
4	Committee, folks that have weighed in
5	anonymously attest to your, as this writer says,
6	being ready for the call.
7	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Again, that is a wonderful
9	compliment. The sincerity that you if you're
10	nervous, sir, I want to sip what you're sipping
11	because you certainly don't exhibit any
12	nervousness
13	MR. TAYLOR: You can't see my knees.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: whatsoever. But anyway, so I
15	to the point of your answer of why now, I
16	appreciate your perhaps heeding the call.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And the caveat that you don't have
19	much civil experience is certainly added with
20	your work ethic and I don't think there's any
21	question in anybody's mind that you will
22	certainly be a quick study on all things civil.
23	So unless there are any other questions, now, if
24	you recall, I gave you the opportunity for an
25	opening and a closing. Perhaps you didn't take

1	the open, you don't have to take the close if
2	you'd like, but
3	MR. TAYLOR: Just again, thank you so much for having
4	me and considering my application. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And this will conclude
6	this portion of the examination and
7	investigation. You realize though that the
8	final report of and record of qualifications
9	is not issued for awhile. This record remains
10	open, and we take very seriously the violation
11	of either the black letter or spirit of the
12	state ethics laws. If there were an occasion
13	that would be something arises of questions of -
14	- or about your conduct, which we don't
15	expect, you do know and I need an affirmative
16	response that we can call you back.
17	MR. TAYLOR: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good.
19	MR. TAYLOR: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Taylor, good afternoon to you
21	almost. It is still morning, but we're getting
22	close. Thank you.
23	MR. TAYLOR: Thank you so much. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion made for executive session,
25	seconded by Mr. Strom, we will now go into

1	executive session.
2	(Executive Session was held from 11:27 to 11:49 am)
3	(Off the Record)
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record and for
5	the record during executive session, no votes
6	were taken, no decisions were made. We will
7	proceed to Mr. Justin Williams.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Mr. Williams. Welcome.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We'll start. If you will please
12	raise your right hand.
13	WHEREUPON:
14	JUSTIN WILLIAMS, being duly sworn and
15	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
16	and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You have before you
18	looking through those the PDQ and the personal -
19	- and the sworn statement. Are those ready to
20	go in the record?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.
22	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 MARKED FOR
23	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (21
24	pages) PDQ)
25	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 MARKED FOR

1	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
2	Amendment)
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 MARKED FOR
4	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages)
5	Sworn Statement)
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand those to Ms. Putnam.
7	Thank you. They will be put in the record.
8	Commissioner Williams Mr. Williams, you know
9	how we do this. You have been through JMSC
10	before but for the record, in our efforts to
11	investigate your candidacy here, we focus on the
12	nine evaluative criteria, which includes the
13	ballot box survey, thorough study of your
14	application materials, verification of
15	compliance with the state ethics laws, search of
16	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
17	study of previous screenings, and a check for
18	conflicts of economic conflicts of interest.
19	No affidavits have been filed in opposition to
20	your election. No witnesses are present to
21	testify. I did note that you have a couple of
22	nice looking folks with you here. Would you
23	like to introduce your guests that you brought?
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. Members of the Commission,
25	this is my lovely wife, Stacey Ayers Williams.

1	We've been married for 14 years. And my
2	brother, Johnny Williams, who lives here in
3	Columbia now as well.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, you said your
5	lovely brother?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I don't know if he looks as good
7	as I do but I was referring to my wife.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: I'll admit she looks better than me.
10	You know, he's taller than me so that gives him
11	a bit of a superiority complex.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So you weren't thinking it even at
13	all. Leave it alone.
14	ME. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. I'll leave it alone.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Mr. Williams, you or
16	Commissioner Williams, you have opportunity to
17	make a ever so brief opening statement if you'd
18	like. Otherwise, we'll start. Ms. Crawford
19	will ask questions and then we'll open it up for
20	commission members.
21	MR. WILLIAMS: I just want to say thank you to Nurse
22	Jackie for giving me some cough drops because I
23	got I'm dealing with some post nasal drip and
24	coughing and but for her, I'd be hacking all
25	over this microphone. So thank you to Nurse

Jackie on the fifth floor. 1 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. We will let that record 3 get up to that floor to thank you. All right, 4 Ms. Crawford. 5 MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you. And our subsequent 6 candidates thank you as well. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am. 8 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record 9 that based on the testimony contained in his 10 PDQ, which has been included in the record with 11 his consent, Justin Williams meets the 12 constitutional and statutory requirements for 13 this position regarding age, residence and years 14 of practice. 15 EXAMINATION 16 MS. CRAWFORD: 17 Mr. Williams, you currently serve as a commissioner Q. on the Public Service Commission. Why do you now 18 19 want to be a circuit court judge and how do you feel your legal and professional experience renders you 20 21 qualified to be an effective circuit court judge? 22 Yes, ma'am. As a child, I spent a lot of time with Α. 23 my grandparents. Both my mother's parents and my 24 father's parents had a deep commitment to the 25 community. My father's parents demonstrated their

commitment to their community back by starting a
church and providing opportunity for people to grow
spiritually through service in that church. My
mother's parents demonstrated their commitment to
their community by allowing some of their land to be
used as a playground. In partnership with Orangeburg
County Recreation, a playground and a paved
basketball court was constructed. And they offered a
summer feeding program to the children in that
community. We refer to it as The Lunches. This was
in Neeses, South Carolina. During that time, my
grandmother would invite community leaders, college
students and many others to address the children
regarding the importance of importance of education
and civics, community involvement and other topics
conducive to good citizenship. Growing up in this
environment, it caused me at early age to question my
purpose in life, and what contribution I would make
to society. Ultimately, I decided to pursue the law
as my contribution to society. And I believe I made
a positive impact as an assistant solicitor by
upholding the special responsibilities of a
prosecutor by serving as a Minister of Justice. And
not simply advocating for one side or the other, but
ensuring that the defendant's rights were protected,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the victim's rights were not ignored, and that all litigants were treated fairly. As a defense lawyer, I've served as a zealous advocate for my civil and criminal clients while maintaining a cordial and professional relationship with opposing counsel. As a plaintiff's and injured workers lawyer, I zealously advocated for my clients and demonstrated compassion and empathy while helping them navigate through what they would consider a legal labyrinth. As an army judge advocate, I provided legal assistance to soldiers and their families on issues ranging from family law, contract law, employment law, and the Service Members Civil Relief Act, among others. I've prosecuted soldiers, I've defended soldiers, I've advised commanders as their primary legal advisors on matters of contract and fiscal law, national security law, military justice, administrative law in Korea, America, and in the combat zone in Iraq. I've also made decisions in highly complex multi-litigant high value ranging to multi-billion to multi -- well, I should say multimillion to multi-billion dollar value cases as a PSC commissioner using Title 58, Regulation 103, the Administrative Procedures Act, the Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Rules of Evidence. Additionally,

1 my experience as a PSC commissioner includes two 2 years as the chair who serves as the chief executive 3 officer and administrative officer of the Commission 4 and the presiding officer over all hearings coming 5 before the Commission during that time. I've also served two terms as vice chair. It's with that 6 7 background in mind that I believe I'm duly qualified 8 and adequately equipped with enough technical, life, 9 and a breadth of experience to be of service to the 10 circuit court bench in a manner that would represent 11 the state well. Through well reasoned and timely 12 decisions that clearly articulate from the bench or through written orders my decisions and give all 13 14 parties appearing before me in the general public, 15 full of faith and confidence that I am unbiased, 16 impartial, and competent. 17

Q. Thank you. Mr. Williams, for ballot box surveys, the Commission received 181 survey responses regarding you with 48 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Great attorney with excellent strength of character. Justin is a great attorney and a proven leader both in the court and service to our country. He is a strong advocate for veterans and military bar members. Thirteen of the written comments express

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some concerns. I will go through each of the areas of the concerns and let you address those separately. Experience. Most -- and you've touched on some of this, but most of these comments noted concerns with your experience in the circuit court, particularly with your actual trial experience. What response would you have to offer to this concern?

Well, I appreciate the commenters and when addressing Α. comments and the ballot box survey, I lean on -- and not just for experience, but whatever the comments may be just a general threshold issue to start. lean on a quote from the famous American poet Maya Angelou that says I've learned that people will forget what you said, they will forget what you did, but they will never forget how you made them feel. And so with that in mind, when it comes to my experience, even with the ballot box survey saying, based on my numbers of those participating in the ballot box survey, 82 percent believe I'm qualified to serve as a circuit court judge. With most of those voting that I'm well qualified, there's still those other comments that need to be addressed. And what I would say is that a majority of my career, six years as a as a public service commissioner, and ten years as a judge advocate, was spent outside of the circuit

б

court. And so for practitioners who appear in
circuit court daily, and may not be familiar with the
work of the Public Service Commission, and may not be
familiar with what we do as judge advocates, I can
understand why they would wonder whether or not I
would have the requisite experience to serve as a
circuit court judge. What I would want them to
consider if I were able to have a conversation with
them, is to consider the breadth of issues that
appear before the Public Service Commission, and how
we make decisions using the rule of evidence which
will be used in circuit court, using the rules of
civil procedure which will be used in circuit court,
and the complexity of the issues that appear before
the Public Service Commission that would either match
or, in some areas, succeed the level of complexity
that may appear in some circuit court cases. Not to
mention that our decisions have to be in written
orders where we make findings of fact and conclusions
of law. Likewise, I would do the same thing if I was
able to make it to the circuit court bench. And
primarily, I'm talking about common pleas. What I
would also like to offer to those who would like to
have a conversation regarding my experience is the
approximate four years that I spent as a prosecutor

4

3

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

in the Fifth Circuit Solicitor's Office where I've tried murders, bank robberies, dogfighting, and drugs to verdict, not to mention the thousands of warrants that were disposed of through a plea or a dismissal.

- Q. Okay. The next area of concern was, in combination with experience, many of these respondents noted that you are a politician first. How do you respond to that?
- Well, I'm going to have to use some, I guess, context Α. clues, if you will, and look over my life and look at some of the things I've done, and how I show up in the community, to try to bring some context to that statement, because someone said something like that similar to me when I was in law school. It was a friend of mine, actually. And she said, you know, you get along with all these people so well, you're always happy, and you're going out and talking to folks, and everybody's really not good at that. I said Okay. I like it. I like people. I like making relationships and connections. One of the first books I read when I was a drug rep for Pfizer was Making Friends and Influencing People. believe relationships are important, and they matter. I would also say when you look at what I've done, especially from high school and college, not so much

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

since I've graduated from college or law school, I've been highly involved in the community. I was -- as a freshman, I was elected to one of -- I think I was the first freshman elected as the president of the Association of African American students. sophomore, I was elected to president, which is the official name is Polemarch of my fraternity. I was elected as a student body president at the University of South Carolina, which for some schools is like a really small deal. But for South Carolina, I think there were over 12,000 people that voted in that election. And I also continued that level of commitment to community while I was in law school by serving on the Student Bar Association. When I hear the statement that I'm a politician first, it makes me feel as if there's a thought that I put something I've never offered myself for elected public office. All I can say is that I believe that any problem can be worked out if you're willing to talk with someone and work through it. I don't believe in us versus them or me versus you mentality. And so I think my willingness or ability to try to work through issues as opposed to taking a hard stance and not willing to work with someone could be perceived that maybe I'm a people pleaser. But I'm really just

trying to uphold the civility oath and the lawyers oath, and just trying to be a good person as I see

3

4

5

it.

Q. Thank you. For the concerns noted that you lacked the ethical fitness and ability to be fair and impartial as a judge. How would you respond to that?

67

8

Α.

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

Yeah. Again, before I get into that, I would go back to that Maya Angelou quote about what people will forget in terms of what you've actually said, what you've actually done, and what will rest with and remain with them is how you make them feel. And so when we talk about ethical fitness, that's one of those nine elements that you referenced, I think the chair reference. And ethical fitness, per this committee, is a candidate's ability to perform the adjudicative duties impartially, and to deal with litigants, lawyers, witnesses, and other courtroom participants objectively and without bias. candidate should have a sense of humor, and must exhibit trustworthiness, moral vigor, and strength of Candidates' past actions and deeds should character. demonstrate consistent adherence to the ethical principles -- to high ethical principles, excuse me, or his or her reputation should be above approach. candidate must follow the campaigning and pledging

laws. At a minimum, a judge will be expected to have
complied with the Code of Judicial Conduct
requirements to avoid impropriety, the appearance of
impropriety, and partiality. So when I based on -
- when I use that as a background, and I think about
ethical fitness, understanding that we need to work
through the concerns that the commenters raised with
the Commission, we do work through those. As we work
through them, what I will offer to those commenters
and to this Commission, that based on my review of
the voters who participated in the ballot box survey,
those who had an opinion of my ethical fitness and
qualifications based on my ethical fitness to serve
as a circuit court judge, 90 percent believe that I
was qualified to serve with about 71 percent
believing I was well qualified in that area. So we
have that. And then we have to, again, work through
these four commenters. And what I found in my
experience, when I think about ethical fitness, in
addition to the standard set by the by this
commission, also think about the rules of
professional responsibility, I think about the Ethics
Act, I think about the Code of Judicial Conduct as a
source, document and guides the discussion and any
analysis about ethical fitness. However, my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

experience as a prosecutor has taught me that that discussion of ethics can expand, it can be expansive When I was a prosecutor, for example, I beyond that. had many discussions about the ethics and morality of revoking a defendant's bond, if they were found to commit another crime while out on bond. The ethics and morality of requiring electronic monitoring as a condition of bond. The ethics and morality of a mandatory minimum for a crime that is committed by a young person, but the law says that the necessary requirement is a sentence that may be considered harsh by some. And so in those conversations, in those moments, the ethical conversations had nothing to do with the rules of professional responsibility, the Ethics Act, the Code of Judicial Conduct. think what was happening is that there was a fundamental disagreement about what the law should be and how it should be applied in a particular case, or a fundamental disagreement about a practice, whether or not you should revoke a defendant's bond or require electronic monitoring. And as you all know, very seasoned legal professionals serving as a prosecutor in this state, especially in the Fifth Judicial Circuit, you're seeing all types of sensitive and emotional and horrific situations

1 involving criminal conduct and victims, and everyone 2 has a different perspective on how those things 3 should be addressed. And so what I would maybe say 4 to those four commenters is wanting just to flesh out 5 a little bit more as to what they mean about my 6 ability to be ethical or impartial based on whether 7 we're talking about some of those expanded 8 conversations about process and the law, or if we're 9 talking about the Code of Judicial Conduct, the 10 Ethics Act, or the Rules of Professional 11 responsibility.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Williams. Finally, a majority of the concerns questioned whether you have an appropriate demeanor or temperament to be an effective judge.

Several stated that you come across as arrogant.

What response would you offer to this concern?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. When I think of arrogance, I think of someone who believes the rules doesn't apply to them, that they can cut in line, that they're entitled to special treatment for some reason or another, usually attached to some belief or thought that they're superior in some way. When I think of arrogance, I think of someone who is impatient and unkind and who does not care about people. I've been -- obviously, I've been alive longer than I've been a lawyer, and I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't think my life supports an arrogant personality or arrogant conduct. Neither does my time as a But what I will say is this. I think that when I show up -- like here today, when I show up, I want to be prepared, I want to be well-dressed, I want to have a firm command of the material, I want to be able to give you my position in a linear, logical manner that gets from point A to point B and so forth and so on. And I think that at times, as a prosecutor, when I show up that way, it may be taken in a way where I believe that, or where others may believe, that I'm the sole authority on the issue or that, for some reason or another, I think that I'm better than they are, or I have some haughty position or mind set. And that's just not the case. would, based on demonstrated experience, and based on the responses to the ballot box survey, I think that, again, going back to the great poet Maya Angelou, sometimes feelings get involved in cases. not so much the conduct as it is the feeling that someone gets. And unfortunately or fortunately, in the professional setting, sometimes there's not an opportunity to build a relationship and to get to But I tell you right now, if anyone know someone. were to come to me, come with me to Salley, South

1 Carolina, to the Chitlin' Strut, to Springfield, 2 South Carolina, to the Frog Jump, or to my tailgate 3 for USC's homecoming, they will see very clearly that 4 I'm a man of the people, and that I care for people, 5 and that there's not an arrogant bone in my body. 6 But in the moment, you know, these folks are basing 7 their perception on what they have experienced, maybe 8 in dealing with me in a case, or in some other 9 fashion, they may not have been able to get the 10 essence of who I am.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Thank you, Mr. Williams. Mr. Williams, we 0. Okay. discussed this matter in our interview. And as you know, candidates, as well as PSC commissioners, are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct. Canon 5 states that a judge or judicial candidate shall refrain from inappropriate political activities and prohibits the attendance of political gatherings. The Commission was made aware that on September 7th, you attended an event sponsored by AKA Sorority entitled Engage, Educate, Empower Our Future, Your Choice, Vote. Can you describe your understanding of the definition of political gathering, this event, and why you feel strongly that you did not violate Canon 5?
- A. Okay. I want to start with my understanding of the

1	definition of a political gathering. I think the
2	first time I ever thought about political activity or
3	political gathering was probably ten years ago
4	about ten years ago now when I was in
5	Charlottesville, Virginia, at the Judge Advocate
6	General's Legal Center and School on the campus of
7	UVA. And we were in an ethics block of instruction.
8	We were going over the Hatch Act and the importance
9	for service members to ensure that they don't give
10	the appearance or they don't actually support any
11	level of partisanship related to their service in
12	uniform or even out of uniform with their military
13	service, that we serve America and not a political
14	party. And so with that background, the Hatch Act
15	loosely defines political activity as any activity
16	that supports a partisan political agenda, a partisan
17	political candidate over one or the other. So
18	essentially, it may not be intended to divide, but
19	essentially, if you're supporting one position, you
20	are not supporting the other. But that's the federal
21	law. And I give a presentation on the Hatch Act
22	every year to soldiers as required by Army
23	regulation. Transitioning to my time on the Public
24	Service Commission, I found that the Public Service
25	Commission, regulated by PURC, is held to a pretty

strict standard. And they do a really good job of
providing advisory opinions. In fact, there's an
advisory opinion that is directly on point with the
term political gathering. And in that advisory
opinion, the PURC said that a legislative caucus
meeting is a political gathering. When I went to the
Republican Caucus, South Carolina Republican Caucus
website, and I went to the South Carolina Democratic
Caucus website, it was very clear and apparent to me
that there's a political a partisan political
agenda for each side that they are promoting and
pushing to advance their candidates and to advance
their agenda. Also, when I look at Canon 5,
especially 5A, where a political organization is
discussed, the political organization is defined as a
political party or other group with a principal
purpose of which is to further the election or
appointment of candidates for political office. And
there's a prohibition for judges and judicial
candidates from attending such events. When you look
at Canon 5A, political gathering is not defined, but
is nested with and included right under political
organization. And so for me, a logical, linear step
in reason would lead me to believe that a political
gathering would be connected to a political

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

organization and that a political gathering would have to, based on the principal purpose test, the purpose of that gathering -- the principal purpose of that gathering would be to further the advancement of a political candidate, a political agenda, or political party. Transitioning to the AKA event, I'm a member of the Divine Nine. I've been so since March 26, 2004. I'm a member of Kappa Alpha Psi. part of our charter requires us to participate in what we call guide right, essentially community service. And those are educational events and community events that range from health to popular issues in the community and bringing people together to discuss them and to try to learn. We did them all throughout college and we do them post college, we do them today. Very similar to what my grandmother did at Wright's Park in terms of bringing members of the community before children to discuss issues, whether it's education, civics, law enforcement issues, or even in church where the same or similar issues were discussed, that AKA event, in my mind, based on what I understood it to be on its face and based on what it was in substance when I attended, was just that, a community event. There is no way that I can walk away from that event -- looking at the flyer or what

was said at the event, there's no way I can walk away from it and feel compelled or empowered to do anything other than be active in my community. 4 political candidate was mentioned, no political signs were present there, neither was any of that advertised on the materials. And on top of that, AKA is a non-profit social organization that is strictly prohibited from political activity. And considering all of that together, that's why I believe I did not violate Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

Thank you, Mr. Williams. Q.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, last week I received a call and a copy of an email that copied Mr. Williams at the PSC that caused some concern among the PSC staff. This email dated November 7th was sent to James Burns, an attorney at Nelson Mullins from Mr. Williams was copied on the Jason Brown. email. The email stated in part, quote, as you may know, Justin serves as a commissioner on the South Carolina PSC and formerly served as a chair of the South Carolina PSC through June of 2024. I believe he currently serves as vice chair of the PSC and may need to consult you and your firm to draft a legal opinion re: a current

matter before the Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. First of all, Mr. Williams, it's my understanding that at the PSC, you have two email addresses. And can you explain that and how the staff got this and why there was some confusion about -- that this email caused?
- Okay, I'll do that to the best of my understanding. Α. I believe the year was 2001 to 2002 when Act 175 was passed. Act 175 was probably the most comprehensive and restrictive ethics act for a public service commission in America. And I was in college, I was a freshman during that time, so I don't really know exactly what was going on at that time. But there was a great concern about commissioners and ex parte communication, a great concern. And once that act was passed, from my understanding, the executive director at the time created two emails for all commissioners. One a public email that when you -if you were to Google my name or Google my position as a commissioner, and the same is true for all commissioners, you would get that public email. Ι don't receive those emails. Those emails go to my assistant, Tricia DeSanty, and she filters them. Some of them she sends to me. Some of them she does On this particular day, Tricia DeSanty was not not.

there. And so Elise was filtering the emails. And
when she saw it, she rightfully so was concerned
about ex parte communication because the ending
the last word in the email is commission. And I
think she believed that the commission that was being
referenced was the Public Service Commission and not
the Judicial Merit Selection Commission. Jason Brown
is a good friend of mine. We know each other way
back from college. Like me or unlike me, he has
two small children and is a very busy professional
and in an attempt to help me, he when I asked him
if he knew any lawyers that were any good with ethics
opinion, he said he had one, and he asked me for my
email. I texted him my personal email, but he said
before he forgot, he just Googled before he got my
personal email, he wanted to handle the issue
immediately and so he googled my PSC email and copied
Mr Burns to that. I was made aware of the email
so let me be clear about something. I never received
the email. I was made aware of the email by the
executive director, Jocelyn Boyd at the PSC and she
asked me what the email was in reference to. I think
some of I think the commissioners know that I'm
running for judge, but that's not something I talk
about at work. So no one really knows about that, or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at least if they know about it, they don't know about it from me. And so when she asked me about it, I explained to her the issue, and she said that the general counsel, Elise, and the chief counsel were concerned about it, as they should have been. I said you know, this is a great systems check. This is the way that this is supposed to work so there won't be any issue or concern about ex parte communication at the commission. And so I met with everyone together, the general counsel, the chief counsel, Elise, and the executive director, and I wanted them to hear from me what the email was, but I also wanted to empower them to say hey, look, you need to do with this whatever you think you need to do with it. I'm telling you what it is, but don't take my word for it. Whatever reporting you think you need to do, don't think that I'm trying to mute you or chill any level of reporting because I'm a big proponent of transparency. The executive director believed, and I think I share this opinion, that the appropriate authority to report any matter like this to would be the PURC. And so she reached out to PURC counsel, Attorney Breeden John and reported the And my -- I would imagine that that is how it came to your attention.

1 And I want to clarify that in the email there was no Q. 2 reference to Judicial Merit Selection Commission. 3 And it's your -- I mean, obviously, commission in 4 that email was -- you were referring to JMSC? 5 Yes, ma'am. Well, I didn't write the email. Α. 6 Right. Right. Right. That's correct. 0. He was 7 referring to. 8 That email was a summary of a conversation I Α. 9 had with him, --10 Correct. Q. 11 -- explaining to him that there was a question about Α. 12 the definition of a political gathering and I didn't 13 want to rely on my understanding alone. I don't -- I 14 wanted someone to really help me see the other side 15 if there was another side to that. And so I was 16 seeking legal assistance. And members of the Commission, that email you then --17 Q. 18 or we have a legal opinion and you submitted it and 19 the commission members have a copy of that from Mr. 20 Burns researching and giving his opinion on what the 21 definition of -- definition of a political gathering 22 And that's on their laptops and will be made a 23 part of the record. 24 (EXHIBIT NO. 9 MARKED FOR 25 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14

pages) Letter with attachments)

A. All right. And I actually have -- I have -- so it was that one, but also have another. I secured two attorneys. I submitted that one as well. It doesn't -- I think they're pretty similar so I don't know if it would be duplicative or not. But just to be forthright and transparent, I sought two ethics opinions before the hearing today, because I wanted to make sure that I did not err in some way so that I wouldn't waste anyone's time.

Q. Thank you.

- MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that he is well qualified as to the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament, and qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The committee noted very little civil experience, but vast criminal experience, but is willing to seek more civil. Overall a good candidate.
- Q. Mr. Williams, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of this commission

- 1 about your candidacy?
- 2 A. No, ma'am, I have not.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 7 A. No, ma'am, I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with 2-19-70, including limitations
 on contacting members of the General Assembly
 regarding your screening?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am. I am familiar with that legislation or that statute. Excuse me.
- Q. That's okay. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
- 16 A. No, ma'am, I have not.
- 17 Q. Are you aware of anybody attempting to do so?
- 18 A. No, ma'am, I am not.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code section 2-19-70(E)?
- 22 A. I understand those restrictions.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, any concerns that were raised during the investigation by staff

1 regarding this candidate were incorporated into 2 the questioning of the candidate today. I have 3 no further questions. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members 5 of the commission have any questions or comments? Okay, well, I'll begin. 6 I do have 7 some questions, Mr. Williams, I want to discuss. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 10 I'm satisfied that the email exchange relative to Q. 11 soliciting the opinion, that issue is addressed and 12 is -- to my end, not of particular interest. 13 do want to talk about the attendance at the event, 14 which I see. I think there is a meaningful 15 distinction at the law -- in the law between 16 political and partisan, and that the Code of Judicial 17 Conduct contemplates partisan activity. It doesn't 18 provide us with anything particularly on point with 19 respect to political gathering. So given that, can you help me rehash your perspective on this event as 20 21 something other than a political gathering? I just 22 want to understand your position on it. 23 Yes, sir. Let me go to the law. All right, Mr. Vice Α. 24 Chair, I want to make sure that I'm responsive to

your question. Would you mind repeating it again?

1 If you would summarize your position with respect to Q. 2 this event and the applicability of the restriction 3 against a judicial candidate -- judge or judicial 4 candidate attending a political gathering. 5 want to restate where you're at in this before we go any further in question, because that was a bit of an 6 7 extended colloquy, and just for our purposes to make 8 the dialogue, I guess, direct -- it's easier for me 9 to have a conversation about this with you because 10 I'm not a judge, right? I'm just a member of this 11 Commission trying to apply the rules fairly to your 12 candidacy. And so I think it'd be helpful to me, at 13 least, to understand your position, if you can, 14 restate it. 15 So my position is based on my reading of Canon Α. Okay. 16 5A, and I'm just going to read it. All judges and 17 candidates, except as authorized in Sections 5B2, 18 5C1, and 5C3, a judge or candidate for election or 19 appointment to judicial office shall not act as a 20 leader or hold office in a political organization. 21 I'm just going to stop there and read what the 22 definition of that is, if that's okay. 23 Please. Q. 24 The definition of a political Α. All right. 25

organization is a political party or other group, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

principal purpose of which is to further the election or appointment of candidates for political office. So sub-paragraph 1A says I can't -- I'm using me, but a judge or judicial candidate can't hold an office in a political organization, publicly endorse or publicly oppose another candidate for public office, make speeches on behalf of a political organization, attend political gatherings, or solicit funds, or pay for an assessment or make a contribution to a political organization or candidate, or purchase tickets for political parties or other political or other functions. And so when I read that section that leads with not holding office in a political organization, which is defined as promoting or pushing a political agenda or candidate, and I go down to D, which speaks to attending political gatherings, for me, the plain language, unambiguous reading of political gathering is a gathering that is in some way, shape, or form advancing a political party, a political agenda, or political candidate. That's just my reading of the law. When I go to commentary on the subject, and I look at the PURC advisory opinion that determines that a legislative caucus meeting is a political gathering, and when I go and look at a legislative caucus, the South

- Carolina Legislative Caucus, the Democratic Caucus, or the Republican Caucus, and I go to that website, and I see what they are promoting and what their agenda is.
 - Q. To interrupt you just briefly, and I don't want to be I agree with you. There's no question that rude. caucus activity is a partisan activity. I mean, that's not confusing to me. I think the authority on that is clear, and I don't think that's what this event is. Where I'm struggling with this, and I'll just, by way of disclaimer on behalf of the commission, say this is my understanding. It is not dispositive in any way of what the commission thinks. That's not how this works, but when I look at the materials submitted by you with respect to this event -- my understanding, your wife is a member of this organization, is that right?
- 18 A. No, sir. She's a member of Delta Sigma Theta.
- 19 | Q. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

- 20 A. But it is a Divine Nine organization.
 - Q. Okay, fair enough. But you attended, I understand, as a family to an event that was designed to educate and empower people to come out and vote, but without advocacy for a particular candidate. Is that a rough summation?

A. That's not my understanding of the program.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q. Okay. What was your understanding of the program?
 - A. A community forum to talk about community issues, one of which was voter registration.
 - Q. Okay. In my -- and I'm not trying to trick you here. I'm trying to get to where I see this as an event designed to help members of the community become educated, become empowered, and ultimately to then go vote, and the question I'm wrestling with in my mind is, is that a political gathering if we are encouraging people to vote. And the tension that I see there is the inverse certainly feels a lot more political. If we were to say, don't vote. conversation or the question is, is an event that encouraged people to not vote, is that political? And my intuition is to say that feels political, but then is if you say go vote, is that political? our law doesn't help me resolve that question, and I wonder if you can help me resolve that. understood the event correctly, which I stand ready for correction if I haven't.
 - A. Well, I only can give you what I understood the event to mean, based on what I saw, and based on what was said at the meeting. There was much more talk, I would say, 90 to 95 percent, at least for the portion

1	of the presentation that I attended, about issues
2	regarding education. That younger members of the
3	generation are not involved in their community,
4	whether that be church or other community
5	organizations. The need for older members of the
6	community to be open and embracing to the ideas and
7	struggles, or whatever the case may be, that younger
8	members of the community are facing. And
9	understanding that, as a citizen, you have the right
10	to vote, and if you decide to exercise that right,
11	then it could behoove you to understand what that
12	looks like. So what does that look like? It looks
13	like voter registration. It looks like pulling your
14	ballot in advance to say know what a soil
15	conservation district is. Things of that nature. So
16	for me, it was educational 100 percent. I can't even
17	tell you that there was a push to necessarily
18	encourage people to vote, but it was definitely
19	education presented, so that if you left there, you
20	would know what you would need to do, if that is
21	something that you wanted to do.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay, thank you. That's
23	helpful to me in understanding your perception
24	and understanding of the event. I'm looking
25	around the room to see if any other members have

comments or questions.

2.0

MS. CRAWFORD: Can I make a comment? Mr. Williams -Mr. Williams mentioned a second letter. He sent
an opinion, and I somehow missed it because it
came in bright and early on a Saturday morning,
which I should have gotten, and I apologize. So
I've copied that and given that to the
commission members. That's the second opinion
letter from -- that you got regarding that from
Ms. Hubbard.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am.

MS. CRAWFORD: Sorry.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, I don't know whether or not there are going to be other questions about this particular event. I do want to, though, while I have the floor, share with you some other things. Again, this may not be helpful to your ability to stay on track if someone else is going to ask you about this event. But as there was some questioning with respect to feedback on the ballot box survey, I wanted you to know that we have received many, many positive comments, and oftentimes this forum lends itself to discussion of negatives, and I wanted to share with you, because you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presented yourself for this office, that many of the comments are, again, positive things, like, he is fair and impartial -- fair and impartial, knowledgeable of the law, and has an outstanding Justin Williams is a fantastic temperament. attorney who would make an excellent judge. Williams would be an excellent judge. I'm happy to see him run again and not discouraged. the privilege of working with him during his time as an assistant solicitor and in private practice. He was very fair, available, nice, and he's hardworking, proud of his own roots and the success he had there. A circuit court judgeship is a natural next step for him and should be strongly considered. So I share that with you by way of encouragement. Sometimes this can feel more adversarial than it need be, but anyway, as I look around the room to see if any other members have commissions. Senator Garrett, the floor is yours. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR GARRETT: VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'm from the House, where we sometimes start with the end of the row. apologies, Senators. My friend the senior, please. SENATOR GARRETT:

1	SENATOR SAAB: Okay, since he put it like that.
2	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Since he put it like that.
3	SENATOR SAAB: I'm senior, but not very senior.
4	Thank you, Senator. Are you still Senator from
5	Greenwood the whole week?
6	SENATOR GARRETT: I'm right now the Senator from
7	Lexington.
8	SENATOR SAAB: Senator from Lexington, okay.
9	SENATOR GARRETT: Yes, sir.
10	SENATOR SAAB: Thank you, Senator from Lexington. I
11	did want to comment, and I really appreciate you
12	letting me go. I'm supposed to be someplace at
13	12:30, but I did want to listen to this
14	exchange, and I will tell you that your
15	responses helped me. One of the things I think,
16	and of course, we all know this, particularly
17	lawyers, is we have to avoid not only
18	impropriety, but the appearance of impropriety.
19	And so these kinds of events oftentimes walk a
20	thin line, because it's not only what's
21	intended. Sometimes folks comment in such a way
22	that although you're present for a certain
23	purpose, the comments lends itself to something
24	else. And so, but your explanation really
25	helped me, and I'm satisfied based upon your

1 responses that your view, and I think it's 2 supported by the authority that you shared, is 3 that this was not a political event. I, too, am 4 a member of the Divine Nine. Of course, I took 5 a step above you, and I wear the purple and gold 6 rather than the red and white. But I, like you, 7 married above myself and married a Delta. 8 on a serious note, this is one of the kinds of 9 things, though, that as commission members we 10 would struggle with because the line might 11 appear bright, but it is just as -- as what do 12 they say, as transparent as mud. But I just 13 really wanted to share with the commission and 14 with you that I listened very intently to your 15 original explanation, and the follow-up 16 responses to the questions that our vice chair 17 posed. And so you've satisfied me on the issue. 18 And, Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind, I just ask 19 that -- to be excused, and I'll rejoin you all. 20 Thank you. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator from Lexington. 22 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair. 23 EXAMINATION 24 BY SENATOR GARRETT: 25 I, too, I'm always concerned about the appearance of 0.

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

impropriety as any judge has to be. And I'm not concerned about the, say, the 95 percent that you described, 95 to 99 percent of what transpired at that. What I am concerned about is that 5 percent. Can you talk about that for us for a minute, about the 5 percent?

I'm going to do my best. And what I would share with Α. you, Senator, this event was on a Saturday afternoon where I attended with my wife and my son. included a picture, a selfie that we took to send to my mother, to Erin, to share with you all, to show you how engaged I was with my son. So the 95 percent that I was referencing was what I heard. I did not hear -- because I didn't want to say 100 percent because one, I was late to the event, and two, we left once Luke got a little -- he's ready to go. in my mind, there were no issues with the event 100 percent. But I'm raking room by leaving that margin of 1 to 5 percent because we're talking about it. And so out of respect for the fact that we're talking about it, and as the Senator said, there's not a bright line rule, or a bright line on some of these issues, again, my reading of the law, my reading of the advisory opinion from PURC, my reading of the advisory opinion from the Judicial Disciplinary

25

Commission regarding a judge speaking at a League of Women Voters event, that directly discussed that judge would speak on diversity in the judiciary, and the JDC found that it was okay for that judge to speak at such an event. That's the mindset I was in when assessing whether or not I would attend that event. Because when I read those opinions, and I look at it -- I'm just going through my decision making process with you. When I look at that, and it could be a cultural difference too, that AKA event was very similar to church events that I've attended. And just being very honest and straightforward with you, there have been some times at certain church events that I was a little uncomfortable, because maybe -- you talk about that 1 to 5 percent, maybe things were said that maybe were too far. nothing like that happened while I was at that event. But I want to be respectful that, you know, there may be some concern, and I want to work through that and walk through that with you all.

Q. That's the reason for my question is because, again,
I go back to the appearance of impropriety. And if
that five percent, for instance, if there were signs
depicting one candidate over another, or you need to
get your vote in a certain way, and that is being

talked about. If you had been there and you had seen any of that, what was your responsibility as a --

A. To leave immediately.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. To leave immediately.
- Α. And Senator, let me be very, very clear with you. There was nothing like that there. I happen to have many friends who are members of AKA. I talked to them about this. One of the exhibits in the letter from Mr. Burns is a one-pager about the restrictions on political activity for the event, for all events like that, how they are prohibited from being involved in political activity because they could lose their charter. I don't think they would want to risk their charter by conducting themselves in that But again, to your point, regardless of the way. intentions and regardless of their best efforts, someone could do something that is outside the lines. Twenty years as a member of Kappa Alpha Psi and attending Divine Nine events, I have not seen that before. That has not been my experience. If that was my experience, I would not attend the event. just to go a little bit further with you, just to let you know how -- because I'm just -- I want to give you how I think. There have been events that I've been invited to by other candidates that I have not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

gone to because I felt it was squarely in the definition of a political gathering. And so for me, there may be an event going on, I think it's too close to the line or maybe crossing the line to show up in the parking lot or to meet at a bar that may be in the hotel that the event is taking place. veteran, proud veteran. There's some veterans' events that while I'm a proud veteran, when I see what's going on, it may be a little bit too close to I'm a Christian. the line. There's some prayer breakfasts that while I would love to attend, and I've attended in the past, as a PSC commissioner, I have retreated from much of public life and tried to operate in what I consider safety zones. Kappa Alpha Psi, Divine Nine events, church events has been that safety zone. But again, this is a lesson for me to look at all of these things again because I don't want to bring discredit to myself, my family, the Public Service Commission, or the judiciary if I'm ever elected to the bench. Let me say this. I applaud you for SENATOR GARRETT: taking care of your family, your lovely wife, It's wonderful that you want to have your son. them involved in civics. That's important and that's what we're all about is living and

1 helping our neighbor. So I applaud you for your 2 Christian statement that you made as well as 3 your position that had you seen something that 4 crossed the line that would have gathered up 5 your family, and left and I appreciate that. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Safran, I think, is next. 8 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. SAFRAN: 11 I think you probably have more or less understood 0. 12 that the reason these questions are coming is because 13 it's a substantial issue, okay. Not necessarily, you 14 know, in any one case, but in any of these situations 15 that have come up. I mean, you understand that, don't 16 you? 17 Α. That following the --18 The potential of violating the canon. 0. 19 Oh, yes, sir. Absolutely. Α. 20 And I can tell you just, you know, by way of history, Q. 21 this has come up two other times that I can remember in the time I've been here. And on each occasion, it 22 23 had substantial impact on the candidacy of somebody who was sincerely seeking, as you are, a position 24

The questions that, you know, we're

25

like this.

- asking really are a necessity because, you know, we certainly can't have done what we've done in the past and put some type of -- at least some light on it and not do it in the future because everybody has to be fed out of the same spoon.
 - A. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And so let me just ask a few questions because I want to satisfy my own mind. I want to satisfy the minds of those around me. I understood that you kind of characterize this as almost like kind of a family type of gathering. Were you aware, at least as shown by these pamphlets that you kindly provided to us, that the theme here was, quote, soaring to the polls? I mean, were you aware that's what it was being advertised as?
- 16 A. I read that on the flyer.
 - Q. Okay. It also said your future, your choice vote.

 So, I mean, was there any secret that this was,

 again, something that was more or less a rally in

 terms of trying to get people to vote? Not

 necessarily saying who for, but to vote.
- A. So I think that's a fair description. It's just not the way that I would describe the event based on my lived experience. But I understand --
 - Q. And I understand, but I mean, this is at least what

1 it was advertised as on its face based on the 2 documents you provided to us. I mean, is that an 3 honest representation of it? 4 Yes, sir. You're reading from the documents. Α. 5 sir. 6 When you mentioned earlier that, you know, you had Q. 7 thought about the opinion about the person, the 8 judge, going and addressing the League of Women 9 Voters, was that something you consulted before you 10 went or after you went? 11 So to give you a bit of background on that. Α. 12 Q. SURE. 13 As a public service commissioner, we're required 14 statutorily to have ethics and CJC training annually. 15 I've been doing that for about five or six years. 16 may have missed a year by being deployed. So during 17 that time, those opinions came up. I had to go and 18 once it -- and just like the PURC opinion on the 19 website, I've read that before, which it informed my 20 thinking process. But when the question was asked to 21 me, I had to go back and look again. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Williams, if I could just 23 interrupt. 24 MR. WILLIAMS. Oh, I'm sorry. 25 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Pull your microphone a little

closer. Thank you, sir.

- Q. And I guess, you know, the thing that troubles me is this, and you didn't bring this up, maybe you might not even know, but I mean, apparently, at least during the process, some of the speakers actually were trying to somehow bring up your name and somehow talk about the prior situation in terms of your candidacy. Was that happening while you were there?
- 9 A. When you say --
- 10 Q. At this function.
- 11 A. Right. I'll tell you what happened from my perspective.
- 13 | Q. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. When I walked in, Bakari Sellers, who I went to law school with, and I know his father is a professor at the University of South Carolina, so we know each other. His wife is also friends with my wife. He said oh, I see my good friend, Justin Williams, here with his wife and his son, Luke. And then also there was a friend of mine by the name of Antoine --
- 21 Q. Mr. Seawright.
- A. -- Seawright, who said something to the effect of
 that I should be a judge, or it's a shame that I'm
 not a judge. When he said that, I really didn't know
 what he was referencing, but I would imagine it had

- something to do with the fact that I withdrew last year.
 - Q. Right. I mean, but I don't know necessarily that you were expecting that, but those things got said, at least to some degree. You know, it's whatever you were telling us, something along those lines.
- 7 A. Right. And I was not expecting that.
- 8 Q. Okay. And I guess let's switch gears a little bit.
 9 You certainly have been serving from every
 10 indication, doing a good job over there at the PSC.
 11 I understand that, correct?
- 12 A. That's my understanding.

4

5

- 13 All right. I mean, I guess the only thing I'm 0. 14 concerned about is this. Maybe sometimes I may look 15 at something as abundance of caution. I just -- I'm concerned because I understand the PSC rules are 16 17 actually as stringent, if not more so, than what we 18 ask of you is following the Canons for the judges. Ι 19 mean, I heard y'all are probably a little stricter on 20 yourselves.
- 21 A. That's my understanding. Well, PURC, yes, sir, is.
 22 That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. I mean, was there ever any thought you saying,
 you know, maybe I better not go do this? Or was it
 just never something that you felt like popped in

your head over it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Sir, I want to be as honest and sincere as I can with Α. I can't sit here and pretend to offer myself to be a novice about the subject of political activity or political gatherings. I've been trained under the Hatch Act. I've been trained under the CJC. I've been trained under the Ethics Act. There have been events that I've decided not to go to because they are what I would describe squarely fits in or close to a political gathering. There is no thought in my mind that this event would be considered a political gathering because, one, AKA, just like Kappa Alpha Psi, cannot host political There was no mention of a political gatherings. candidate on the flyer or at the event. And it never crossed my mind that this would be construed as a political gathering.
- Q. Okay. Let me switch gears with you. You have made repeated reference to a quote by Maya Angelou. And I think what you said was, and I think it was very telling, okay, and I believe it true. How you make them feel is effectively how people perceive you. Now, let's take that in the context of what we've got. There are obviously some people that really have glowing comments about you. Okay, you've heard

- those. You've also heard some comments that are obviously not quite as glowing.
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. Taking that quote, would you, I guess, suspect that
 maybe they came away this way? They more or less
 perceive you as how you made them feel during an
 encounter. Is that a fair way to kind of look at it?
- 8 A. So you're switching gears.
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. So we're not talking about the event anymore?
- 11 Q. We're not talking about the event.
- 12 | A. Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. We're talking about if we've got a ballot box, for instance, that says, some people might say that Justin Williams hung the moon. He is a stand-up guy, top drawer all the way. We've seen those. You've heard about them. We have some that have said some things that maybe aren't quite as flattering. I guess, would their basis of being, if we were to quote the poet, that maybe they came away from some experience with you, and that's how they felt?

That's how you made them feel during that encounter. I mean, is that at least one explanation for maybe why they had something bad to say, that they came away feeling less than happy?

A. I think that's reasonable.

- 2 And I guess the thing is this. You and I both have Q. 3 had plenty of situations where we may have been 4 involved in a process where we didn't get what we 5 wanted, okay? And it's natural human nature to kind 6 of be less than satisfied with that. And I'm not 7 going to exclude that some of these people may have 8 had some dealings with you, probably professionally, 9 where maybe they came out on the short end. 10 know, I'll be the first to say, I'm the worst loser 11 to ever live, okay? I mean, I am. But by the same 12 token, do you understand at least that maybe there 13 could have been some opportunities where people came 14 away, or maybe you did do something, not necessarily 15 intentionally, that let them feel like, hey, I'm not 16 so great in my mind that maybe this guy's going to 17 give me a fair shake if he's making a decision that 18 affects my life. I mean, that's at least one 19 explanation for these things, I'm assuming.
- 20 A. Well, so you're throwing a lot at me. So I want to 21 I want to unpack what you're saying.
- 22 Q. Absolutely.
- 23 A. Because I'm hearing a couple of things.
- 24 Q. Sure.
- 25 A. I hired an executive coach a while back just to help

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

me be a better leader. And the first meeting was an hour long over Zoom and what she told me was that -and this is supported by TILT analysis that I took, which is a psychological behavior analysis about your personality, so forth and so on. When she reviewed my resume, and we had a one hour discussion, she told me that, and this is the first time I've met her, she told me that what I would need to work on is making sure that I disarm people and I make them feel comfortable because without even knowing me, just looking at my resume and looking at how I communicate, I come across as confident and that may be construed as something else. And so that's where I take the quote from, where I had to keep in mind, and we're talking about, I started learning this before I hired the coach. So we're talking about, I believe comments that are probably from interactions over a decade old, where I was just doing the job without really thinking about, okay, how do I show up, impact the environment around me? I've changed that since then, and I do make an assertive effort to disarm folks and to make sure they know that I'm not trying to hurt them, that I'm not letting them know what my intentions are. And what I've learned is, that takes time. That may not happen in one

1 interaction. And so to the commenters that may not 2 have the best opinion of me based on what happened 3 back when, I think it's reasonable that there was 4 something in the interaction where they may have 5 thought I was more focused on results than focused on 6 people, more focused on actions than focused on 7 ideas. I think that's reasonable. Where I have to 8 push back a little bit is the idea belief that 9 someone is not going to get a fair shake. 10 Respectfully, -- respectfully, I have to push back on 11 that a little bit because I had never been more 12 fearful in my life than when I started working as a 13 prosecutor. And here's why. There is an abundant 14 amount of power and discretion with a pen as a 15 prosecutor. I was hired by someone who had a lot of 16 standards. As a new prosecutor, I met them all. 17 documented my file. I wrote prosecution memos to get 18 approval to go to trial. I was by the book because I 19 was scared. I did not want someone to feel as if 20 that I was biased or I treated someone differently 21 than I treated someone else. And so I always had an 22 open discovery policy. If there was ever any issue 23 with discovery, I wouldn't go forward on a case. 24 wanted to do everything and more to make sure that 25 whatever the outcome would be for a criminal trial,

1 that everyone felt like they got a fair shake. 2 while someone would feel like they didn't get a fair 3 shake based on what I was trying to do, that is one that I'm really not sure about. It could be a 4 5 difference in opinion on how I did the job, maybe a difference about issues like bond, issues like 6 7 electronic monitoring, issues about sentencing and 8 what level of reduction should be afforded to cases. 9 The difficult part about that is every case is an 10 individual and unique case. And it's hard to compare 11 one case to another considering the intricacies and 12 the nuance involved in the different cases. But one 13 thing that's consistent is the way I went about 14 prosecuting each case and the way I went about 15 documenting the file and being able to clearly 16 articulate my reason or rationale for whatever That doesn't mean that someone decision that I made. 17 18 would agree with it, but it does mean that I did 19 everything I could to be consistent. 20

- Q. And I will agree with you that there could be some of these comments rooted in the past. And as you said, people have an opportunity and an ability to change over time.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

25

Q. I know I have. And, you know, I'm sure there's

1 situations where people can make comments that are 2 truly antiquated when you're considering kind of what 3 their base of knowledge was at the time. So I get 4 that. And I certainly think you've had that 5 opportunity to change. But I guess what we're also 6 recognizing, I think you just did, that their 7 perception could also be their reality based on those 8 situations, whether true or not, that's their 9 reality. It may not be accurate. You may say, you 10 know what, that was just a fair disagreement. 11 that may be kind of what the motivation is for 12 somebody to say something like that. And I mean, 13 that's no slight on you. It's just -- that happens, 14 doesn't it? 15

A. Oh, absolutely, sir. I've learned that as a public service commissioner going to hundreds of hours of public hearings. And I, you know, some people think I'm a glutton for punishment. I enjoy those interactions, even though we're subject to the ire of the public who believes that we just increase rates at will. It's not the case. And that goes back -- you know, the issue of bias is a big issue that we're dealing with. And the only way -- in my opinion, the only way to ensure that you eliminate and root out bias is by being consistent and by being able to

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

articulate a clear articulation of why you're making 1 2 the decision based on the facts and based on the 3 I understand that sometimes there's evidence. 4 discretion available, and I appreciate that. But if 5 every time you're making a decision based on 6 discretion or based on just how you feel and you 7 can't point to the facts or the evidence, in my mind, 8 that could possibly show or indicate some level of 9 bias. And so I'm aware of that. And I want to make 10 sure -- I mean, it would be great to be a judge, but 11 in terms of sawing the wood in front of me, I'm a 12 public service commissioner and the vice chair. Ι 13 want to make sure in everything that I do, and 14 hopefully I've done it here. When we leave, you have 15 no questions about how I think, how I get to my 16 position, why I get there. And again, whether you 17 agree or disagree with it, at least you have had the 18 opportunity to question me fully. And hopefully you 19 are satisfied with the answers, again, whether you 20 agree with them or not.

- Q. Well, and just take what you just said. It's basically how you feel about the facts in evidence.
- 23 A. Right.

21

22

24

25

Q. All right. And I just want to make sure it's just kind of finished. You understand that being in this

1 position, if we just wanted something to get spit out 2 every time, we could use a machine for it, okay. 3 You're there as a human being because there's a human 4 factor about everything you would put your hands on, 5 isn't there? 6 I'd be hard to say that there's not a human factor --Α. 7 Q. Okay. -- in 100 percent of the things that I put my hands 8 Α. 9 on. 10 Right. So I mean, you know, I think every case in Q. 11 and of itself has its own uniqueness to it, doesn't 12 it? 13 Yes, sir, it does. Α. 14 All right. And so that means that in each situation, Q. 15 that discretion that maybe you kind of talked about in one way actually is a very vital aspect of any 16 17 decision because you have discretion to see where do 18 those facts, where does that law take me in this 19 situation as opposed to ten other ones I might have 20 heard yesterday, right? 21 Absolutely. Α. 22 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. Appreciate your candor. 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Strom. 25 Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman. MR. STROM: I just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to put my position on the record. looked at these flyers and I've looked at your two legal opinions and I agree with them. don't think on its face this is political activity. Does this have the possibility of going sideways real fast? When you look at the panel, potentially, okay? Potentially it has the possibility of going sideways and turning into a political event looking at the people I'm not telling you you shouldn't have here. I have no problem with that. Just like I gone. would never and nobody on this commission would ever tell you you can't go to church just because somebody might get up and say something that they shouldn't say potentially in church. Nobody thinks you have to guard against any kind of improper comments. What I worry about is Everybody is a reporter, okay? this. you're in a room and you're a judge -- public service commissioner, want to be a circuit judge and somebody's up there making political speech. You hadn't planned on that, but they got you in the room or they got you being mentioned. that's a blight on the whole judiciary if that It didn't happen here because you told happens.

1 us there wasn't anything like that. That's a 2 good thing. So as far as I'm concerned, this 3 issue is over. You know, I don't have any 4 problem with it. I'll say this. This is what I 5 tell my children. You find yourself and 6 everybody in this room has found themselves in a 7 position where they've walked into a room and 8 something's going on in there that you don't 9 need to be there anymore. And I tell my 10 children that's like a rattlesnake. And once 11 that rattlesnake's out, you aren't going to stay 12 in the room with the rattlesnake. And so going 13 forward, and I know you'll do this, but I just 14 encourage you to think about the rattlesnake. 15 And I encourage every judge to think about the 16 rattlesnake. If something improper starts to 17 happen, the reputation of the judiciary is on 18 your back and it's this camera that everybody 19 has that will take us all down and all the good 20 work that we try to do, you know, promoting the 21 judiciary, promoting the criminal justice 22 system, the civil justice system, you know, it 23 rides on you. So I thank you for offering. 24 think you'll make a great judge. I don't have a 25 problem with this, but I do caution you to think

1 about the rattlesnake. 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. Thank you. 3 Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman. MR. STROM: 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Representative Jordan. 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair. 6 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 7 8 Briefly, we talked a lot about the event, but just a 0. 9 couple of questions as to the event. Was voter 10 registration encouraged or actually registering 11 people at the event? 12 Α. You know, I don't know. I would like to believe -- I 13 would like to believe there was -- I would hope -- I 14 would think that some level of that was happening at 15 some point. I just didn't -- I didn't see it. 16 0. So my question is sort of a practical question going 17 forward. Let's say you're successful. You're a 18 circuit court judge. I do wonder or worry, does that 19 political gathering term a little bit broader than 20 you think it might be? And here's why. So you fast 21 forward. You're in the circuit court. You're at They have it again. You go. 22 this event. Someone's 23 registered to vote at that event. Again, fast forward 24 to the election. There's a discrepancy in the

election. There's an appeal that ends up in the

circuit court that you have to hear potentially or other circuit court judges that you're friends with or share space with. And then someone brings up, I know this is a very narrow issue, but someone brings up improper registration that occurred at an event that you were potentially at. So again, I think this political gathering, again, that's a very narrow, that doesn't affect you in this particular setting, but it could down the road. So perhaps that's a form of caution as Mr. Strom just said. When in doubt, err on the side of the broad rather than narrow would be my advice.

A. Yes, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Blackley.

17 EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. BLACKLEY:

Q. Have you ever had any conversations with any sitting judges who may be a member of any fraternities or sororities? Maybe not necessarily just Divine Nine, but and how would -- how have they handled situations like this? Because I know of at least ten people who are current judges that may be affiliated with a sorority or fraternity. Have you ever talked to

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them? I know that most of these functions that put on by sororities and fraternities of the Divine Nine are nonpolitical or supposed to be nonpolitical, but sometimes the appearance kind of goes out of the way because, you know, what is what is defined as a political event versus a civic event? And is registering someone to vote or encouraging people to vote, is that a political act or a civic act that all constituents have the right to in this great United States of America?

So I want to be very sensitive about this. I have Α. talked to a lot of sitting judges. And, you know, there's a variety of opinions about these types of I haven't met a judge yet that I've talked to that would have considered this a political event. And, you know, I didn't -- you know, why -- or something like this, maybe not this particular event, but something like this, a political event. have been bar events where members of the legislature have attended from different sides of the aisle and there's been some intense conversation and discussion. Again, prayer breakfasts and veterans events where similar things happen. The fraternity and sorority events that I've experienced are several levels below that. And so the thought is, is that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a safe space to be a part of the community and not be a hermit. But just because they believe that way or feel that way, that doesn't mean that they're necessarily right. Everyone's entitled to their opinion. In terms of whether or not voting is a civic act or political act, the Code of Judicial Conduct specifically says that judges are not prohibited, or candidates -- judges and candidates are not prohibited in participating in the voting So is that process -- you go again to process. discretion and how you interpret that, is the voting process showing up to vote or is it educating yourself to vote? I don't -- before this event, I never went this far down into the analysis, even looking at what the canons say about voting and what the judicial opinions say about judges not being a hermit and not being not a part of the community in which they judge. And so I think the sitting judges use the advisory opinions and if they were to attend an event, they would in advance get that advisory Now, just because you get the advisory opinion. opinion, that doesn't mean that the activity won't be found outside of the lines of the CJC, but each opinion says that an attempt to get the advisory opinion is an assertive effort or at least a showing

- that there was an intent to follow the law. And so I
 think -- I think the judges that I've talked to, if
 there are ever any questions about it or if it's too
 close for comfort and they really want to go, they
 would get an advisory opinion.
 - Q. Well, as I understood you earlier, if you are at an event and something seems to be very political, you would do what?
 - A. I would leave. I would leave without question.
- 10 Q. And I have witnessed --

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 11 A. And I'm sorry, self-report.
 - Q. Okay. I've actually been at one event where there was a sitting judge there and it got political, and they got up and left. Of course, you don't know what someone's going to say, but once that line is crossed, I would just caution you to always be focused on that even going into an event, even a Divine Nine event. I am not a member of Divine Nine but I know a lot of people and I know a lot of people are members of sororities and fraternities that are not Divine Nine. And at the end of the day, they're all supposed to be non-political, but just keep that in mind.
- 24 A. Thank you, ma'am.
- MS. BLACKLEY: I've been at a church event and it got

1 political and I got up and left because I 2 thought this is not what I'm coming to church 3 I don't need anybody in church to tell me 4 who to vote for. So and that's been happening a 5 lot lately. So, you know, at the end of the 6 day, you got to make a conscious effort before 7 an event to make sure that this is the right 8 place for me with what I'm trying to do 9 professionally. And I would just caution you on 10 that going forward. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, ma'am. You're welcome. 12 MS. BLACKLEY: 13 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'm also not a member of the 14 Divine Nine, but pretty sage advice. 15 anybody else have any comments or questions 16 here? Okay. Mr. Williams, let me just clarify, The letter 17 as we ran -- wind things up here. 18 that you provided from Haley Hubbard at the top, 19 there is a caption, privileged attorney/client communication. Am I correct in understanding 2.0 21 you have waived that by sharing it? 22 MR. WILLIAMS: I have. I have. And I talked with 23 Ms. Hubbard. I was in the field over the 24 weekend, so I didn't have the ability to 25 communicate in real time. But she has since

1	emailed me and told me it's fine to remove
2	for me to waive that privilege. She has no
3	issue with that. I understand that it's mine,
4	but I wanted to make sure I communicated with
5	her.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to
7	us including that letter as well as the
8	materials from Mr. Burns? Those materials, we
9	can include all that in the record.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't. I don't want any appearance
11	that that would so that that caption at
12	the top, She offered to send me another version
13	to remove that. I don't know if you if we
14	I'm just I don't want I don't want that to
15	be taken the wrong way. That the the
16	privilege information caption, if we include
17	that particular version, I don't want any
18	questions to arise. So I'm open to suggestions
19	how to address that.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: We can redact it or he can give us
21	another one.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We can either redact that
23	MR. WILLIAMS: That's fine.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

1 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Or you can provide us with a 2 Either way, we're happy to include clean copy. 3 that in the record as it is --4 MR. WILLIAMS: I'm fine with redacting. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. All right. Then again, 6 I want to thank you for your service to our 7 state and to our country. Thank you for offering 8 here for judicial service. This will conclude 9 this portion of the screening process. And then as required by contract, I'm required to remind 10 11 you that I must take this opportunity to remind 12 you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative 13 criteria, the Commission expects you to follow 14 the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics 15 And that we will view any violation or 16 appearance of impropriety as deserving of very 17 heavy weight in our screening deliberations. On 18 that note, and as you know, the record will 19 remain open until the formal release of 2.0 qualifications and that you may be called back 21 should that need arise. Do you understand all 22 that? 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Fully, sir. 24 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. With that, sir, 25 that will conclude this hearing. I certainly

appreciate your time in being here, as well as
that of your family, Mrs. Williams and Mr.
Williams. I don't know who has better hair, but
nonetheless, glad to see you both here today. I
wish you well as you travel home. Hope you get
well soon.
MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Thank you.
(Off the Record)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I will call the next candidate, Mr.
Witherspoon, and then we'll break for lunch.
Judge, welcome.
MR. WITHERSPOON: It's William.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, yeah. Attorney Judge.
MR. WITHERSPOON: Just William, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Will you raise your right hand,
please?
WHEREUPON:
WILLIAM WITHERSPOON, being duly sworn and
cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Witherspoon, thank you. You
have documents there, PDQ and the sworn
statement. Are those ready to be introduced in

1	MR. WITHERSPOON: They are.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand those to Ms. Putnam,
3	we will put them in. No objections by you?
4	MR. WITHERSPOON: None.
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (17
7	pages) PDQ)
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
10	Amendment)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (12
13	pages) Sworn Statement)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Witherspoon, you know our role
15	here and the process by which we vet your
16	candidacy, focusing on the nine evaluative
17	criteria, which includes a ballot box survey, a
18	thorough study of your application materials,
19	verification of your compliance with the state
20	ethics law, search of newspaper articles in
21	which your name appears, study of previous
22	screenings, and a check for economic conflicts
23	of interest. No affidavits have been filed in
24	opposition to your candidacy. No witnesses are
25	here to testify unless there's someone that you

1	brought that I didn't notice coming in.
2	MR. WITHERSPOON: I do not. My wife, unfortunately,
3	is having some health issues, so she's not here.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay, very good. And so, again, as
5	you know, you have the opportunity to make an
6	ever so brief opening statement. If you choose
7	not to, you have the opportunity to make a
8	closing statement if you choose to. You have
9	the floor or I'll turn it over to Ms. Wilkinson
LO	for questions. How do you want to proceed?
L1	MR. WITHERSPOON: Thank you for your opportunity to
L2	be here, and I will waive opening statements.
L3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you, Sharon
L4	Ms. Wilkinson.
L5	MS. WILKINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for
L6	the record that based on the testimony contained
L7	in Mr. Witherspoon's PDQ, which has been
L8	included in the record with his consent, Mr.
L9	Witherspoon meets the constitutional and
20	statutory requirements for this position
21	regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
22	EXAMINATION
23	MS. WILKINSON:
24	Q. Mr. Witherspoon, how do you feel your legal and
25	professional experience thus far renders you

qualified to serve as an effective circuit court judge?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I think I have -- from the documents I submitted, I have a very extensive background, legal background, having served with two judges who I very much admire, my legal education, my trial experience in the U.S. Attorney's Office, my experience in private practice, doing civil work and administrative work. I think all of that together makes me a well-rounded candidate.
- Mr. Witherspoon, the commission received 300 ballot 0. box surveys regarding you with 51 additional The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. good and honorable man with a servant's heart. has also served our profession as president of the South Carolina Bar. He would be a fantastic judge and no doubt should be nominated for election. Witherspoon is fair minded and a man of excellent character. As opposing counsel, I know that his word is his bond. He'd be an excellent judge. William Witherspoon will make an excellent judge. He has the requisite temperament, knowledge, and character for the bench and is well respected within the profession. He's also committed himself to public

24

25

service throughout his career and truly cares about the community he serves. I hope the Commission will give his candidacy the utmost consideration and afford him the opportunity to serve on the judiciary. Ten of the written comments did express concerns and there was a pattern of concerns that focused on two areas, demeanor and temperament and lack of state court experience. Mr. Witherspoon, some of the words used regarding your temperament were ill-tempered and dismissive. How do you respond to those concerns?

It's hard to respond without knowing some of the context. But I will say this. You know, as a prosecutor, we are -- I am in an adversarial Temperament as an advocate would be -- my situation. temperament as an advocate would be totally different than as a sitting judge. As an advocate, there are people sometimes who are angered because of positions I take in the cases. But that's just because they may not like the potential plea offer that I have made to them or their client. I think if you look in the whole body of work, it disavows that. you said there were 51 comments. I don't know how many comments were in that same vein, but at least three fourths of those comments were just the opposite. I think as a prosecutor, I have an

opportunity to talk with and meet with defendants and their lawyers. I will submit that all those comments, the positive comments, I think override that. I would also submit that the five letters of references were from criminal defense attorneys who I contend say just the opposite. I think you all have those letters and those letters clearly say just the opposite. I don't know how to respond to that. It concerns me, but I do the very best that I can in a very adversarial situation.

- Q. How would you respond to the concerns regarding your lack of state court experience?
- A. I have state court experience. It's just the last few years of my practice has been in Federal court. I started out clerking for two judges. I was in a private firm, small, medium sized firm here in Columbia doing plaintiff's work, doing criminal defense, doing administrative work. So I have some civil experience. I will say, however, having been in federal court, trying cases is what I do very often. The Rules of Evidence in civil and criminal court and state and federal court, the Rules of Evidence are primarily the same. And so I'm able to use those Rules of Evidence. As far as not actually practicing, I have also gone and watched civil

1 matters in Federal court. I've also gone and 2 listened to webinars on civil issues. I've also kept 3 abreast of the advance sheets and all those things, I 4 think, help in that regards. If there's a weakness, 5 then there's a weakness that I can work on by 6 actually being prepared, studying, burning the 7 midnight oil. Same things I've done in Federal 8 court. I may not be the smartest lawyer, but 9 nobody's going to outwork me when it's time to get 10 the job done. 11 MS. WILKINSON: Regarding the Citizens Committee 12 report, I would note that the Midland Citizens 13 Committee reported that Mr. Witherspoon is 14 qualified in constitutional qualifications, 15 physical health and mental stability. They 16 further reported that Mr. Witherspoon is well qualified in ethical fitness, professional and 17 18 academic ability, character, reputation, 19 experience and judicial temperament. 20 Additionally, the committee commented in their summary that Mr. Witherspoon was quote, unquote 21 22 well qualified. 23 Mr. Witherspoon, I do have a few housekeeping issues Q. 24 to go over with you. Are you aware that as a 25 judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of

- Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South
 Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 3 | A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 7 A. I have not.
- 8 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
 9 sought to receive the pledge of any legislator either
 10 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
 11 screening?
- 12 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 16 | A. I am.
- 17 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
 18 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you
 19 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
 20 process on your behalf?
- 21 A. I am not.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina
 Code section 2-19-70E?
- 25 A. I am.

1	MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
2	record that any concerns raised during this
3	investigation by staff on Mr. Witherspoon were
4	incorporated into the questioning of him today
5	and I have no further questions.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Mr. Strom.
7	MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman Mr. Vice
8	Chairman. Members of the commission, I will
9	tell you, I've known Mr. Witherspoon more than
10	30 years. We've worked together in the U.S.
11	Attorney's Office. I've worked with him in the
12	United States Attorney's Office and had cases
13	with him since then. Yeah, he's an outstanding
14	lawyer. He's ethical. He's hardworking. He's
15	pretty smart. I don't have any concern about
16	him picking up the civil side of the work.
17	Anybody who has concern about being able to
18	practice in state court after having his
19	experience in federal court is just wrong. If
20	you can do the federal court work, certainly you
21	can do the state court work. I think you're
22	very well qualified to be a circuit judge.
23	MR. WITHERSPOON: Thank you very much.
24	MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Do other

1 members of the commission have any questions or 2 comments for Mr. Witherspoon? Representative Jordan. 3 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Briefly, Mr. Vice Chair. 4 Thank you very much for offering to serve. 5 MR. WITHERSPOON: Thank you, sir, for serving. 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 8 Just a couple questions. I don't dispute or doubt 0. 9 that you would have any issue going from the federal 10 side to the state side, but I think it would require 11 some change in process on how you go from evaluating 12 on the federal side. I do a fair amount of federal 13 work, much different caseload. I would guess that 14 you would have to deal with the volume. You'd agree 15 with that, correct? 16 Α. That's correct. 17 And in doing that, I would hope there'd be a Q. 18 recognition in that when you deal with lawyers as 19 you're going to have a heavy say in the docket and 20 how the cases get moved, you'd recognize that they 21 have a lot of cases going on too, as opposed to some 22 that might just be in federal practice, the volume of 23 cases. Is that a fair --24 That's fair, yes. Α.

So I guess what I'm driving at and asking at is, how

25

Q.

would you make that transition especially when you're dealing with the volume and working with lawyers and court staff that have to deal with a tremendous amount of cases and taking into consideration that they also have personal lives and those kind of things? How would you go about transitioning from very different -- again, no concerns of your ability to adapt and to do the work. It's just how would you bridge that -- bridge that gap?

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

As I told you earlier, my wife has some health issues Α. and I've had to deal with those health issues. Being a lawyer -- going to law school -- I say this all the time. Going to law school, nobody ever told you how hard this is to be a lawyer. I remember watching TV shows and thought this was -- this was easy. lawyers and you, the members of the General Assembly, you guys have three jobs. You have your family life, you have your law practice, and you have your practice here. It's not easy balancing all of those. As a judge, you have to recognize that lawyers have families and have issues. My son played soccer, so there would be time that I have to leave work early to go play soccer -- for soccer matches. My daughter was in the band and all that. And you have all that. So as a judge, I think you have to realize that that

1 is part of the life of a lawyer. And you have to 2 very -- balance all of that with making sure that the 3 court is running smoothly and properly. Taking into 4 consideration, a lawyer has to be, you know, what is 5 to say, wherever he or she needs to be outside the 6 practice, not only in the courtroom, but you've got 7 to run your office practice each and every day. 8 You're the chairman of that law practice, and you 9 have to be lawyer. Judges have to be aware of that 10 and give you the opportunity to do that. In Federal 11 court, lawyers come to me all the time and ask for 12 continuances. And my standard response is you don't 13 If you need to continuance just say have to ask. 14 William consents because you have other obligations 15 to deal with. And so I recognize that. And I think 16 Supreme Court has recognized that by giving the two 17 weeks that you can schedule and that no court can 18 call you into court. But by the same token, you 19 know, you can't abuse that process either because the 2.0 court does have to run smoothly to make sure that the 21 cases are taken care of. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other questions or 23 comments? All right, Mr. William -- well, 24 excuse me. 25 MR. WITHERSPOON: Please call me William. I keep

1 telling people Mr. Witherspoon is my dad. 2 just William. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, William, Mr. Witherspoon, 4 I do want to thank you for your service to our 5 state and to our country. Thank you for 6 offering for service on the judiciary. We have 7 reached the conclusion of this part of our 8 I need to take this opportunity to 9 remind you, though, that pursuant to the 10 Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission 11 expects candidates to follow the letter as well 12 as the spirit of the law and know that we will 13 view any violation or appearance of impropriety 14 as deserving of potentially very heavy weight 15 during our screening deliberations. On that 16 note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the release of the final 17 18 qualifications report and that you may be called 19 back at such time if the need were to arise. Do 2.0 you understand all that? 21 MR. WITHERSPOON: Yes, sir. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Again, then, sir, I 23 will thank you for your time. Thank you for 24 I appreciate your flexibility. being here. 25 MR. WITHERSPOON: Yes, sir.

1	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I wish you all the best as you
2	travel home very safely.
3	MR. WITHERSPOON: Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Now on motion of Representative
5	Jordan to go into executive session to receive a
6	legal briefing, seconded by Senior
7	Representative Rutherford, all in favor, signify
8	by saying aye. Aye. All opposed, nays. The
9	ayes have it. We will be in an executive
10	session. Thank you all.
11	(EXECUTIVE SESSION WAS HELD FROM 1:35 TO 2:27 PM)
12	(Off the Record)
13	(Redacted)
14	(Off the Record)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, welcome.
16	JUDGE GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, how are you?
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good, thank you. Raise your
18	right hand if you will.
19	WHEREUPON:
20	DAVID SHAWN GRAHAM, being duly sworn and
21	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
22	and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Put your name on the record for us,
24	please.
25	JUDGE GRAHAM: David Shawn Graham.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you have before you your PDQ and
2	sworn statement; is that correct?
3	JUDGE GRAHAM: That's correct. It's marked as
4	Exhibit 16.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. No objection to it being
6	included in the record?
7	JUDGE GRAHAM: Not at all.
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (17
10	pages) PDQ)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
13	Sworn Statement)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. This, as you know, is
15	your first foray, I believe, in JMSC; is that
16	correct? Forgive me you know it well.
17	JUDGE GRAHAM: It's been it was years ago, but
18	yes.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for and you are serving
20	as a judge now, correctly
21	JUDGE GRAHAM: Municipal judge for the Town of
22	Lexington and also an assistant drug court judge
23	for the 11th Circuit.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super. You're familiar with this
25	process by which we vet your candidacy and the

1 nine evaluative criteria that we focus on, 2 including the ballot box survey, thorough study 3 of your application materials, verification of 4 your compliance with the state ethics laws, 5 search of newspaper articles in which your name 6 appears, study of that prior screening, and the 7 finally, a check for economic conflicts of 8 interest. No affidavits have been filed in 9 opposition for your candidacy, no witnesses are 10 here to testify against you in that vein. 11 you bring anyone with you that you'd like to 12 introduce? 13 JUDGE GRAHAM: No, I did not. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, Judge. You have the 15 opportunity to make a brief opening statement, 16 if you'd like, or dispense with that. And Trey 17 Wolpole will lead the initial round of questions and then --18 19 JUDGE GRAHAM: I'm fine with waiving that and going 20 to questions. And then if -- if it's all right, 21 if there's something that I feel like I need to 22 add at the end --23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Certainly. 24 JUDGE GRAHAM: -- I'll do that. That'll help you all 25 speed along.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Not to hurry you up, but
2	you can make a closing statement as well. So
3	JUDGE GRAHAM: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So with that, Mr. Walpole
5	pronounce it.
6	MR. WALPOLE: A wall and a pole
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
8	MR. WALPOLE: Walpole.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: wall and a pole will be asking
10	you questions without the and.
11	MR. WALPOLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, good
12	afternoon.
13	JUDGE GRAHAM: Good afternoon.
14	MR. WALPOLE: I note, for the record, that based on
15	the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ,
16	which has been included in the record with the
17	candidate's consent, the Honorable David Shawn
18	Graham meets the constitutional and statutory
19	requirements for this position regarding age,
20	residence and years of practice.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. WALPOLE:
23	Q. Judge Graham, how do you feel your legal and
24	professional experience thus far renders you
25	qualified and will assist you to be an effective

circuit court judge?

- So I've been an attorney for 28 years, I believe, Α. right now, in October. I was an assistant solicitor and deputy solicitor for 25-plus of those years. lot of that practice was a trial practice. think, as far as criminal I'm -- understand the law and know how trial court works. I think, like all attorneys, that we're probably all smart enough to be Obviously, we can -- you know, am I light judges. on civil experience? There's no question that I am. And I can talk about my civil experience, if you'd like, or we can do that later, or however you want to handle it.
- 14 Q. We'll address that, I guess, in a little bit now --
- 15 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

- 16 Q. -- when there's an opening.
- A. But I feel like I've had a lot of trial experience.

 So as far as my experience, I think that a trial

 circuit court judge should be somebody with a lot of

 trial experience. You know, I can go on about

 demeanor and other things as well, but I figure we'll

 get that at different point as well.
 - Q. Yes, sir. Thank you, Judge. Judge Graham, the
 Commission received 154 ballot box surveys regarding
 you with 31 additional comments. The ballot box

survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Graham is fair, ethical, smart and open to learning. He would be an excellent jurist. Shawn's experience, intelligence, and particularly, his temperament, are ideal for a position as circuit court judge, and was an excellent deputy solicitor trial lawyer in Lexington with the highest ethics and great temperament. Five of the written comments expressed concerns, four of the comments raised concerns with your civil experience. Judge Graham, as to your civil experience, would you like to offer a response to those concerns?

A. Sure. I think they're a valid point to bring up.

You know, in a perfect world, you would have somebody
that has a great amount of criminal, a great amount
of civil, he's got all the other categories you're
looking for, the right demeanor, the right character
and everything like that. I think probably the most
obvious place, and those comments are on point, is my
lack of civil. I will point out that of the 30-some
other or 27 other comments, people who know me well
and worked with me, they had no concern about my lack
of civil experience. So I would point that out for
the Commission. Since I've left the solicitor's

office and gone into private practice, I practice in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probate court, I practice in family court and civil court as well. I have taken a lot of CLEs and will continue to take CLEs to pick up on my experience. Ι think I've attended -- either attended or watched online -- four just within the last six months. then I've been attending motion hearings the resident judges are putting on in Lexington, and that would be Judge McCaslin and Judge McLeod. I've attended four motions hearings, watching them. I've seen a bench trial, watching them, and I will continue doing that. So I'm open to learn. I know that I have a lot to learn. The Rules of Evidence between the two are the The trial experience, I think if -- my thought on this is it's not just whether you have civil or It's really whether you have civil and criminal in trial. So I have criminal -- you know, most of the -- most criminal cases are handled by And then you have trials to handle the ones pleas. that can't be tried. In civil court, you're going to have alternative dispute resolution, you're going to have other avenues like that, and you're going to have motion hearings. Most of them are handled in motion hearings, and then the ones that can't go to So as far as trial, I have a lot of trial experience. I'm good in the courtroom. I'm good on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I understand how the law works. If you look at -- you know, as a solicitor, I could brag about how many convictions I've got. The truth is I should go -- I get to pick the cases I want. that's not really, you know, the point of saying But what I would want to draw attention to is that I haven't had many cases overturned. I've worked on, death penalty cases where I was assisting an elected, so I won't count those, because a lot of those get overturned. But I think the cases -- the rest of the cases that I've tried, I think there's only three of them that have been overturned. And that was -- you know, one would've been, I guess, my mistake for asking the witness a question that I shouldn't have about qualifications. The others would've been really errors on the judge who did it. So I think that that shows the I understand the law and know the law. And I think that's one of the things that actually would make me a good judge, is that, you know, I can learn the law, people can tell me what the law is, and then I can apply the law. Talking to the clerk of courts in the circuit, it seems like about two to one, as far as trials, that are criminal. So there are more criminal trials than civil trials. I guess, that's where I'm at right

1 now.

MR. WALPOLE: Thank you, Judge Graham. I would note that the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Judge Newman is qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, mental stability and experience, and well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation and judicial temperament. The Committee stated, and I quote: Civil experience lacking, but he's working on it. Big improvement in judicial temperament.

- Q. Judge Graham, just a few housekeeping issues. Judge Graham, are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you sought or received a pledge of any legislator either

1		prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
2		screening?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the
5		limitations on contacting members of the general
6		assembly regarding your screening?
7	Α.	I am.
8	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact members
9		of the general assembly on your behalf, or are you
10		aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
11		process on your behalf?
12	Α.	No.
13	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the
14		Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina
15		Code Section 2-19-70(e)?
16	Α.	Yes.
17		MR. WALPOLE: Mr. Chairman, I would not, for the
18		record, that any concerns raised during the
19		investigation by staff regarding the candidate
20		were incorporated into the questioning of the
21		candidate today. I have no further questions.
22		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. Questions by
23		members of the Commission? Senator Sabb.
24		SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25		EXAMINATION

BY SENATOR SABB:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Thank you, Mr. Graham. I paid attention to, well, Q. everything, but my attention was drawn to a comment you made about, as a solicitor, being able to choose your cases and being able to get convictions. noted, in the cases that you listed, all of them were convictions. I need to know a little bit about your prosecutorial philosophy.
- What would you like me -- so I'll start talking and Α. you correct me if I go down the wrong path.
- 11 All right. You know, as a prosecutor, it was always Q. 12 my belief and the way I operated to do justice. Ι 13 had the ability, when cases came in, to decide what 14 should happen. You know, first is, is the case --15 does it deserve to be brought, should it be brought, 16 is it strong enough to be brought, you know, because 17 as a prosecutor, I wasn't a probable cause 18 prosecutor, I was a reasonable doubt. Cases don't go 19 forward --- they don't go to trial unless there's reasonable doubt. You know, it's -- I don't lightly 20 21 take away people's rights. It's -- it is a big 22 thing, and I understand that. As a prosecutor, I 23 understood that, and I believe that, and I preach 24 that to younger lawyers. So --25
 - I'll just stop you there. Q.

A. Sure.

1

- 2 Because I prosecuted for 20 years. And I really Q. 3 needed to hear you say that. Quick story. So my law 4 partner was Clifton Newman, and so when he first 5 started practicing law, he was a defense lawyer and 6 he tried a case against then Solicitor Wade Kolb, and 7 was successful. And so then Wade approached him 8 about hiring him, because he liked his skillset. 9 Judge Newman's response was, Well, no, I'm committed 10 to the little guy and making sure that he or she gets 11 a fair shake and a fair trial and they deserve good, 12 competent lawyers. And the response that Solicitor 13 Kolb gave to him was the reason why he became the 14 assistant solicitor. And the response was, Well, 15 look, Clifton, if a person is charged wrongfully and 16 he's before you, you got to fight like the dickens. 17 But if you're the prosecutor and you know it's not a 18 good case, you've got the power of the pen to 19 administer justice. And so I've just always viewed 20 that as being the role and the philosophy of a 21 prosecutor. And so --
 - A. I agree.

22

23

24

25

Q. -- frankly, had I not gotten that response, I would've been disappointed and everything else. But you hit the nail on the head.

- 1 Α. I've got a -- so I have a couple of anecdotes, I 2 guess, I'd like to share, because I think it's I 3 think a fair concern or somebody might think, He's 4 been a prosecutor, so he's biased, you know, He 5 doesn't believe what you just said. And I guess a 6 couple of things that I would share is, you know, as 7 I became deputy solicitor, you get calls from law 8 enforcement, you get cases, you're communicating with 9 them about cases. I remember one of my -- in the 10 last couple of years, there had been a double 11 homicide in Cayce. They made -- they made arrests. 12 The case came, I reviewed it, and I sent it back, 13 because it wasn't there. I know that -- I know, as 14 far as pressure, I'm sure that the department and the 15 chief wanted to make an arrest, but the evidence 16 wasn't there, and it wasn't the right thing to do. 17 So with the stroke of my pen, I sent it back. 18 they weren't -- they weren't happy. You know, they 19 wanted us to just take what they gave them and go 20 forward with it, even if we lost. But that's not the 21 way that's supposed to work. 22
 - Q. I totally agree.

24

25

Years ago -- so the -- if you can tell from my Α. career, if you stay as a solicitor, you get more and So the last 12, 15 years, I was more serious cases.

1	homicide cases. If you go back before then, I
2	handled a bunch of other cases. One of the cases I
3	had was two brothers who were accused of a home
4	invasion with an elderly woman. They were Colvin and
5	Calvin Washington, they were twins. And the defense
6	attorneys were telling me, you know, Shawn, they did
7	not do that. That isn't something, honestly, defense
8	attorneys say a whole lot. They don't really,
9	honestly, put themselves out there and tell you that.
10	So I you know, the case had come, I was working on
11	getting indictments. We started digging deeper.
12	Listened to a 911 call, found out that the actual
13	call came in from, like, a Medic Alert where she had
14	fallen you know, I've fallen and I can't get up.
15	So she had pushed her Medic Alert. Well, we got
16	that. And what I heard on the Medical Alert was she
17	was yelling at her own grandson, because her grandson
18	is the one who assaulted her and pushed her down. So
19	she lied about what happened when the police got
20	there and had two innocent young men who lived across
21	the street, which I guess, she had some kind of issue
22	with. So you know, not accepting what law
23	enforcement does, trying to do the right thing,
24	investigating, I dismissed those charges and let them
25	out of jail. The other thing I would share is my

1 first bench trial with the Town of Lexington, it was 2 a speeding ticket and I had an elderly couple, the 3 husband was driving, the wife was a passenger. 4 he said to the Court, you know, I want a bench trial. 5 I wasn't speeding. I've never had a ticket before in 6 I don't speed. And so everybody went under my life. 7 oath, the officer testified, and I asked the officer, 8 you know, Have you -- Did you run his record? 9 said that he doesn't have a record. I'd like to know 10 whether he's telling the truth. And he said, no, I 11 haven't. And then I said is there any video, you know, because video would show cars going by and 12 13 When you went up to the window, it would 14 show maybe what they said to you. And the response I 15 got was that, This case isn't important enough. 16 so that was a not quilty. So I -- I quess, I say 17 those just to say that any concern -- I think I was a 18 I think I am the kind of person you good prosecutor. 19 want as a prosecutor. 20 Well, you know, I'm impressed, because of how quickly Q. 21 you were able to go to those instances.

Q. Well, you know, I'm impressed, because of how quickly you were able to go to those instances. And then, frankly, I can recount some very similar kinds of things, and so I firmly believe that what you're telling us is how you've been, which is what I believe good prosecutors are called upon to do. So

22

23

24

1 thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey. 3 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Shawn, great to see you here. I had the fortune of 6 0. 7 trying cases with you and I would happily be a 8 witness on your behalf. But I'm struck by some of 9 the superlative comments in the letters of 10 recommendation from people who enjoy considerable 11 reputations. Most notably here that I'm looking at 12 is Lee Leventis' comments about his experiences with 13 you in opposition, and certainly echo my own 14 experiences with you. And I won't prime you with who 15 was your -- who was the best assistant solicitor you 16 ever worked with. That would be too self-serving, 17 because then they would know it wouldn't be me. But 18 nonetheless, I want to thank you for your service to 19 the State of South Carolina and, certainly, offering 20 for this future service as well. Thank you, sir. 21 Thank you, Vice Chairman. JUDGE GRAHAM: 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett. 23 EXAMINATION 24 BY SENATOR GARRETT: 25 I noticed -- and this is very important to me -- is 0.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

that you're acting as a judge in drug court. Tell us about how that's going in -- is it Lexington County? What county?

It's for the circuit. But yes, right now, the drug court is only happening in Lexington County. Ι really enjoy it. As I kind of mentioned earlier, is I -- when you get to the point, as a solicitor or assistant solicitor or deputy solicitor, that you're only prosecuting murder cases, you know, it's kind of a dim world. You can't do anything to help people. You don't see -- what you see is bad things that people did. When I had the opportunity to go in drug court, I had told the solicitor if that opportunity comes up, I'd always wanted to be part of that. one of my good friends is actually the national director for All Rise, who is -- gives the training for drug court. But what -- Judge McLeod is the judge. I'm the assistant judge. So basically, anytime he's unable to, can't, then I actually sit on the bench. I'm always there for drug court. always there for the staffing meetings on people. And the thing that it's -- that is so nice is being able to be just a small part. Because we don't do the work. All we do really is hold people accountable and celebrate their successes and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

encourage them. But to see the pictures of people who came in, you know, because they're there because of their drug addiction, whatever the crime they've committed, it's been determined that the reason they committed those crimes is because of an addiction. And you're seeing their booking photos. I get to see their booking photos. I get to see them when they first come in for an observation period, and people look rough. To get to the point where, you know, they're committing crimes because of an addiction and, you know, getting caught, they're at a bad place in their life. And to be part of that and watch them change and go through the phases -- there's three phases, the one, two and three, and to see them graduate, you get to -- you get to be part of that. And it's not easy. I mean, it's a year-and-a-half, two-year commitment. And like I said, they are the ones doing the work. You know, we can hold their feet to the fire, we can give them sanctions, we can give them more counseling when things go wrong for them, they're expected to go wrong, because addiction is a -- it's a disease and it doesn't -- they shouldn't be in there if it's easy for them. things, I guess, I've noticed most is that we get to celebrate things that, you know, you and I take for

1 granted that you've got a family around you on a birthday. One of them was talking about the first 2 3 time they'd ever had really a family Christmas, and 4 that's a big deal. You also see people who are 5 reunifying -- you know, fathers who are reunifying 6 with their children who, because of their addiction, 7 they got cut out of their lives, and they're getting 8 to repair and rebuild those. You're seeing people 9 who lost their driver's license, are able to work and 10 get their driver's license back, who haven't had a 11 good job, who are able now to hold jobs, who -- I 12 guess, anything that you can think about that's good, 13 we get to see that and we get to celebrate with them. 14 So I've just thoroughly enjoyed being part of that. 15 I think it's a great program. 16

Q. We've had several judges who have talked about -- and I've come to this conclusion that probably 80, 90 percent of all crime has something to do with alcohol, drugs, or in some combination. And it seems to me we're catching them on the backends and now, we've got to work towards catching them on the front end. But at least, we're catching some of them on the back end and giving them an opportunity to try to clean up their lives and forget -- you know, as long as they're victimless crimes nn most -- in those

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- circumstances. We don't do that very often with victim crimes, but some victimless crimes and circumstances.

 A. Knowing -- I didn't mean to cut you off, Senator.
- 5 O. At least, that's been my experience in the 8th
- Q. At least, that's been my experience in the 8th judicial circuit --
- 7 | A. In mine as well.
- 8 Q. -- with Judge --
- 9 I know different programs and different places handle Α. 10 their screening process and who comes in different. 11 In Lexington, it's basically a plea only. So it is 12 people who would be -- I mean, they have a suspended 13 sentence over their head. So the -- I think that the 14 -- that's the model that's preferred by the drug 15 court, from their studies, is those are the people who, I guess, the -- you know, they know what's going 16 17 to happen if they can't complete this. So the 18 incentive for them to complete it and do this 19 successfully is very great.
 - Q. Well, thank you very much. I think that's as good a public service as anybody can do in the practice of law, maybe other than being a Guardian Ad Litem for children.
- 24 A. Thank you very much.

21

22

23

25 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Strom.

1 SENATOR STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. STROM: 4 Judge, I join Representative Caskey and looking at 5 your letters, Lee Leventis gave me my first job out of the solicitor's office and I've had the benefits 6 7 to try a lot of cases with Jack Swerling as a young 8 lawyer, two of the most ethical people I know. 9 then your answers to Senator Saab and Senator Garrett 10 show me that you've got a heart. You obviously have 11 the temperament that -- you've shown us something 12 different that we don't see every day. I've been 13 doing this a long time. Some people get callous 14 about it, some people care, and I think you care. 15 Α. I care. MR. STROM: 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other comments? 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 20 I am impressed too. And Judge Graham, I'm looking Q. 21 through your -- both attributes Representative Caskey gave you from the ballot box surveys, in additional 22 23 to these letters of reference, from folks that would 24 not maybe necessarily want to say nice things about 25 you if it weren't so. Lee Leventis ran for congress

when I was in undergraduate school and I met him at the Lizard's -- no, at the Winner's Circle.

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. There are three people in here that know what I'm talking about that they're family ran. And didn't know me from Adam, but I think I got credit for meeting a congressional candidate. And so great to see if he's still engaged and involved. And of course, I know his family well. You haven't been asked by Mr. Safran, so I'm going to ask it. Why -- I'm going to do a little differently -- why in the world would you want to do this and why now?
- So I won't use the word retire, because that's not a But I had -- I had got to the point where good word. I could get my pension and leave the solicitor's office. It was time to do that. Like I said, I felt that I had done my share of murder trials. And you know, it's a lot emotionally, physically, and mentally, and I wanted to try to do other things. So I went out on my own. I guess, if you look at my background, I started off, I graduated and I worked for IBM for four years. It was a good job. would've stayed there, I probably would've made more money than I ever made as an assistant solicitor and a deputy solicitor.

- 1 Q. Quick interruption.
- 2 A. Sure.
- Q. I don't believe I've ever met an undergraduate -mathematics. I can barely say the word, let alone do
- 5 it.
- 6 A. So --
- 7 | Q. Then became a -- wanted to go to law school, so...
- 8 A. Solicitor Meyers was a math major, actually. He was --
- 10 Q. Never screened him.
- 11 A. -- the only -- he's the only one I've ever seen too.
- 12 | Q. Yeah.

Α.

16

- SENATOR SABB: Now, wait a minute. That guy named
 Ronnie Sabb.
- JUDGE GRAHAM: There you go. There you go, Senator.

So there's -- so some of us, you know, there's a

- usually an answer and it's black and white. I think
 it helps you -- I do think it helps us look at both
 sides of the situation. I've always -- I look -- to
 prepare for cases, I always try to look at, you know,
 what a defense attorney would want to do; because,
 obviously, anything I want to do, they want to stop
- me. So being able to view things from both sides and
- 24 you look at the whole picture. But I guess -- so I
- left there. They were changing my job. And my

1 family was not a family. My dad worked for IBM as 2 well, and he was not an attorney fan, because he was, 3 you know -- IBM was a monopoly and so there were 4 anti-trust suits and stuff like that, so he wasn't an 5 attorney fan. But I was -- you know, I came from 6 My dad was the first to go to college. middle class. 7 I wanted to go and serve. And you know, all of us 8 are attorneys here, so we're all serving our fellow 9 citizens, men and women, however -- whatever case 10 that is. You that have gone on to be, you know, 11 senators and representatives, you're serving -- I 12 felt that I served as an assistant solicitor to my 13 community and to the state. I feel, in private 14 practice, I'm serving. But I honestly feel like the 15 epitome of service for an attorney is a judge. 16 feel like I would be a good judge. I feel like --17 you know, the big things, I think, that are probably 18 most important -- because like I said, we're all 19 smart enough. It's not going to be -- it's not going 20 to be that issue. We all have the ability to learn 21 the law, we all have the ability to apply the law. Ι 22 can tell you that, as a judge, I don't have an 23 If you all tell me the law is something, 24 that's what's going to be applied, and I'm going to 25 apply it. If it should be appealed and needs to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appealed, that's not my issue. That's going to be raised up in the appellate courts. But as a trial judge, y'all tell me law and I apply it. I like having black and white things. You tell me what it is and we can apply it. I just feel, I guess, that the best contribution, you know, that I can make at this point in my career with my experience, is to be a circuit judge. I feel like I'd be good. For me, I quess, probably the -- I feel like my strongest point is not really my trial experience and all that that I I really think it's my demeanor. It's who I am did. and how I treat people. And I think, in the positive comments for the ballot box, I think that probably is echoed in there. I think each and every one of you, if we spend a little bit of time together, you're going to say, Shawn's a nice guy. I am a nice guy. I treat people with respect. I treat people with As a municipal judge, I found out that a lot of it is, you know, people just want to be heard. They come in and they don't understand, they don't agree, and they want to be heard. And I think, as a judge, that's important to let people be heard, as a circuit judge. So I'm going to let people talk. going to let defense attorneys make motions. got upset with a single defense attorney who made --

who made motions, even though, from my own 1 2 standpoint, I might say, Well, that doesn't have a 3 chanced at succeeding or I don't really understand 4 The only time I ever got upset was when it was 5 any kind of accusation that was personnel, that I had 6 done something wrong, unethical, and that was an 7 issue. But other than that, I welcome. You know, if 8 you do what you need to do for your client, and I get 9 As a judge, I would be the judge that would 10 let those -- let those motions be made, and let them 11 be heard so everybody has their say. You know, I'm 12 not afraid to rule. I'm not afraid to apply the law. 13 But I think that's probably my biggest asset and why 14 I think I would be a good judge, is probably my 15 demeanor. 16 Well, and the Citizens Committee made a note of, 0. 17

Q. Well, and the Citizens Committee made a note of, obviously, acknowledging the lack of civil experience. But as we all know, the caseload of a civil docket versus a criminal docket, ebb and flow of mediation winnowing out many, many cases, it might be a -- more of a criminal docket duty than civil. But you mention temperament. In the note -- I want you to explain this for me. I don't understand it, Big improvement in judicial temperament.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't either. I didn't understand where that came

1 from either. I'm assuming the -- so I ran seven 2 years ago and so I would've gone in front of the 3 Citizens Committee seven years ago. And I don't know 4 whether that was a personal observation with somebody 5 on the Committee. But I think if you look at my 6 ballot box from seven years ago, it's going to say --7 I don't think you're going to find complaints about 8 my demeanor at that point either. So I don't -- I 9 can't explain that.

- Q. And that was not something discussed during that conversation, that interview, with the Citizens
 Committee.
- 13 A. They did not -- they didn't bring it up --
- 14 Q. Yeah. Yeah.
- 15 A. -- during that, so I really don't know. I was -
 16 when I saw that, I was kind of surprised, because I

 17 didn't know that I had --
- 18 | Q. But --

21

22

23

24

- 19 A. -- I didn't know that I was starting with a bad demeanor, I guess.
 - Q. Well, again, it is an outlier comment that does not parrot any of the things that we've seen, read or heard today. But in that vein, in terms of your model, your shining star, the best example of who you would like to be compared to in a favorable light if

you were successful, what judge or judges would fit that bill?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- You know, I've tried several cases in front of Judge Α. I think he has already carried himself well. He's able to keep control of the courtroom, but he treats people well. He lets people have their say. He doesn't let them repeat it too many times, but he lets them have their say. I would say that he is somebody that I would want to emulate. You know, honestly, I guess, if you look at any of them, when you -- you would consider them to be somebody who would be like your grandpa, somebody who's nice, but can be firm when they need to. That's who -- that's what I would -- that's the look I'm going for, I quess, is somebody like that.
- Q. Representative Rutherford is so -- trying to channel me. Well, let me just advance myself. He's so channeling, now, me not to say, Grandpa, tell me about the good ole days. He might not have heard of that song before, but... Well, and with that, I think you are speaking to the right balance. And levity and allowance to do, but also, accountability in not letting someone run over the process by three times asking or objecting or -- perhaps it's a discovery matter where people are just saying almost to the Bar

25

between each other, civil, plaintiff and defense, Sue me, almost, -- that I'm not going to produce what I need to produce. So anyway, I commend you for your willingness, and you're not looking for a pay raise, it seems to me.

- A. Yeah, I'm not doing this for the money.
- Q. Yeah.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other question of other

members? If not, I will now afford you the

right, if you'd like, to make whatever closing

remarks before we lose the record.

JUDGE GRAHAM: You know, Mr. Chairman, I figured we would, and we did. I think we've covered, you know, why -- why I want to do it, why I think I should -- you know, would make a good judge, so we've covered those. You know, I was proud -- I picked my letters of recommendation with I didn't have any civil attorneys to purpose. call on, but I had people who I had tried serious cases with on the other side. And I can't imagine of any -- any other people who could see me -- honestly, when I could probably be at my worse, when I could be in the heat of battle and, you know, trying serious cases. And I think that the comments I got show you who I

1 am. So I think they're very accurate 2 representations of what you get when you see me. 3 You know, we mentioned Jack Swerling. 4 mentioned Lee Leventis, of course. One of the 5 other ones is the Sara Maulden. She is actually the circ Public defender. She wrote her -- she 6 7 wrote her letter as a public defender, because 8 she didn't want to put her credence of her 9 office on it. But she is a circuit public 10 defender. We've had many cases together and I 11 think that - you know the fact that the circuit 12 public defender is willing to go on record and 13 say something about somebody who was a 14 prosecutor they had cases with. I think that 15 says a lot as well. The clerk of court for 16 Lexington also wrote one of my recommendations and I also made an effort -- because I didn't 17 18 know this the first time I ran, but I did find 19 out that the clerk of courts get polled and that 20 they provide information to you. So I made an 21 effort of meeting each one of them. And I'm --22 if they responded, I would assume they would be 23 favorable as well. You know, I treat people 24 well; that includes staff, which is why the 25 clerk of court said she thought I'd be a good

1 judge, because that's all the same, according to 2 her. You know, it's not just attorneys. You 3 know, everybody needs to be treated with dignity 4 and respect, and I believe that. So that can be 5 the clerk in there or the custodian. We all 6 have jobs to do and, you know, it's all 7 necessary to have it done. But I would just --8 and I quess then the last letters that I had was 9 from my paralegal, who is my victim advocate. 10 So she had just seen me day in and day out over 11 the years. Yeah, is she biased because we worked together, I mean, she probably is. 12 13 think the pictures that she paints are true 14 I have compassion for people. pictures. 15 I've said this because something has -- I mean, 16 I've made mistakes in my life. Just because 17 somebody is a criminal and they've got charges 18 and they're up in front of me, that doesn't mean 19 that they don't need to be treated with respect. They've -- you know, I'm seeing them as a judge 20 21 or the prosecutor, I'm seeing them on one of the 22 worst days on their life for the decisions they've made. That doesn't mean they don't need 23 24 to be held accountable, but it doesn't mean they 25 need to be treated with disrespect either.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.
2	JUDGE GRAHAM: So, but I think that my letters of
3	representation, I think, kind of speak for
4	themselves of who I am.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Well, sir, we thank you.
6	And this will conclude this portion of the
7	screening process. You know, and you're familiar
8	with the fact that the record does remain open
9	until the final report of qualifications, and
10	that we take very seriously the law, direct,
11	black and white, or the spirit of the state
12	ethics law. And any appearance of impropriety
13	or suspicion of that on your behalf would
14	warrant us bringing you back. You do know that.
15	JUDGE GRAHAM: Understood.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge. Happy trails.
17	Thank you very much.
18	JUDGE GRAHAM: Thank y'all very much.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are going to go to Ms. Keesley
20	next, Paula. Go off the record a second, ma'am.
21	(Off the Record)
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record. And
23	before we speak to our next candidate, I just
24	want to take Commission notice of a former
25	member of this Commission who served before my

1	time, joining us in the audience. That is
2	Senator Jakie Knotts. We welcome you back, sir,
3	and glad you are here, doing well.
4	MS. KNOTTS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, ma'am
6	JUDGE KEESLEY: Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: please raise your right hand.
8	WHEREUPON:
9	KYLIENE KEESLEY, being duly sworn and
10	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
11	and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
12	JUDGE KEESLEY: My name is Kyliene Lee Keesley,
13	Kylie, yes.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. And you
15	have with you the PDQ and the Sworn Statement
16	ready to be introduced into the record?
17	JUDGE KEESLEY: I do.
18	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 MARKED FOR
19	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (19
20	pages) PDQ)
21	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 MARKED FOR
22	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (8 pages)
23	Sworn Statement)
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You are familiar with
25	this process. But as we start this, before Ms.

1 Benson starts the questions, you're familiar 2 with the nine evaluative criteria that we focus 3 on, which involves a ballot box survey, thorough 4 study of your application materials, 5 verification of compliance with state ethics 6 laws, search of newspaper articles in which your 7 name appears, study of previous screenings and 8 check for economic conflicts of interest. 9 affidavits or complaints have been file and 10 thus, no witnesses here to testify against you. 11 Did you bring anyone with you that you'd like to 12 call attention to? 13 Never have, and I did not today. JUDGE KEESLEY: 14 Very well. I want to introduce you CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 to Senator Jakie Knotts on the way out. 16 JUDGE KEESLEY: He -- I meet him. He's been in my 17 office a few times. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 I think y'all know each other. 19 you have the opportunity, if you'd like, to make 2.0 a brief opening statement. Given the hour, 21 which I apologize for your -- this delay, we can 22 dispense with that and Ms. Benson can start 23 questioning, and I'll offer you to make a 24 closing statement if you like. 25 JUDGE KEESLEY: That's fine with me.

Thank you. Pull that mic close to 1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 2 you, if you would, please. 3 JUDGE KEESLEY: Yeah, I thought it was too loud just 4 a minute ago. I'm pretty -- I'm pretty loud, in 5 general, so okay. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Ms. Benson. 7 MS. BENSON: I note, for the record, that based on 8 the testimony contained in the candidate's PDO, 9 which has been included in the record with the 10 candidate's consent, Judge Keesley meets the 11 constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years 12 13 of practice. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. BENSON: 16 Judge Keesley, how do you feel that your legal and 0. 17 professional experience thus far renders you 18 qualified and will assist you to be an effective 19 circuit court judge? 20 Α. Well, y'all have all those materials. It's a lot of 21 information in there. I know you have a lot to read. 22 But my strength, having been here before, has always 23 been in the civil realm. I've handled thousands of 24 cases, all types of different civil cases. I've also 25 expanded my practice into mediation. And I know that

in my previous screenings, I've had some deficiencies 1 2 in the criminal court realm, and I hope that I'm making up for those deficiencies now. 3 4 appointed as a magistrate back in the summer, so I've 5 been serving as a magistrate since I started. I aot 6 appointed in June, I started in July. But like I 7 said, thousands of cases. I had a statewide practice 8 and I believe that -- not used to this mic, so y'all 9 tell me if I need to get closer or further away. 10 - in having a statewide practice, I was exposed to a 11 lot of different lawyers, a lot of different parties, 12 a lot of different judges. Not doing well with this, 13 It's okay. Erin says it's okay. All right. 14 So I just believe that the experience that I had in 15 litigation combined with my mediation practice, which also exposed me to other causes of action that I had 16 17 not dealt with in my litigation practice, and that 18 now combined with my judging experience, which I'm 19 very grateful for, makes me a good candidate and 20 qualified for the job. 21 Thank you. Judge Keesley, the Commission received Q. 22 282 ballot box surveys regarding you with 46 having

Q. Thank you. Judge Keesley, the Commission received
282 ballot box surveys regarding you with 46 having
additional comments. The ballot box survey, for
example, contain the following positive comments:
Smart, well prepared, capable, superior intellect and

23

24

strength of character, and excellent mediator. Six of those 46 comments expressed some concerns. Judge Keesley, the first concern expressed was particularly about your experience and, particularly, your criminal experience. What response would you give -- and you've already mentioned that to some extent. Is there anything additionally that you'd like to add about your experience?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

Not anything specific, other than perhaps that person Α. or those people that made the comments about the criminal didn't know that I had made this move into a judicial role. And we have a high volume of cases in my office, so I guess I just want to make sure the Commission is aware of that. My office has a particularly high volume of cases. We have hundreds of criminal cases in a day sometimes, and it's just me -- it's a district office. So I handle a high volume of criminal matters in a day. We have to move them quickly. We don't have a lot of time for me to sit and go back. I do try to engage and study as much as possible. So I think I've definitely had exposure to fast-paced, high volume criminal matters recently. I read through my materials and there's a lot of stuff that's not mentioned in there. assault and battery cases. I have petty larceny.

1 have simple possession. I have a lot of those cases 2 every single week. And our office does also have 3 broad jurisdiction. So I seem to be very busy in my 4 office, because we have jurisdiction over places like 5 the airport and Lexington Medical Center. I'm very 6 close to campus. I'm very close to a lot of state 7 agencies and law enforcement offices, and so they 8 come to me for warrants. That's one aspect of the 9 criminal law that I've never had -- had a hand in, 10 never really had any exposure to. So now, we have 11 people coming in with warrant requests all the time. 12 I have to do bond court.

Q. Have you had any chance for a transfer jurisdiction for service?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So when I first started in Lexington County, we did not. One person was assigned transfer court. I have not had my transfer court duty yet, but I volunteered to do that, and I'm going to have a transfer court term as soon as the chief administrative judge and our chief magistrate get together and schedule that. I'll also say that as of last night, I volunteered to take back some of the domestic violence jury trials that are handled over in the domestic violence court and our central court. So my jurisdiction is growing as we speak. Last night and this morning, I

voluntarily added to my workload, which may not be 1 2 3

smart, but I have not had my transfer court days yet, but I'll have transfer court. And I have plenty of

4 bond court coming up.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge, the second concern was about your temperament. And while some of the commentators praised your temperament, particularly in mediation, others said that you could be irritable and difficult. What response would you offer concerning --
- I'm not sure about difficult. I'm sure I can be Α. irritable. I live with my dog. I'm sure he would agree with that. I fuss at him a bit. But as far as my temperament is concerned, it's disappointing people would have negative comments about my temperament, because I've always thought that was something that I -- I was a good candidate in that I had a good temperament for the job of a circuit court judge, specifically. Y'all know I teach, because you've seen my materials, and I teach patience and empathy as a part of my class. And so I always tell them you don't know what going on in lawyer's lives. You don't know what their relationship is with their client, and you don't know if they support the position that they're having to take, or if they're

1 taking that position because it's their job to be 2 there doing it that day. And I also have them play 3 party roles so that they get a little bit of 4 experience with the concerns that parties have, 5 because I believe that they need to be empathetic 6 when they become new lawyers. And I do that because 7 of my experience with mediation, but also my 8 litigation practice. So you all know, as a mediator, 9 I would not have been able to grow that mediation 10 practice if I were irritable with people or quick-11 tempered or easily frustrated, because I had to keep 12 everyone engaged and calm, and that's what I try to 13 I try to keep my courtroom and all the 14 parties in it engaged but calm. And so I hate that 15 somebody would believe that my temperament was poor. 16 I -- you know, one of the reasons I started running 17 for circuit court several years ago was because I 18 thought I had the right temperament for the job. 19 Because I did have that statewide practice, I was 20 exposed to so many lawyers and judges and, you know, 21 I got yelled at and fussed at. And this morning, I was remembering a time that I started out to hearings 22 23 in Rock Hill and 40 minutes into my drive, realized 24 I'm on I20 headed to Florence and I need to be going 25 the other way. So I've had those experiences and the stresses that lawyers have. And we've had some difficulties. We had three people in our office with cancer. Everybody has to pull their weight and cover things, so I understand the stresses that lawyers experience and I know when they need grace. And so that's one of the reasons that I started running in the beginning was because that I always believed that I had the appropriate temperament, and I understand what lawyers are going through. And I try to teach that to the young lawyers. But I can also say if I were overly irritable -- I'm not sure what the second was --

Q. Difficult.

A. -- difficult, I wouldn't still be teaching and I wouldn't have grown the mediation practice, people wouldn't have hired me for mediation. But my students take anonymous surveys every semester, and they certainly would complain if I were difficult to deal with or irritable or quick -- quick to anger with them. So I guess I ask y'all to look to those things as proof that I can behave appropriately and I know how to -- I know how to treat people. I mean, I teach it. I'm concerned about that in our profession. I have friends that have had a lot of difficulties. I have one friend that might quit

1 practicing law, so I don't -- and she's a very good 2 So I try to be mindful of my temperament lawyer. 3 now, and I try to teach students to be empathetic. 4 Thank you, Judge. All right. I would note that the 0. 5 Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Judge 6 Keesley is qualified in the evaluative criteria of 7 constitutional qualifications, physical health and 8 mental stability, and well qualified in the 9 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional 10 and academic ability, character, reputation, 11 experience, and judicial temperament. The Committee 12 added a note, Have screened her before. Well 13 qualified this time. Judge Keesley, just a few 14 housekeeping issues. Are you aware that as a 15 judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of 16 Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 17 18 I am. Α. 19 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q. 20 contacted any members of the Commission about your 21 candidacy? 22 Α. I have not. 23 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q.

sought or received a pledge of any legislator either

prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

24

1		screening?
2	7\	I have not.
	Α.	
3	Q.	Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the
4		limitations of contacting members of the General
5		Assembly regarding your screening?
6	Α.	I am.
7	Q.	Have you asked any third persons to contact members
8		of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
9		aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
10		process on your behalf?
11	Α.	I have not and I am not.
12	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the
13		Commission Guidelines on Pledging in South Carolina
14		Code Section 2-19-70(e)?
15	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
16		MS. BENSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
17		record that any concerns raised during the
18		investigation by staff regarding the candidate
19		were incorporated into the questioning of the
20		candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no
21		further questions.
22		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
23		EXAMINATION
24	BY	CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
25	Q.	Ms. Keesley, we have met, I think just trying to
20	χ.	in. Record, we have mee, I chille just crying

- check back. You screened in 2017. That's the first time you came; is that correct?
- 3 A. I -- the first -- I have my materials if you need --
- Q. No, no, well, that's okay, and I've got it here. But is this your --
- 6 A. It's the fourth application that I've submitted, yes.
- Q. And so you know how the questions go and, again -- and your father was a circuit court --
- 9 A. He still is. He's still alive, so the people are
 10 able to run for his seat upon his retirement, so I'm
 11 pretty happy about that.
- 12 Q. But --
- 13 A. Because we didn't think he was going to be for a minute there.
- Q. Well, and I -- is and will forever be. But a great
 judge, and you have the benefit of growing up in a -as judge's kid, almost like a PK, a preacher's kid.
- 18 A. He preaches too.
- Q. So a parishioner and a child and a student of a
 lawyer/judge father. As this ebb and flow of public
 comments, private, anonymous comments, you've got
 great letters of reference here. But and to the
 point of satisfying perhaps the unsatisfiable, you
 might view, it -- of your peers, overwhelmingly
 positive, I will say, but there are -- the concern

- continues. How do we, and how do you as the teacher in these classes that you're talking about for patience -- and help me again. Define what these -- this class is you're teaching into -
 It's ADR, but I -- we go beyond the scope of ADR, because -- and I won't go down the rabbit hole,
 - because -- and I won't go down the rabbit hole,
 because I know y'all are short on time. But I have
 to tell them a lot about litigation activities and
 discovery and -- so we do have these exercises that
 are just about communication. And I'm not sure what
 the end of your question is, but it's ADR that I
 teach.
- 13 Q. And the students are --

- A. Third-year law students, for the most part. Every now -- I think this semester I have one 2L, but it's usually 3Ls.
 - Q. I don't want to -- I'm not making light of this in an analogy, but you are teaching folks this process -- not this, but the resolution of issues, whether it be communication or however and learning the tricks to communicate. You, like others, could teach a class on how to run, or go through the JMSC process. Is that a fair statement?
 - A. If I win a judgeship, I'll be glad to help teach a class on how to run for a judgeship.

- 1 Q. Well, I didn't say that. I just said going through 2 the JMSC process. And I'm not making light of that 3 and ignoring the fact, of course, that you've still 4 yet to achieve it. Perhaps this is that time. 5 question to help you with wondering what the point of 6 this is. As we have met and most all of us, some new 7 folks, the ebb and flow of our membership here, what 8 do you take and how do you implement the comments --9 again, albeit in the minority -- about the patience 10 or the temperament or the, again, the demeanor side?
- 11 | A. Well, I --
- 12 | Q. How do you --
- 13 A. I completely understand the need for the anonymous
 14 surveys. It is terrible to have to subject yourself
 15 personally to the anonymous criticisms --
- 16 | O. That's not --
- 17 A. -- when you don't know the circumstances.
- 18 Q. -- I'm interrupting you. That's not my question.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. The merit of this -- and should we or should we not -
- 21 because we've talked about that too.
- 22 A. Oh, the merit?
- Q. No, no, no. My question to you -- and it's hard for many people to follow what I'm trying to ask. But the lesson here of the refrain continuing or, again,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

the few people, perhaps, but is there a day that you think anybody can learn, hey, that's not me; I am all these things, these aspirational qualities that I'm teaching, that is me. Other than being Jesus Christ, again, we know --

For me personally, they are welcome to come observe. Α. You know, I talked to -- we had a law enforcement -some personnel in the office yesterday and I asked them some questions about me, because I am new to the judicial role and I want to know how I'm perceived. And this is not new. I've gone through this. submitted myself to the anonymous surveys here and with the students, and I read those. Some professors have told me not to read those surveys, but I do read those. So I -- anyone is welcome to come over there and observe. I'll tell you, you know, I'm very cautious when I have attorneys and their clients there -- which I wish was more frequently in magistrate's court -- but I don't ever want to embarrass anyone in front of their client, especially. And so I would welcome anybody to come I think that's the only way I can ever prove to someone that has some perception of me that that may be incorrect. I wouldn't want to argue with somebody if they thought I was difficult or had -- or

1 irritable. I wouldn't want to argue with them. 2 will say I'm not a morning person, so I'm not overly 3 bubbly or cheerful in the morning. So if somebody's 4 a morning person and they want to have a long talk 5 first thing, maybe I was irritable. I don't know. 6 But I always try to be courteous and -- you mentioned 7 teaching. It's just something that I don't think law 8 students think about. And it's a job, and they're 9 preparing for the job, and I try to just keep it in 10 perspective that it's a job, and lawyers are there to 11 do their job like I've been doing for 20 years. 12 they are welcome to come observe. I don't think I 13 could say much to convince them otherwise beyond what 14 I've already told y'all, that I keep getting hired as 15 a teacher and I kept getting hired as a mediator, and 16 I don't think people would've done that if I had a 17 poor temperament. So did that answer your question? 18 It absolutely. Q.

19 A. Okay.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- perfectly. And I do want to note, again, all these touchpoints that we get before we meet, and the Citizens Committee wrote, as you've seen, Well qualified this time. So again, kudos to you for accomplishing and doing -- and the -- and so this is not a negative. It is how do we learn.

- 1 A. You can be negative if you need to be.
 - Q. Can't be negative to a dog, though. I don't think my wife would allow me to be negative to a dog.
 - A. Oh, goodness. Well, I have animal abuse and neglect cases now, so don't send your wife over to see court.

6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: My seat mate, Representative

Caskey, would like to ask some questions.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. CASKEY:

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. Judge, good afternoon. Thank you for being here. I just wanted to applaud you for some of the efforts you have undertaken to broaden your -- broaden your experiences at the law, particularly with respect to the criminal area. I see, not only from your judgeship, but from the CLEs you've submitted, this has been a persistent and ongoing effort on your part to address whatever shortcomings that may've been identified in previous candidacies. I don't know how one fixes concerns about being pugnacious or problematic, or perceived as irritable.
- 22 A. Irritable, yes.
 - Q. I don't think I'm in any danger of resolving that perception problem myself. So I wouldn't take too much of that with you. But I guess the question I

would just pose to you -- it is one that my friend
Senator Rankin has asked others today and then other
times. When you think about judges who are on our
bench now at the circuit court level, whom do you
think about -- without naming the other Judge Keesley
-- who do you think about as who you would like to be
compared to favorably?

A. So I know one of my friends who is a circuit judge
now, I asked her what she said when that question was
posed to her awhile back, and I always would -- but
you said sitting on the bench now. So when I was
mediating, I had always thought, well, Tommy Cooper,
because he's the reason that judges can be retired,
active retired and still mediate, and he's -- he has

on the sitting judges, because, you know, I ran, initially, because I wanted to ensure that the perception of our judiciary was good. I'm protective of it. I've been around judges my whole life and I want the public to believe that we have an

a fantastic demeanor. I'd have to think about that

intelligent and fair and conscientious judiciary, and

that's why I started running in the beginning.

Goodness, gracious. Well, I --

- Q. Judge Cooper will do.
- A. Well, I mean, that's -- I specifically had this

1 conversation with somebody a long time ago and that's 2 who I always thought I would say if I got asked that 3 question, because --4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'll put you down as Judge 5 Cooper. Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate it. 6 7 JUDGE KEESLEY: Y'all are busy, you don't want to 8 You don't want me to name any more? 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Safran. 10 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SAFRAN: 13 I remember you from the very first time and I just 14 have a few questions. I know you've done a great job 15 as a mediator and I see the letters from people I 16 know who -- you know, Barry George. You know, I 17 think they obviously use you because you must be 18 effective, and they certainly have a great 19 appreciation for you. Just, you know, one thing that 20 you heard repeatedly -- because I think I've been 21 here every time you've come up -- is trying to balance the experience. And I know, I've read in 22 23 some of the information, that you've tried to do some 24 but there were some limits in terms of what the firm

would allow you to do because of some liability

issues. And I get it. Okay. My question is, you know, what created the transition? Because I know you've been in this new job for what, three or four months, roughly?

- 5 A. Since July.
- 6 Q. Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 | A. I started in July.
- 8 Q. Okay. So about that, I mean, what led to that?
 - Well, that's something that I had been looking into Α. for a while. And this isn't the reason, but y'all know about my dad. My mother's twin sister was a magistrate for years as well, so I wasn't unfamiliar with it. And I had looked into it. I'd talked to people about a magistrate position and it just didn't work out for me until now. I had also inquired about some municipal jobs, and it just didn't work out. The timing worked out for that job now. Setzler had -- it had been a vacant position for a while and we talked and he decided to nominate me for it this time. So I'm very grateful for it. But that wasn't a brand-new thing. It wasn't because of this That's something that I had been looking into for quite a while. I had talked to other people It just -- the positions that were open were not available to me.

- Q. Well, and it sounds like, you know, from what you're describing, and it's not uncommon, you've really kind of hit the ground running, that there's a lot going on. And I'm assuming that you've done some -- at least, have you done some trial work up to now?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 | Q. Have you had some jury trials yet or --
- 8 A. Yes. And we just scheduled a bunch for February, 9 so...
 - Q. Well, and it's a learning experience, I'm sure.

 Because I guess as much civil litigation as you have been doing, a lot of it's kind of boiled down to motion practices and discovery as opposed a lot of full-blown trials. I mean --
 - A. Well, we -- I've had trials and I -- I will tell you that, for my first jury trial, I didn't -- I prepared for it and I had somebody show up to conduct it. And I said, No, no, no, I'm doing this. This is what I do. For all these years, this is what I've done.

 I'm doing this. And I got high praise. They're not here to tell you that today, but I -- they were -- they were surprised and I don't know why they were so surprised, because that's -- that's the area I had been in for so long. So it is motion heavy. It is sometimes document heavy these days, especially with

e-filing. But I had had trials and so I was prepared for those and really excited to get into that, and I prefer those in the judicial role. I'd rather have jury trials than two days of bench trials and so I encourage any of the lawyers who may appear in the court, please file for jury trials. I would love to have some more of them. So yeah, I mean, I could see the question, but I'm really excited to be doing them. And we haven't had any delay because of me in that area.

- Q. Sure. Sure, I understand. Let me ask you. Did you pretty much just kind of -- in the civil practice, pretty much as you were leading into leaving -- because I'm assuming you knew you were leaving?
- A. It was real quick. But my litigation files had -- I didn't have -- I had some bigger cases. I didn't have a lot of the small -- I mean, you all know -- heavy litigation, statewide practice. I had -- I used to have dozens and dozens of car wreck cases, premises liability, construction, all the stuff, and that -- that had diminished some. So the cases that I still had pending were larger cases that I could bring someone in on. And we had some changes in our law -- we had somebody retire. And I told him when he leaves, I'm leaving, because he's the best of us,

- I'm gone. But it's just that the timing worked out that way.
 - Q. Well, I'm assuming some of the caseload changed because of your mediation practice.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Because of my mediation practice, my litigation cases and my volume had diminished. But I still had them, and I even -- I got more and more clients. You know, the longer I was practicing, the more clients I had. And the more exposure I had on different types of cases, the more clients I got. So I was getting new I was handling different types of things. cases. But it's tough wrapping up 19 years of a law It's -- it took some time and some effort, but we got it done. I tried to get as much resolved as I could before I left. And it's statewide, so I had to -- some of them went to our Charleston office. And some of them are new. But no one else in the office handled those types of cases, so I had to figure out whether to associate someone or what we were going to do with those, so -- I'm not going to say it was quick, but I was happy to do it and happy to -- I trust them to take over those files and I really do enjoy what I'm doing now, so...
- Q. Well, it sounds like what I'm hearing is that what you're doing now is kind of like a long planned

1 adventure that you really just have started and are 2 trying to kind of get knee deep into. 3 I had to dive right in because of the volume. Α. 4 didn't -- I'm the only lawyer magistrate right now in 5 Lexington County and I wanted to make a good 6 impression and have a good perception of that. 7 wanted to come in and know what I was doing. Ι 8 wanted to be able to help out right away. And so I 9 have been studious. I know that Representative 10 Caskey mentioned CLEs. I'm steadily taking whatever 11 I can get on Fridays. If I don't have court, I'm 12 signing up for some CLEs. So my shopping carts are 13 full. Not of new suits, but of CLEs. So I'm trying 14 to learn as much as I can so that I can do as much 15 work as possible. And I am volunteering. If they'll give it to me, I'll take it. 16 17 Q. Thank you very much. 18 Thank you. Α. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other questions? Ιf 20 not, anything further you'd like to say in 21 closing remarks? I'm not sure what I should say in 22 JUDGE KEESLEY: 23 closing remarks. I don't really have anything 24 to add, because the materials are so thorough,

and I think I've covered a lot of the things

1	that I thought I had omitted. So I think you
2	have a good idea. And y'all have seen my
3	materials before, so
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. And so this
5	will conclude this portion of the screening.
6	You know that the record is not closed until the
7	final release of the Record of Qualifications.
8	You know that we could call you back if there's
9	any sense of violation of the spirit or the
10	letter of the state ethics laws. And so I need
11	a verbal affirmation of that.
12	JUDGE KEESLEY: I understand.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you, and have a
14	great rest of your day. We're off the record.
15	(Off the Record)
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Mobley, welcome.
17	MR. MOBLEY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, raise your right hand,
19	please.
20	WHEREUPON:
21	DERRICK MOBLEY, being duly sworn and
22	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth
23	and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you the PDQ and
25	sworn statement. Are those ready to be
	1

1	introduced into the record?
2	
	MR. MOBLEY: They are, Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And if you'll hand
4	those to Ms. Putnam, she'll put them in. And I
5	have sworn the oath, I believe, have I? Make
6	sure.
7	MR. MOBLEY: You have.
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 20 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (23
10	pages) PDQ)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 21 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
13	Amendment)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
16	Sworn Statement)
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. It's been a long day.
18	You are applying for the circuit court position,
19	11th Circuit, seat one. And so you know our
20	role here in our investigation of your candidacy
21	as we focus on the nine evaluative criteria,
22	which includes a ballot box survey, a through
23	study of your application materials,
24	verification of your compliance with state
25	ethics laws and a search of newspaper articles

1	in which your name appears as well as a study of
2	previous screenings, and then finally a check
3	for economic conflicts of interest. No
4	affidavits or complaints have been filed in
5	opposition to your bid, and no one is here to
6	testify in that vein against you. You have the
7	opportunity, though, if you have folks that you
8	brought with you if you'd like to introduce them
9	to us, you're welcome to that if you like.
10	MR. MOBLEY: Okay. Thank you very much. I certainly
11	appreciate that. I have here Jonathan Harling,
12	who is with the civil practice
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You might want to talk in the mike.
14	MR. MOBLEY: Oh, I'm sorry.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah.
16	MR. MOBLEY: his paralegal, Alissa Trussel.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Repeat the name again of the first
18	gentleman.
19	MR. MOBLEY: Jonathan Harling.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good.
21	MR. MOBLEY: We have Alissa Trussel, who is his
22	paralegal. I have my paralegal, who is Cindy
23	Corley. She is my paralegal, and then we also
24	have visiting former Senator Jakie Knotts as
25	well. Thank you.

1 Well, we have taken judicial notice CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 2 and/or Judicial Merit Selection Commission 3 notice of his presence. So glad to have him 4 remaining with us here today. You have the 5 opportunity if you'd like to, given the last 6 start, which is not your fault but ours, you can 7 make a brief opening statement now if you'd like 8 or dive straight into the questions from Ms. 9 Foster. 10 I will respect your time and allow you MR. MOBLEY: 11 all to ask me questions. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you come back in and offer 13 anything in closing if you decide to at that 14 point. 15 MR. MOBLEY: Yes, thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Foster. Thank you, sir. 17 MR. MOBLEY: Thank you. 18 I would note for the record that based MS. FOSTER: 19 on the testimony contained in the candidate's 2.0 PDO, which has been included in the record with 21 the candidate's consent, Mr. Mobley meets the 22 constitutional and statutory requirements for 23 this position regarding age, residence and years 24 of practice. 25 EXAMINATION

BY MS. FOSTER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Mobley, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?
- Thank you, thank you, Ms. Foster. I feel that my Α. experience, which has varied in several different courts that actually go from circuit court, common pleas, municipal court as well as magistrate court, will allow me to make effective rulings that is based I have a varied practice that I have in law. throughout the entire state where I travel from many counties, and I practice before many judges. feel that the experience that I've had as an attorney over the last 17 years will allow me to be an effective circuit court judge, whether it's civil, whether it's criminal, and I've also had experience on the bench as well as a part time municipal court judge.
- Q. Mr. Mobley, the Commission received 154 ballot box surveys regarding you with 38 additional comments.

 The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Mobley is a gifted orator and exceptionally kind person. He brings humanity to the practice of law, and I enjoy working

1 with him. He is able to calm even the most 2 boisterous defendants and is a zealous advocate for 3 the people he represents. Another stated: Derrick 4 is highly respected by his peers in both the 5 Lexington and Richland County bars. His judicial 6 temperament would be excellent. And finally another 7 stated: intelligent, affable and excellent 8 disposition for a potential candidate and one of the 9 most liked attorneys in Lexington. Though the 10 comments were overwhelmingly positive, two of the 11 written comments expressed concerns, specifically 12 about your prior employment with Richard Breibart. 13 What response would you offer to this concern? 14 Well, thank you. Thank you for that question, and I Α. 15 certainly understand those two comments in regards to 16 the concern in regards to Richard Breibart. be glad to provide a lot of edification in regards to 17 18 that situation that many don't have when it comes to 19 One day we come to work, and we receive an 20 email that says that you will not get paid today. 21 And we do not know when you will be paid, and we 22 don't know if we're going to be able to keep the 23 doors open. And then two days later there was a 24 closing of the firm, and all the clients were locked 25 out. Now, it was 13 associate attorneys that were

1 employed within this particular firm itself. 2 us were ever investigated because we had no idea any 3 of -- anything that Richard Breibart was taking part 4 in, wasn't involved in it at all. We were never a 5 suspect in any of it. We never handled any money. 6 We didn't handle any of that because we had a 7 separate accounting department, and everything went 8 through Richard Breibart's office. We did not accept 9 It wasn't a part of our duties at all. 10 when the firm closed the tension was so high, we were 11 so worried that I would grind my teeth at night, and 12 I broke off the back of my molar from the tension. 13 My entire world had just collapsed, and I was in the 14 middle of a divorce, separation. Didn't have any 15 money in my pocket. All our money had been taken out 16 of our account, out of our savings, retirement 17 accounts, and our healthcare premiums had not been 18 What was I going to do? Now, I had moved out paid. 19 from my home with my estranged wife, and I was 20 renting an apartment as we were going through a 21 divorce. But I could no longer support that 22 particular apartment, so I had to move in with my 23 sister as an attorney at the ripe age in the mid 30s 24 at that particular point in order to make sure I was 25 able to work, but I didn't have a job. So what I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ended up doing, I got a job as a janitor cleaning banks. And that's in addition to working as a car Well, I had to open up an office salesman at CarMax. with \$74.00 to my name. That's what I had to do. were victims of this as well, but I tell you but for that experience I would not have become the man that I am now. I would not have become the attorney that I am now, and I would not have been able to show the compassion to my clients that I do on an everyday The 13 attorneys, what we ended up doing -basis. some of us accepted cases pro bono with no additional pay from those clients because we had and we felt that we had an obligation to those clients to make sure they got through their legal issues at that I even had to take one to trial, a three or four day trial, in Williamsburg of a young man. They had given their life savings, their life savings in order to gain representation with the Breibart firm. I didn't know how I was going to drive to the courthouse every day, but I did it. And I had to open up an office on my own with no money, but just from the sheer good will of Mr. Ben Compton. you can have this back office. You don't have any You don't have any furniture. He allowed me to borrow those for six months. He said you pay me

back once you get your office up and running. I got the office up and running, but I continued to continue to take care of the cases that were Richard Breibart's cases pro bono. I continued to work at CarMax from four until eight, and then from nine until one I worked as a janitor cleaning banks at that time. So it was very unfortunate what happened with the Breibart situation. However, it allowed me to bloom into something totally different, and I understand the plight of the clients and understand advocacy and still making sure that you fulfill your duties as an attorney to your clients.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Mobley. Mr. Mobley, you indicated in your PDQ that a tax lien was filed by the South Carolina Department of Revenue against you and your now ex-wife on September 18th, 2012 in the amount of \$732.18. You explained that you were separated at the time and not residing in the home, and you satisfied the lien immediately upon notice. That was on November 20th, 2012. You also provided a copy of both the tax lien notice as well as the satisfaction letter. Is this correct, and is there anymore information you'd like to provide for the Commission?
- A. That's correct. The only information I'd like to provide to the Commission is that I wasn't living at

1 the home at the time. My estranged wife and I were 2 living in separate locations. The notice came to the 3 mailbox, and this is right on the heels of the 4 Breibart collapse and me moving to live with my 5 sister in one of her rooms. So my wife did not see or notice the actual notice itself. At some point I 6 7 found out about the notice. Then I immediately took the money that I had and satisfied the lien. 8 9 Thank you, Mr. Mobley. Q. 10 MS. FOSTER: I would note that the Midlands Citizen 11 Committee reported that Mr. Mobley is well 12 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 13 fitness, professional and academic ability, 14 character, reputation, experience and judicial 15 temperament. The report found Mr. Mobley 16 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 17 constitutional qualifications, physical health 18 and mental stability. The Midlands Citizen 19 Committee also stated no comment, well 20 qualified.

21

22

23

Q. A few housekeeping issues. Mr. Mobley, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

2425

A. I am.

- Q. Mr. Mobley, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 4 A. I have not.
- Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 9 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 13 | A. I am.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
 process on your behalf?
- 18 A. I have not.
- Q. Have you reviewed, and do you understand, the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging in S.C. Code
 Section 2-19-70(e)?
- 22 | A. I do.
- MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding the candidate

1 were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 2 3 further questions. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Foster. 5 Questions by members of the Commission. 6 Representative Caskey. 7 REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. 8 Mobley, I just wanted to thank you for being 9 here for offering today. There were a couple of 10 comments that I saw in your survey that I 11 thought were particularly representative of my 12 experiences with you over the years in court. 13 This one, he, being you, not me, obviously -- he 14 is great. He always has a smile and a positive 15 attitude, takes joy in his job, and that joy carries along in his relationship with the 16 17 defense bar and prosecutors. He would be fair 18 and impartial. Another wrote: Mr. Mobley is 19 smart, passionate and a hard worker. experience in the various areas of the law make 2.0 21 him an excellent candidate for judge. He has 22 not only academic knowledge but also practical 23 knowledge that will make him an asset to the 24 bench and on and on, some which counsel referred And I just wanted to thank you for 25 to earlier.

1 that and wanted you to know that that is not 2 unnoticed by us here, and you certainly should 3 be very proud of the reputation that you're 4 earned in the practice of law. 5 MR. MOBLEY: Thank you, Vice Chairman. 6 REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, sir. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom. 8 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Mobley, I'm MR. STROM: 9 going to tell you that I've had more calls about 10 you than anybody else in this cycle. There are 11 a lot of good lawyers in the Midlands and who 12 just think the world of you. And I also want to 13 put on the record, I'm very familiar with the 14 Breibart situation, and I know there was a 15 previous candidate that that got hung around her 16 There's not a scintilla of evidence that neck. 17 anybody knew about what Breibert was doing or 18 Richard Breibart was doing. I agree with you. 19 All of y'all are victims, everybody's that 2.0 worked at that office was a victim. I want to 21 put that on the record. You're so well thought 22 of, and I'm delighted that you chose to run. 23 MR. MOBLEY: Thank you, Mr. Strom. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other folks with 25 questions? One from me, and again, nice to meet

1 you, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOBLEY: Nice to meet you too.

You've never screened before, and CHAIRMAN RANKIN: so in addition to the comments from these folks, Jack Swerling -- we're all familiar with him -to sum it up, I've had many years of contact, and I've had a significant opportunity to observe and judge his character and skills as a Intelligent, hard working, dedicated in doing and seeking justice, highly ethical and a skilled trial lawyer. You go the extra mile to assure your clients are receiving justice. So a pretty high compliment from a person that we all know exceedingly well by reputation. So I want to commend you for that in addition to the other attributes that we've heard thus far. If there are no other comments, I will again offer you an opportunity to make a very brief closing statement if you'd like or not. It's not required.

MR. MOBLEY: Thank you, Chairman, Committee. Thank you all. I'd just like to leave you all with one thing, one thought. It's the last stanza of a poem, my favorite poem, by Robert Frost. Two roads diversed in a wood, and I, I took the one

1	less traveled, and that has made all the
2	difference. Thank you all. It's a pleasure.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right. Don't leave
4	just yet. We've got to get one more thing on
5	the record. And again, new as this is for you,
6	you understand that the final record is not
7	closed until the release of the formal
8	qualifications. And we here believe and put
9	great credence to and adhere to both the letter
10	and the spirit of the state ethics laws.
11	MR. MOBLEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any violation by you, black letter
13	or the appearance of the spirit of violation
14	would be taken very seriously by us, warranting
15	us calling you back. You understand that we can
16	do that and that this record will remain open,
17	correct?
18	MR. MOBLEY: Yes, yes, Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Don't expect that to be, but I need
20	to get that verbal affirmation.
21	MR. MOBLEY: Okay, thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much.
23	MR. MOBLEY: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I hope the road is still less
25	traveled as you make your way home at this hour,

1	probably not less traveled now, but thank you
2	very much.
3	MR. MOBLEY: Thank you all. It's a pleasure.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. On motion of Mr.
5	Safran, we're going to go into Executive
6	Session, seconded by Senator Sabb, and we will
7	be back with everyone shortly.
8	(EXECUTIVE SESSION WAS HELD FROM 4:21 TO 4:37 PM)
9	(Off the Record)
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record, and for
11	the record, during Executive Session no actions
12	were taken, no votes were cast. We will now
13	proceed to the next candidate, Mr. Spradley.
14	(Off the record.)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record. And,
16	sir, if you will please raise your right hand.
17	WHEREUPON:
18	CHRISTIAN GIRESI SPRADLEY, being duly sworn
19	and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
20	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as
21	follows:
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name for the record,
23	please.
24	MR. SPRADLEY: Christian Giresi Spradley.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Nice to see you again.

1	MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you the PDQ and the
3	sworn statement. Are they ready to be
4	introduced into the record?
5	MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ms. Putnam will do
7	that. And, sir, as you know, we've done this, I
8	believe, before one time.
9	(EXHIBIT NO. 23 MARKED FOR
10	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (16
11	pages) PDQ)
12	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 MARKED FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (8 pages)
14	Sworn Statement)
15	MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you know our process by which
17	we vet and investigate your candidacy involves
18	nine evaluative criteria, which includes a
19	ballot box survey, a thorough study of your
20	application materials, verification of your
21	compliance with the state ethics laws, a search
22	of newspaper articles in which your name
23	appears, a study of the previous screening and
24	check for economic conflicts of interest. There
25	is one Affidavit filed in opposition to your

1	election and one witness to testify who is
2	present to testify. And before we do that I
3	note you've brought some folks with you.
4	MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'd like to allow you to introduce
6	those folks before we get started.
7	MR. SPRADLEY: My wife and my two daughters, Morgan
8	and Reece Spradley.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Nice to meet y'all. Thank y'all
10	for being here. I'm going to turn this over
11	now. If you'll have a seat. Let's see, Macey,
12	take it away. Mr. Kennedy, come on up.
13	WHEREUPON:
14	RALPH SHEALY KENNEDY, JR., being duly sworn
15	and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
16	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as
17	follows:
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And state for the record your full
19	name.
20	MR. KENNEDY: Ralph Shealy Kennedy, Jr.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And so before we get
22	into the full discussion here and our questions
23	and answers, I just want for the record to
24	confirm with you, in the three matters that you
25	have raised, three issues in your complaint, is

1	it fair to say, and is it true, that only on
2	item one do you have any personal knowledge.
3	MR. KENNEDY: I only have personal knowledge of item
4	one.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. And so you understand that
6	we would deem any secondhand knowledge or
7	representation by someone else to be hearsay and
8	would lack knowledge on your part. Anyway, you
9	would not have sufficient personal knowledge to
10	maintain that. Do you agree with that? As a
11	lawyer, you understand that rule?
12	MR. KENNEDY: Mr. Chairman, I have no firsthand
13	knowledge of the other two. That was
14	information brought to me by those people.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. And at this
16	time I would entertain a motion for us to deal
17	with issues two and three.
18	MR. SABB: Mr. Chairman, if I might and I want to
19	thank Mr. Kennedy for sharing that information.
20	It was something, I think, when we read through,
21	that we wondered about. It's obviously now
22	confirmed under oath. So if I'm in order, I'd
23	make a motion that we dismiss complaints two and
24	three.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Is there a second? And

1		that would be issues two and three. Only one
2		complaint filed.
3		VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Second. Thank you, Mr.
4		Chairman.
5		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All in favor of that, say aye.
6		MEMBERS: Aye.
7		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any opposition? There being none.
8		All right, Ms. Webb, now questions.
9		EXAMINATION OF COMPLAINANT MR. KENNEDY
10	BY M	S. WEBB:
11	Q.	Good afternoon, Mr. Kennedy.
12	Α.	Good afternoon.
13	Q.	All right. Mr. Kennedy, thank you for being here.
14		And Mr. Kennedy, the Commission has before it now
15		your Affidavit of Complaint with matters two and
16		three that will be stricken from the record along
17		with the supporting documents pertaining to matters
18		two and three, which I respectfully request be made
19		part of the record, just on issue number one that we
20		will address from your Complaint.
21		(EXHIBIT NO. 25 MARKED FOR
22		IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (125
23		pages) Complaint)
24		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that will be included. Again,
25		items two and three will be redacted, and we are

1 only going to be discussing item issue number 2 one. You understand? 3 So going forward today we will be discussing Q. 4 matter number one with issues two and three stricken. And for the record, home addresses have been removed 5 6 from this Complaint. The purpose of today's hearing 7 is for the Commission to review the qualifications of 8 the candidates to determine whether Mr. Spradley is 9 qualified to serve as a circuit court judge in the 10 11th Judicial Circuit, Seat 1. As you have been 11 told, the Commission is not here to relitigate a 12 case, and they do not have the ability to change the 13 results of the case. Mr. Kennedy, you've submitted 14 your affidavit and supporting documents, which the 15 Commission members have before them and have reviewed 16 prior to this hearing. Is there anything else that 17 you wish to testify to specifically regarding Mr. 18 Spradley's ethics, competency or character that has 19 not already been covered in the documents before the 20 Commission? 21 Well, I do have an opening statement I would like to Α. 22 make. 23 Yes, sir, go ahead. Q.

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

MR. KENNEDY: All right. Thank you. Mr. Chairman,

ladies and gentlemen of the Commission, thank

24

1 you for allowing me to come before you today, 2 and thank you for giving of your precious time 3 on this Commission. I believe we have one of 4 the finest if not the finest judiciaries in the 5 nation. We also have the best method of 6 electing judges, but the business of electing 7 judges is serious business. You, the JMSC, are 8 all members of a team, a team making 9 consequential decisions which affects the lives 10 of the people of this state. It is a momentous 11 and daunting task, not to be undertaken lightly. 12 And I applaud your service for this important 13 The Commission is charged by our work. 14 Constitution and statutory law to do its best to look into these candidates' very souls to 15 16 determine their character, intelligence and 17 worthiness. You, the members of the selection 18 commission, have a Herculean task, and it 19 requires yeoman's work to do well. The people 20 of South Carolina look to you with the highest 21 of expectations. You are the gatekeepers for 22 the integrity of the candidates of the 23 judiciary. I have never before spoken to the 24 JMSC about any candidate. I have always trusted 25 the Commission to carry out its heavy burden.

1 However, having reviewed the statutory 2 requirements for candidates and with my own 3 personal knowledge of Candidate Spradley, I 4 believe him lacking. Several citizens reached 5 out to me concerning Spradley and to convey 6 their strong reservations regarding --7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Kennedy, again, not other 8 citizens respectfully. I want you to limit your 9 complaint to what you have personal knowledge 10 of, okay? 11 MR. KENNEDY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. 13 MR. KENNEDY: I have the privilege of being in my 14 fourth decade of the practice of law in South 15 Carolina. I was born here and made my home 16 here, but due to my own personal knowledge of Candidate Spradley, the burden now falls to me 17 18 and then ultimately you, the members of the 19 Commission. I never imagined I would appear 2.0 before this respected body and speak against a 21 judicial candidate. I stand before you in this 22 room where my grandfather's photo stares back at 23 me from the wall reminding me of the men and 24 women who served before us. When called upon, 25 we must do our duty however unpleasant that

1 might be, and that time is now. 2 reverence for the state court system, and I've 3 had the honor to clerk for the Honorable Julius 4 Baggett, and but for him I would not be standing 5 here before you today. Through his mentoring, 6 he taught me to value justice, truth, honor and 7 duty, all characteristics that Candidate 8 Spradley lacks. I trust you have read my 9 Complaint concerning Candidate Spradley which 10 supports the reasons I strongly believe he shows 11 he lacks the qualities and character required of candidates seeking this office. 12 In summary, 13 Candidate Spradley's reputation in the community 14 is poor, and he is frequently involved in 15 needless controversy, which does not shed a 16 favorable light upon lawyers and the practice of 17 I fear he will bring those same 18 proclivities and character flaws to our 19 judiciary, this at a time when all of our 2.0 institutions are under attack by outside forces. 21 He is arrogant and mean spirited. He lacks 22 candor to both his fellow attorneys --23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Kennedy, respectfully, we are -24 - and I don't want to cut you off. You did say 25 in summary, but we want to focus on your

1 Complaint issue number one, your knowledge of. 2 And to the degree we have all read it, if 3 there's anything you'd like to say to the specifics of that, I would please urge you to 4 5 direct your attention to that, again, to the 6 degree that you want us to hear something 7 specific regarding issue number one. 8 MR. KENNEDY: I understand. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I'm not trying to cut you off, 10 but --11 MR. KENNEDY: I've got three more lines if I may. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sure. 13 All right. If he is like this now, MR. KENNEDY: 14 what will he come when he dons the robe. 15 practice of law should not be one filled with 16 trickery. True character shows when no one else 17 is looking. What matters most is honesty, 18 integrity, truth, candor and fairness. 19 why I'm here today because I have significant 2.0 concerns regarding the character and fitness of 21 Candidate Spradley. These concerns are based 22 upon my own dealings with him. I'd be pleased 23 to answer any questions you may have about the 24 complaint against Candidate Spradley or any other matters that you as a Commission deem 25

1		appropriate. Thank you.	
2		EXAMINATION	
3	BY CI	BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:	
4	Q.	I have a very quick question, and you have there's	
5		been a subpoena rather a deposition, a lengthy	
6		deposition, concerning the subpoena between you, your	
7		son you were present, but you were not	
8		representing or questioning that witness and then two	
9		other attorneys, Mr. Spradley included, correct?	
10	Α.	That's correct.	
11	Q.	All right. Is there any other formal transcript that	
12		exists about this	
13	Α.	There is a brief transcript that exists, not Zoom,	
14		but Webex where we had a hearing before Judge McLeod	
15		concerning Mr. Spradley's motion to quash the	
16		subpoena.	
17	Q.	Okay. Anything else filed in this regard between you	
18		or anyone else at any tribunal?	
19	Α.	Well, that is a good question. When you say	
20		tribunal, what are you speaking of?	
21	Q.	Well	
22	Α.	Are you talking about	
23	Q.	is it	
24	Α.	the Office of Disciplinary Counsel or some other	
25		place like that?	

- Q. Well, have you reported anyone concerning what you allege to have happened in this instance?
- 3 A. Counsel was retained to do that.
- 4 Q. But have you done that?
- 5 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I don't know if that is a proper question.
- 7 Q. All right. Anything else? I do have one final
 8 because, again, we've got that record before us. Has
 9 this matter been completed and any final order
 10 issued?
- 11 A. The matter involving the --
- 12 Q. Subpoena.
- 13 A. -- subpoena.
- 14 | Q. Yes, sir.
- 15 A. Yes, it has completed, and if I may I'd be glad to
 16 enlighten the Commission about the peculiarities of
 17 that whole situation.
- 18 | Q. Well, we've read --
- 19 A. Very strange.
- Q. We've read that. And, again, not to belabor this, but has that matter been concluded in the court?
- 22 A. Oh, yes, it has.
- 23 Q. Okay. That's all I had.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anyone else, any further questions?
 25 Representative Caskey.

EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Q. Mr. Kennedy, thank you for being here. With respect to the matter that the Chairman just asked you about, do you happen to have the case citation for that?

- 6 A. I do, if I may.
- 7 Q. Yes, sir, please, if you don't mind.
- 8 A. Since I've never done this before, I didn't know 9 exactly what the Commission may or may not ask, so --
- 10 Q. I've only done it a couple of times --
- 11 A. I brought a lot of --
- Q. -- so I don't always know what I'm going to ask, but
 I do want to ask as you're finding that document --
- because we have so much here -- anyway, I couldn't fine it readily. It was in Saluda County, correct?
- 16 A. It was.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 | A. It was.
- 19 Q. If you -- I just want to be able to pull it up in the public index if I can.
- 21 A. I can give the name. It was Curtis Graham v. Rawls,
- 22 R-A-W-L-S. I'm looking right now to see if I see the
- 23 -- 2019-CP-41-166.
- 24 | Q. That would be 2019-CP-41-1666; is that right?
- 25 A. 166, one hundred sixty-six.

1 REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank you, sir. 2 MS. WEBB: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. Mr. 3 Chairman, I have no further questions for Mr. 4 Kennedy at this time. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Have a seat, sir. 6 Thank you so much. MR. KENNEDY: 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 EXAMINATION OF MR. SPRADLEY 9 BY MS. WEBB: 10 0. Good afternoon, Mr. Spradley. 11 Yes, ma'am. Α. 12 Q. All right. Mr. Spradley, you have provided the 13 Commission a written response to the Complaint, which 14 the Commission members have before them and have 15 reviewed prior to this hearing. I do want to make 16 known to you as well as Mr. Kennedy that at this time 17 we will redact all information and supporting 18 documents around matters two and three that you also 19 contained in your response, just as we did in the 20 Complaint with Mr. Kennedy. 21 The only thing that I would like to hand up is I Α. 22 brought the originals with me because I emailed them 23 and I never got a phone call to whether we needed the 24 original or not, but I brought the original with me

if you want those for the record or not.

1 Q. Yes. Lindi will get those from you. Thank you, Mr. 2 Spradley. And like I said, we will redact any and 3 all information around matters two and three from 4 your response to the Complaint as well as the initial 5 Complaint. And with that in mind, is it your intent 6 to have this published in the record? 7 Α. Yes, ma'am. 8 (EXHIBIT NO. 26 MARKED FOR 9 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (101 10 pages) Response to Complaint) 11 Thank you. Mr. Spradley, is there anything else you 0. 12 would like to add or address regarding matters one 13 and anything that Mr. Kennedy has said here today? 14 I do. Α. 15 Okay, please go ahead. Q. 16 Α. I want to apologize to Mr. Kennedy for anything I've 17 ever done to him to get him upset with me. 18 believe that we've ever had a case where we were 19 opposite of each other. I don't know that we have 20 ever had a cross word between the two of us. We're 21 from the same small town. My dad had a drugstore 22 right across the street from his dad's store. 23 on Main Street Batesburg because my parents were 24 there. His dad was a great guy. I think Mr.

Kennedy's a great guy. I think his son is a great

1		guy, and I worked with his son on the case that this
2		is complained about more than I ever did with Mr.
3		Kennedy because he removed himself from the case.
4		And I tried every way I could to work this thing out,
5		and I'm willing to answer any questions, but I think
6		my response that I've written if everybody's read
7		it, I think that it explains my position very well.
8		I'm ready to answer any question that anybody has
9		about it. I think that it was misunderstandings,
10		innuendos and semantics. I mean, I don't know what
11		else to say beyond getting direct questions about
12		specific things, but it hurts me that somebody thinks
13		this of me. It does.
14	Q.	Thank you, Mr. Spradley. And Mr. Spradley is there
15		anything else that you would like to add or address
16		other than what you have said?
17	Α.	No, ma'am.
18	MS. W	WEBB: Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions at
19	this	time.
20		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything else on this matter in
21		terms of the Complaint. All right, Mr. Sabb.
22		EXAMINATION
23	BY MR	R. SABB:
24	Q.	Just very briefly. Mr. Spradley, do you agree with
25		Mr. Kennedy that the matter, the case part of it, is

- over at this point, at least as far as your involvement?
- A. My involvement's over with. I think there was a motion to compel settlement or something that had been filed recently in that case.
- 6 Q. That was after you kinda stepped away?
- 7 A. That was way after I got out, that's correct.
- Q. At least as far as your involvement in it, once that deposition took place that was over?
- 10 A. That's all I was there for.
- 11 Q. Right. I mean, you didn't have anything to do with
 12 the underlying claim on the UIM coverage?
 - A. No, sir, I didn't. And really the only reason that I believe that my client came to me is because I think at some point somebody learned that the estate had been closed and that she was no longer quote the PR of that estate. And so then it was questioned as to whether she was really represented by the UIM carrier or not because he was actually suing her dad's estate.
- Q. It was some reason to go into some discovery with her?
- 23 A. That's exactly right.
- 24 Q. So they had every right and every opportunity --
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 Q. -- ultimately to do that? 2 Yes, sir. When she was subpoenaed to come to court Α. 3 by the UIM carrier, if I was sitting where they were, 4 I would have wanted to know why she was subpoenaed. 5 Q. All right. But I mean, ultimately they had a reason to want it out? 6 7 Α. Yes, sir. Okay. I mean, we don't have any dispute about that? 8 0. 9 No, sir. Α. 10 Okay. Thank you, that's all I've got. MR. SAFRAN: 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any further questions? All right. 12 We will proceed to the regular line of questions 13 for you as the candidate. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. WEBB: 16 All right. Thank you, Mr. Spradley. 0. I note for the record that based on the 17 MS. WEBB: 18 testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, 19 which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Christian Spradley meets 20 21 the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and 22 23 years of practice. 24 Mr. Spradley, why do you want to be a circuit court Q.

25

judge?

- 1 Α. This is something I've wanted to do pretty much my 2 whole career. I'm at a point in my career where my 3 children are both going to be out of college within 4 next few months, and I'm going to be able to step 5 back from practicing law, and I would love to serve 6 as a circuit court judge. I believe that my 7 experiences that I've had throughout my career make 8 me uniquely qualified to do it. I have stood beside 9 defendants when they were up for trial for murder. 10 have stood prosecuting people for murder. 11 represented towns. I have represented counties. 12 have done civil work on both sides. I have done many 13 things I think make me qualified to do this, and it's 14 something that -- my dad was really, really involved 15 in the community, and he drilled public service into 16 And I believe this is another way to give back me. 17 to the great state of South Carolina. It's something 18 that I have wanted to do for a while. 19 All right, thank you. And along those lines, Mr. Q. 20 Spradley, how do you feel you legal and professional 21 experience thus far renders you qualified and will 22 assist you to be an effective circuit court judge? 23 Like I jumped ahead just a second ago, I think that Α. 24 I've done a wide range of things being an attorney in
 - Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

a small town, and you have to do many different

1 things. I have prosecuted. I've been at the public 2 defender's office. I have -- again, like I said 3 before, represented the county. I've represented 4 I have represented special purpose districts. 5 I have done wreck cases. I have defended wreck 6 I've done probate work. I've done real cases. 7 estate work. I have done a little bit of everything, 8 and I think that all of that makes me qualified to do 9 this.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- All right, thank you, Mr. Spradley. And Mr. Q. Spradley, the Commission received 111 ballot box surveys regarding you with 40 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: excellent courtroom experience and demeanor, asset to the legal profession, strong civil litigation and criminal experience, exceptionally caring person and has a great work ethic and a fantastic lawyer with a wellrounded skill set. However, 12 of the written comments expressed concerns. Several of the comments expressed concerns over a lack of appropriate demeanor, reputation and ethical fitness. response would you offer to these concerns?
- A. I would say that there are many metrics by which you can be judged. One of them is ballot box.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Everything else in my life, every other thing that I have touched in my life says that those 12 are not It bothers me that anybody would think that correct. of me, and what I hate about that is what they said is their reality. Whether it's real or not, it is their perception, their perception of reality, and I would definitely want to speak to those people and find out what I did for them to say that about me. If you look at everything else in my life, from the time I was 13 years old, becoming an Eagle Scout. I've been married to the same woman for 30 years. Ι don't think she would have put up with me if I was a horrible person. On the volunteer fire department, I was elected to be their assistant chief and then their chief. This is a bunch of guys that put their If I was -- if I was an ogre that just trust in me. lorded over people, they would never have elected me to take those positions and make life or death decisions for them. I have been on the house of delegates for the 11th Circuit for a number of years, elected by the people around me in the 11th Circuit to represent them in the house of delegates. been on the fee dispute board for the 11th Circuit for a number of years and had some pretty difficult fee disputes that I had to work out. I've been in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the same law firm for 22 years, and it's not a small law firm. It's not just me. It's not just me and one other people. There are 12 or ten partners in my firm now with other associates, and they selected me to be their managing partner a few years ago. if I was an ogre, if I was unethical, if I was a bad person, I don't think my partners would have elected me or chosen me to do that. And I mean, I still -- I wish I was doing that right now, but when we lost Stanley it about killed me, and I had to give it up because I -- it was too much personally and professionally to keep doing it, so I chose to give I was found well qualified by the Bar. was found well qualified by the Citizens Committee, and I've sat on the Bar committee, and I know how that works. I've made those phone calls, and I know what that process is. Every job that I have had -- I don't have people in my family that were at state politic level. My dad was the mayor in Batesburg. Ι guess if that's a huge political position -- but that's the furthest that I have political connections Nobody in my family was ever a lawyer anywhere. before me. I didn't have somebody else's reputation to stand on to get to where I am. Every job I got I got because of my reputation and the work that I did

We have over

starting off at the public defender's office and then 1 2 getting job offers from two different solicitor's 3 offices and then getting a job offer from the firm 4 I'm with now. I don't know who those 12 people are. 5 I don't know if they really know me. It sounds to me 6 like in my mind they don't because every other metric 7 that you can judge me by, every other metric you can 8 judge me by, I am not unethical. I am ethical. 9 not an ogre. I don't have robitis. I was a judge. 10 I was a town judge in Ridge Spring. I wouldn't wear 11 a robe because it didn't feel right. I didn't let 12 people call me judge when I was a judge in the town. 13 I didn't use The Honorable. It didn't feel right. 14 was a judge of a town. That's not somebody that's 15 got robitis. 16 0. Thank you, Mr. Spradley. And, Mr. Spradley, one 17 other concern indicated is that you lack appropriate 18 temperament both in and outside of the courtroom. 19 What response would you specifically offer to the 20 concern regarding your judicial temperament? 21 I'll go through everything I just went through again. Α. 22 My partners would not elect me if I was hard to get

50 employees. I would not have been voted in as

and it touches a lot of people's lives.

along with to run our firm. It's not a small firm,

23

24

assistant chief and chief of a volunteer fire department if I was a bad person where people 3 couldn't trust me or if I was somehow an ogre that 4 overpowered other people. That's not me, and I want to stress that it bothers me that anybody thinks I hate that somebody thinks that, and I wish 7 there was something I could do to fix it for those 8 people, but since it's anonymous, there's no way that I can do that.

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- All right. Thank you, Mr. Spradley. And, Mr. Q. Spradley, you have identified in your PDQ that you're a named plaintiff in a lawsuit pending in Saluda County. Can you please explain the nature of this pending lawsuit?
- That was a law firm -- I think my partner, Jake Α. Moore, actually filed that lawsuit. What it was dealing with is there was a -- if I think back long enough -- I think it was a partition action that I was involved in. And a person that was on the defense side of that who had an attorney of their own after the case was over with didn't like the way it turned out, and they started putting things online with different websites. When we contacted those websites to try to get it taken down, the only way they would take them down is if we had some type of

1 judicial finding that it wasn't true. And so after 2 years of them continuing to put that stuff up, Jake 3 decided to do that to try to protect the law firm to 4 be able to take that stuff down, and I think it 5 stopped, and I expect it to be dismissed in December because I think that's the next term of court in 6 7 Saluda because the issue has taken care of itself. 8 It was just for the reputation of the firm and the 9 slander libel that was going on online and us trying 10 to protect our own line reputation, I quess is the 11 best way to say it. 12

Q. Thank you, Mr. Spradley.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WEBB: And I would note that the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Spradley is well qualified as to the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and judicial temperament and qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental The committee noted well rounded stability. candidate. Will be an asset to the circuit court bench.

Now, Mr. Spradley, for a few housekeeping issues. Q. Mr. Spradley, are you aware that as a judicial

- candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial
 Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina
 Appellate Court Rules?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And, Mr. Spradley, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 8 A. No, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 13 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including
 the limitations on contacting members of the General
 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 17 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or
 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in
 this process on your behalf?
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And have you reviewed, and do you understand, the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South
 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Thank you.

MS. WEBB: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. And, Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

- Q. Just a couple if I may, Mr. Spradley. And I remember you before. I don't recall whether you brought your family or your wife --
- A. I did. I'll remind you, you were talking to my youngest daughter about her working at Disney World last year.
- Q. Haven't been since. Their stocks doing a lot better for what you did over the last few quarters or a year. And so forgive me for forgetting that. I don't want this line of questioning to suggest to you and your family and those four other people that are listening beyond those in this room that the negative comments are the prevailing view by those who have taken the time to participate in the ballot box survey. Again, not the end all and be all, but we do

1 look for trends. We look for temperament. We look 2 for character. We look for all, again, the 3 evaluative criteria that we all have the option as 4 attorneys to participate in. And from temperament, 5 qualified or well qualified, well in excess of the 6 percentage of those who said no. In fact the 7 respondents, the number, again, overwhelming positive 8 And so you're offering yourself again to be a local -- from a local bench obviously, a 9 10 statewide position. In terms of your curing, not 11 answering, but going forward, if someone is 12 considering you to be worthy, as we are considering 13 now, not the back and how did they say that, but 14 going forward, how do you suggest you would try to 15 approach folks in a different way if they're not seeing it thus far? How do you go forward with this 16 knowledge? 17 18 Well, the first thing that jumps out at me is being a Α. 19 litigator in the ring is much different than being a 20 judge. And it's very hard to answer that question 21 not knowing what the people thought they saw before 22 because I don't believe I've ever done anything. 23 me -- if I'm going to fill out a ballot box on 24 somebody and I'm going to put down they did something

unethical, it's going to be something I reported them

- 1
- 3
- **4** 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25

- for. I have no findings against me anywhere, not even letters of caution, nothing, never had anything like that.
- Q. And I appreciate that. I'm not trying to get you to move on, but going forward how do you -- and you've dealt with judges who you would aspire to be I assume in terms of demeanor in a courtroom. Who would you say would be the north star?
- I'll give you the three names I gave you last year, Α. Judge Keesley because I love him. He is somebody that I would emulate. I have always thought of him as a mentor. There are times in my career that I've gone and talked to him about decisions I made in my The way he is on the bench is the way I would hope that I would be. He's very thoughtful. He takes everybody's -- when you leave the courtroom you believe that Judge Keesley listened to you, and I think that is very important. Judge Verdin, I mean, how somebody never gets a complaint as a lawyer and as a judge, I hope that -- and I've spent time talking to her, trying to learn from her as to how she would do things like that, and our chief justice that we have now. He is such a great proponent for the bench and the bar and the public. And those would be the three people that I would look to to

1 want to be like. And it's very hard for me to say 2 what I would change when I don't know what somebody 3 thought I did wrong because there have been 4 assumptions made. Just because somebody makes an 5 allegation against you doesn't mean it's true. Ι 6 don't know how else to answer the question. 7 know how I would change something if I don't know 8 what I'm being asked to change. 9 Well, and then finally on my -- for me, as was noted Q. 10 by counsel, the Citizens Committee, which you have 11 participated in, wrote well rounded candidate. 12 be an asset to the circuit court bench. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY:

Q. Mr. Spradley, it's nice to see you today. Thank you for your many years of service and offering for the judicial circuit. I want to get to the heart of the challenge that I see in this issue, your candidacy. With great respect for what you've accomplished in your career because I don't think there's any question that the breath and depth of your experiences qualify you to be a judge. I think you've had the sort of career that I hope to over the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course of my own practice. I am, though, left with an unresolved tension with respect to at least one significant variable in this equation of assessing your candidacy, and it is one that is no doubt problematic specifically with the ballot box surveys. I have argued in defense of our system on this specific point against newspaper columnists, paid detractors, senators and representatives alike, and so I recognize that there are inherent flaws in the use of this particular input in the assessment of a candidate. And this is a long windup to get to a question that really -- it seems to me inescapable that I can't not notice this data point, and that is with respect to the responses in the survey, specifically with respect to three of our evaluative criteria, character, reputation and judicial temperament. I recognize again -- and I want to emphasize the inherent flaws in an anonymous system and the inherent flaws of an in person testimony. People can dispute things. I mean, that's how I stay People dispute things, but as I try to in business. reconcile what I see in this race across the course of our candidates here -- and I don't know that these are necessarily even true, but I know that the respondents in your candidacy, in your ballot box

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

survey -- 20 people found you unqualified in character, 18 percent of respondents, 12 people you were unqualified with respect to reputation, and 16 people said that you were unqualified with respect to judicial temperament. Compared to our other candidates where -- again, the three criteria are character, reputation, judicial temperament. The responses for unqualified were four, three, one, two, one, one, one, two, five, zero, two, zero in no particular order. By a significant margin members of the Bar have responded adversely to your qualification as to those three things. I don't know My experiences with you have all been positive and have given me no cause to suspect that their assessments are accurate, but I am nonetheless presented with a reality that says how do I ignore that data point. And so I invite your response to that to help me make the case that I should ignore that or the system should flush that or that we should let that be subsumed by some other information, whatever way you want. I want to offer you that full opportunity here, and I would humbly invite you to do it without simply reciting back to the things that you've mentioned a couple of times about being an ogre and the various accolades which

you've been -- you've rightly earned over your
career. I don't know what to do with this
information that seems to be undeniable. What should
we do with that as a Commission?

A. Again, I think -- you said not to go back to what I
previously said, but I think there are many metrics.

- previously said, but I think there are many metrics. I think that the ballot box is one metric. I think there are others. I don't understand myself how the bar found me well qualified on everything, and I think when I looked at what came out from the Bar I was the only person in my race that was found well qualified by the bar. What happens on the ballot box, I can't -- I can't answer for that. I mean, you know, there's always been -- could somebody put together a campaign against somebody that they don't want on the bench and call ten of their friends and get them to fill it out. That's not possible on the Bar side because --
- 19 Q. Well, with respect, sir, to interrupt you briefly.
 20 They would have had to call 20 of their friends.
- 21 A. Well, and I understand.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. Which is not an impossible task, and I don't want to
get into an argument with you here. I just am in a
position where as a member of this Commission charged
with certain responsibilities under the law. I don't

ballot box has its flaws. I want you to make the

believe -- again, I have -- I readily admit that the

3

case why we should dismiss this data point with

4

respect to your candidacy. That's what I'm asking

5

you to do, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

I can't do anything but rely on my reputation with Α. the people that know me. There are people in this room that know me. There are people in this room I've had cases with. I don't believe I've had a problem with anybody in this room that I've had a case with. I don't believe that I've ever been -- I mean, on ethics, if I'm unethical, how have I gone for my 20 -- how many years I've been a lawyer -- 25, 27 years, and never had ethics complaint filed against me, not even a letter of caution. And as far as temperament, I will say that -- again, that being a lawyer and fighting for your client is much different than sitting on the bench and making decisions. I've done both. When I was in Ridge Spring I -- I mean, you take off a hat, and you put on a different hat. I mean, I remember sitting as a judge in Ridge Spring and worrying about well, I've got this guy that makes \$100,000 a year, and his fine's \$500.00, and I've got this other guy that's on welfare, and his maximum fine is 500, how do I equal

1 that out and make the pain the same. I can't do anything but stand on who I am. And I don't believe 2 that my partners would have me there if I was what 3 4 those 20 people say I am. I don't believe that I 5 would have gotten the jobs that I've had coming up if 6 what they said was the same. I mean, again -- I will 7 say again, everything that I have done in my entire 8 career is based on my reputation. It's not somebody making a phone call for me and getting me a job. 9 10 I've never been run off from anywhere. I'm with the 11 same woman for 30 years. Every metric that you can 12 look at me with, other than that ballot box -- and 13 it's only 20 out of 111. Every other metric in my 14 life says it's not true.

- Q. And I appreciate that, Mr. Spradley. You know, I don't think I would be doing you any service to not present this information to you in a framework that is most disadvantageous to your aspiration --
- 19 A. And I understand.

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- because I think as an advocate you deserve the opportunity to make the most zealous case possible for it, and I think you've gone a long way to do that. And so I appreciate that. I certainly hope you and your family don't take this as anything other than the rigorous and thorough examination that we

1 are charged under the law to do so as to protect the 2 integrity of our bench. And again, I would go back 3 to my praise of you for what you have done. 4 present this to you, though, and I don't want it to 5 get lost as you drive out of here tonight and think 6 about everything. Caskey hates me. No, I want to 7 make sure we undergo this with the diligence that the 8 people have entrusted us to exercise. And so I think 9 you've done that. I appreciate you taking that 10 challenge on. I know we'll have more to talk about, 11 but thank you, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver. 13 MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. MCIVER:

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Spradley, you and I don't know each other except by seeing each other in here before --
- 18 A. Twice.
 - Q. -- and I've probably learned a lot more about you than you know about me. So that is an admitted fact, but I did want to follow up on what Vice Chairman Caskey was talking about, and not because I'm trying to pile on because there are a few comments in here that, you know, I know people can throw rocks. It's an anonymous survey. I do see multiple comments on

some issues. I feel I have an obligation to raise it with you. Otherwise I'm going to question myself and

3

not give you the benefit of responding.

4

A. I understand.

5

Q.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is one area that I don't feel like we've touched So I'm not going to read the full comments, but I do just want to touch on a couple of these, and it has to do with the treatment of women. This comment said I don't know if he behaves in this manner with everyone or just women, but I dread interacting with Another comment: he may have the legal him. experience to be a judge, but he does not have the He gives favor to those he respects, temperament. and he does not respect women. There's one more. Ι don't want to waste your time and everyone else's here, but it was another derogatory comment about your treatment of women. And because there were three, and like I said, if it was just one comment, I might just read over it and think that that might be an outlier, but because there were three I did want to give you an opportunity to respond. Is there anything you can think of or a way that you conduct yourself in the courtroom or in the legal practice or anything else or anything else that could have caused someone to submit these comments. Because it's not -

4

6

7

Α.

5

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

- and as you know, I have not since I've been on JMSC, but before when you go to submit these things it's not an easy thing to do. You have to punch a bunch of buttons to get there, and if somebody feels strongly enough to write sentence, I do think we have to at least read them and consider what that means.

When Macey brought that up to me, the first thing -and I wasn't even thinking -- and then I blurted it out was I'm a girl dad. They are -- baby don't cry. It's okay. They are strong, independent women. Ι treat women like they are precious, and all I can tell you is is I don't know if it's somebody that I held the door open for and they thought I was being sexist by holding the door open. I mean, I'm -that's who I am. I hold doors open for people. haven't left the courtroom without shaking the hand I can't answer of somebody that's across from me. where it came from because that's not who I am, and you're going to hear me say this over and over. Ιt hurts me that somebody thinks that. Ms. Sheila Robinson's one of my partners. I love her to death. She's like a sister to me. We have associates. have four associates that are females in my office. Never had a problem with anybody, and nobody has ever said anything to me outside of this ballot box,

1 nothing ever.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. I appreciate that. And to your daughters, please know I'm not trying to do anything to insult you. I appreciate you being here, and part of what I've done, I hope, is just try to mentor young women that are going into the practice of law, but when I see these types of comments I feel that I have an obligation on this Commission to raise them. I appreciate your response.
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
- 12 EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. STROM:
- Q. Mr. Spradley, another issue I see that I want to
 address, if I'm reading this right. Mr. Kennedy's
 letter is this issue of this maximum motion. And for
 the record, the court is saying that you have a
 racially neutral reason to strike jurors. Is that
 what you understand the law to be?
- 20 A. I wasn't even involved at that point.
- Q. I read this to be -- okay, if that wasn't you, then that's --
- A. No, no, that -- I think that was between Carlyle and Jodie. I wasn't involved in that.
- Q. Okay. Well, that cleared that up. That needed to be

1		cleared up. Thank you.
2	Α.	But I've had to make those motions myself before.
3	Q.	Have you ever had those granted against you?
4	Α.	Granted against me?
5	Q.	Yes, sir.
6	Α.	No, no, no, no, I've never had them granted
7		against me. I've had to make them as a defense
8		attorney before.
9		MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.
11		SENATOR SABB: And I guess I just have a comment.
12		And I guess what I would want to say is I think
13		it's so important and this time it's not me -
14		- but a lot of times I do what Vice Chairman
15		Caskey does or what Lucy Grey did. And it's
16		tough for us because we never want to hurt the
17		feelings, especially of the family. And so
18		but I just want to share my view of why it's so
19		important. We will have to discuss these
20		issues. We do it every single time amongst
21		ourselves, and typically it's in Executive
22		Session. These comments can't speak back to us.
23		So if we don't put it before a candidate and get
24		you to give us what you have on the other side,

we'll never know. And so while it's a painful

process for us because, my goodness, it -- I'm a girl's dad too, and to see a baby cry affects me, but I guess I want everybody to know that the reason why we have to do it is because when we deliberate we want to make sure that the candidate has an opportunity to weigh in on whatever it is that's being said. That's the only way we can evaluate it fairly. Otherwise, our whole view is swayed upon what we read and nothing of what we hear. And so I just thought it was important for me to at least share that with you and with your family so that they understand.

MR. SPRADLEY: And I appreciate that. And when comments -- well, going over the comments with Macey, there were some that were specific.

There were some that were lengthy and specific. I can refute everything that are in those, everything that are in those because of the specificity of them. That's what's in the box. That's what I'm ready to talk about because I can refute that, but when something is -- you don't know who said what, you don't know where it came from -- like I said, maybe I held the door open for somebody that said that was -- I

1 shouldn't have held the door open for them. 2 mean, I just don't know. And we get the fact that context is 3 MR. SABB: 4 important, and we also appreciate the fact that 5 it is anonymous, and it does challenge you to 6 kind of go various places and all of that. 7 I've just had the good fortune of serving with 8 most of these folks now for almost -- gosh, I 9 don't know -- eight or nine years, and I just 10 appreciate what they do and appreciate folks 11 like you in offering. And then fortunately or 12 unfortunately this is just --13 SPRADLEY: It's a process. 14 MR. SABB: -- part of our process, yes, sir. Thank 15 you so much. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Andy. 17 MR. SAFRAN: And I'll be brief. I share Senator 18 Sabb's and Representative Caskey's thoughts in 19 the sense that this isn't an inquisition. 2.0 not here for that. We are basically given a 21 limited bank of information. The ballot box is 22 one part of it, but I've said it before, and I 23 have to say it again, you know, we've had 24 situations over the time I've been here -- and 25 it's been a number of years now -- where these

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ballot boxes made a big impact ultimately on what happened in the course of the race, they And I think we would be disingenuous to sit here and say that we've got to treat people differently. They all have to take it out of the same spoon. I mean, otherwise I think we're arbitrary and -- we're not fair, okay. And I think we strive to be fair. We heard something earlier today with another candidate. You know, it's kind of resounding in my head right now, and he talked about people remember you -- and quoted a poet -- for how you make them feel. Obviously there's some people who you didn't make feel real good, okay. That's what is probably the answer. Was it a fair commentary on you? Couldn't tell you, wasn't there. Ι understand that there is a very high potential for these comments to be extreme and not necessarily accurate, but by the same token, you can't always ignore the fact that where there's smoke there's fire. I mean, you can't exclude that from the equation. I don't want to sit here and let you in any way think that we're here to somehow minimize or to fail to recognize that you've had a wonderful career, and there

1 are plenty of good things that are said here, 2 but again, we're getting different pieces. You 3 certainly have made a very impassioned 4 presentation. I'm a daughter father too, and I 5 get it, okay. But I think you have to 6 recognize, I assume, that at least there's the 7 possibility that whether true or not that was 8 their reality. That's what their perception is, 9 and, you know, y'all are here basically to 10 replace somebody that is iconic. I don't think 11 there's anybody in this room to tell you that 12 Judge Keesley wasn't exemplary. And, you know, 13 we're never going to be able to find anybody 14 close, and that's no shot at you or any of the 15 other candidates, but I mean, he was special and 16 still is. What troubles me about this whole 17 process -- and I don't know what all the details 18 are -- is that it just as a group, as a bar, you 19 know, we're supposed to have some collegiality. 20 We're supposed to be on the same team, even if 21 we're fighting with each other. Y'all come from 22 a very small community. Y'all do basically the 23 same thing, okay, in a lot of ways, and it 24 bothers me that it's reached this point. 25 don't know what, you know, the cause was, but it

1 bothers me, you know. We're all kindred in some 2 respects, and this is not the place for this to 3 It really isn't, and it's be happening. 4 troubled me to have to go through it, really it 5 has because I know all of you. Think the world 6 of all of you, so I hope some way that, you 7 know, maybe we'll reflect on this. 8 there's something that comes out it positive to 9 just think about. I'm not here in any way, you 10 know, happy that, you know, you're here and 11 you're going through this. It's part of the 12 process, but is very regrettable that we're here 13 doing it today, it really is. So I mean, I hope 14 we all learned something out of it. It does --15 it sets things in a wrong motion. This is not 16 what we are about. We ought to all be here 17 pulling together to find the best person to take 18 a spot of somebody that we all revere. 19 know, why has it gotten to this point, I don't know, but I think we all need to do some soul 20 21 searching and see that it doesn't happen again. That's just my opinion. 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything else? If not, Mr. 24 Spradley, I'm going to offer you the opportunity 25 to make closing remarks if you'd like before we

close the record.

1

2 MR. SPRADLEY: Well, I just want to start out by 3 thanking Erin and Macey and Lindi, wherever she 4 Y'all have a great staff. I'm sorry we're 5 here for that issue also, and I don't want 6 anybody to take my answers as being defensive. 7 I always try to learn from every -- everything 8 that I'm ever involved in, I try to learn from 9 it, and I agree with you, the bar is not what it 10 was when I started. I mean, when I started, we 11 -- the public defender's office and the 12 solicitor's office and the judges, we all went 13 out to eat at least once a week during the term 14 And now if you get caught talking to of court. 15 the other side, somebody's going to say that 16 you're saying something you shouldn't be saying, 17 even though you're just shaking hands. 18 wish there was something that I could do to fix 19 that going forward. I appreciate what y'all do 2.0 for the state. I know that what y'all are doing 21 is not easy because you're going off of -- some 22 of you I know, some of you I don't. I just hope 23 you'll look at everything about me. Hope you 24 look at everything about me, not just what's in 25 the ballot box.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford. 2 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Spradley, I just want 3 you to know that -- you know, part of it is by 4 virtue of me being in elected office for 24 5 years, I get to hear what people think about me 6 constantly, and it allows me to look inside 7 myself and see how it is that I'm treating 8 people and understand that communication -- to 9 restate the obvious is that it's two ways. It's 10 what you say and what people hear, and, you 11 know, I know you. I've known you since you 12 started practicing law. You and I have only 13 talked and laughed together, but I can't ignore 14 the fact that somebody may see us talking and 15 laughing and feel like oh, he's just talking to him, he won't talk to me and take offense at 16 17 that, but I will just tell you that, you know, 18 it is -- whether you are successful or not at 19 this that you listen to these comments and that 20 you make sure that what you think you are is 21 what other people think you are and that they 22 take you as that. I had somebody call me a long 23 time ago, and they talked about wanting to be a 24 judge, but they were afraid of how they got 25 I said listen, JMSC is one of the most treated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

professional bodies I've been a part of. they said no, but you're the problem. You're the one that's mean to people. Me, like I'm the one that's mean, and this is what they had heard from other candidates, and I didn't know that that's what people thought, but I have to listen to it and understand, and so I let Micah do the mean stuff. But in doing so -- and you've tried enough cases to know that but for Micah asking you the hard questions, if we just let you leave and you don't get the chance to retort, then we've got all these comments that we get to see and no answer from you. And so there are other people that have been pressed and not done as well as you did by trying to respond, but if you leave here with nothing else, leave with the fact that holding the door may not be enough, that shaking the opposite person's hand or the opposite lawyer's hand may not be enough. And I don't know what the answer is, but I know that I get criticized for thinking that I'm special because I'm a lawyer legislator that went to And the solicitor says oh, well, the court. judge is afraid of him. The judge didn't grant a single motion I made, but if that's your

1 thought, then maybe I need to figure out how to 2 address that moving forward just because, again, 3 communication is what you say and also what 4 So I don't want your family to people hear. 5 leave feeling dejected or like we attacked. 6 think that this is the process. This will not 7 be the process anymore, but it was certainly an 8 honor to have you. It's an honor for you to 9 continue to come back and try and do what most 10 people believe is reaching the pinnacle of the 11 practice of law. And a lot of people feel like 12 you deserve it, and those that wanted to make 13 sure that they were heard by expressing their 14 displeasure, I hope that they watch this and 15 feel like they've been heard. And hopefully 16 they feel like you addressed their situation. 17 So, again, I just want to thank you for coming 18 and just give you that cautionary tale of being 19 careful about what it is that people see in you 20 when they see you.

MR. SPRADLEY: I appreciate that.

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. We will now conclude this portion of the screening, and I want to remind you as you know the rules, this Commission takes very seriously both the letter

1	and the spirit of the ethics laws. Any
2	violation of that or the appearance of
3	impropriety on your part would warrant our
4	bringing you back. The record is not closed
5	until the formal release of the qualifications.
6	You know that, yes, please?
7	MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I know you know that, and so
9	with that, Mr. Spradley, your beautiful family,
10	the Kennedys, former Senator Jakie Knotts and
11	everyone else who have come today, this will
12	conclude this portion of today's hearing. Thank
13	you so much, and we will now go in Executive
14	Session on motion of Senator Garrett, seconded
15	by me.
16	(Executive Session was held from 5:43 to 5:46 pm)
17	(Off the record)
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. We are back on the
19	record. For the record while in executive
20	session, no votes were taken, no decisions were
21	cast. We will proceed until the morning. Thank
22	you so much.
23	(There being no further questions, the hearings
24	concluded at 5:46 p.m.)
25	
	l l

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, KATHRYN BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC 4 IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY 5 CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THE HEARINGS ON TUESDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024, THAT THE WITNESS WAS FIRST DULY 6 7 SWORN BY ME AND THAT THE FOREGOING 261 PAGES CONSTITUTE A 8 TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOMASK REPORT OF 9 SAID DEPOSITION. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR 11 COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE 12 PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY 13 INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE. 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF SAID 15 TRANSCRIPT WAS THEREAFTER SEALED BY ME AND DELIVERED TO 16 ERIN CRAWFORD, GRESSETTE BUILDING, 1101 PENDLETON STREET, 17 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA, WHO WILL RETAIN THIS SEALED 18 ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING 19 SAME WITH THE COURT PRIOR TO TRIAL OR ANY HEARING WHICH 20 MIGHT RESULT IN A FINAL ORDER ON ANY ISSUE. 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 27TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2024. 22 23 24 KATHRYN B. BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER 25 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 23, 2032

	11:49	18th	2012	2L
	63:2	205:16	205:16,20	185:15
Ф	11th	19	2017	
\$100,000	142:23 198:19	173:19,21	184:1	3
245:23	217:10 232:20,	195:12	2019-CP-41-166	
\$500.00	21,23	1:35	224:23	3
245:24	12	141:11	2019-CP-41-	8:5
\$732.18	52:20 129:11,12	1A	1666	30
205:17	153:25 231:19	92:3	224:24	10:23,24 22:23
\$74.00	232:2 233:3		2024	23:8 136:10
204:4	234:4 243:2	2	83:23	232:11 246:11
	12,000		20s	30-some
(73:11	2	12:2 15:19 16:1	146:20
	125	8:2	28:14	300
(E)	216:22	2-19-70	20th	131:11
14:10	12:30	13:24 14:10	205:20	30s
	98:13	40:8 89:8	21	203:23
1	13	135:13 150:4	63:23 198:11	31
1	52:20 202:25	183:3 207:10	22	145:25
7.24.25.9.2.6	204:10 232:10	237:14	198:14 233:1	38
7:24,25 8:3,6 64:1 100:19	14	2-19-70(e)	23	201:21
101:15 129:9	60:18 65:1	40:19 89:21	198:9 213:9	3ls
198:12 217:10	87:25	150:15 183:14	24	185:16
10.12.217.10	15	207:21 237:25	213:12 258:4	
52:21 57:4,5	34:15 153:25	2-19-70E	25	4
129:5	154	135:24	216:21 245:13	
100	145:24 201:20	20	25-plus	4
95:16 100:14,17	16	10:19,20 17:21	145:4	34:14
117:8	37:25 142:4,8	36:17 57:16	251	40
101	213:10 243:3	152:2 188:11	11:15	17:21 180:23
226:9	166	198:8 243:1	26	231:12
103	224:25	244:20 245:13	82:8 226:8	41
68:23	17	246:4,13	27	11:16
10:25	129:6 142:9,11	2001	146:21 245:14	46
7:5	201:15	84:8	28	176:22 177:2
11	175	2002	145:2	48
129:8	84:8,9	84:8	282	69:19
129:8	18	2004	176:22	4:21
231:11 246:13	57:15,16 173:18	82:8	2:27	212:8
	243:2	2006	141:11	4:37
11:27 63:2	181	39:1	X 1 1 1 1 1	212:8
05.2	69:18			

	600	9:30	206:13 208:22	acknowledging
5	11:1	7:5	236:16	166:17
			Academy	act
5	7	\mathbf{A}	18:19	68:14,24 75:23
34:17 64:4			accept	76:15 77:10
79:14,24 81:13	7	ability	18:6 60:22	80:8,14,21 84:8,
83:10 100:4,6,	34:18 63:25	12:18 13:3	203:8	9,10,15 91:19
19 101:15	198:15	39:11 41:15	accepted	109:6,7 122:8
50	71	73:22 74:5,15	204:11	123:6
234:25	75:15	77:6 88:16	accepting	acting
500	78	96:18 114:22	154:22	157:1
245:25	20:12	125:24 134:18	access	action
501	7th	138:7 149:9	15:10 20:8	176:16 235:18
13:12 39:21	79:18 83:16	151:13 164:20,	accolades	actions
135:1 149:17		21 182:10	243:25	74:21 113:6
182:16 206:23	8	206:13 217:12	accomplish	212:11
237:2		236:17	38:17	active
51	8	abrasive	accomplished	83:3 190:14
131:12 132:22	64:3 173:22	19:10	241:21	activities
52	213:13	abreast	accomplishing	79:16 185:8
37:24	80	134:3	188:24	activity
54	20:12 159:17	abrupt	account	80:2,15 83:8
52:21,25	82	19:10	203:16	90:17 93:7
58	70:19	absent		102:10,12 109:5
68:23	8th	44:9	accountability 168:22	118:5 123:22
5:43	160:5	absolutely		actual
261:16		16:13,19 17:19,	accountable	70:6 154:12
5:46	9	24 18:8 30:7	157:25 171:24	206:6
261:16,24		56:24 104:19	accounting	ad
5A	9	111:22 115:15	203:7	23:17 160:22
81:14,21 91:16	87:24	117:21 188:18	accounts	Adam
5B2	90	abundance	203:17	162:6
91:17	75:14 94:25	108:15	accurate	adapt
5C1	159:17	abundant	115:9 170:1	138:8
91:18	911	113:13	243:15 254:19	add
5C3	154:12	abuse	accusation	143:22 177:7
91:18	95	48:23 49:4	166:5	196:24 226:12
J1.10	94:25 100:2,3,	139:19 189:4	accused	227:15
	12	academic	154:3	added
6	99	13:3 39:11	achieve	61:19 179:1
6	100:3	88:16 134:18	186:4	182:12
63:22 142:12		149:9 182:10		104.14
00.22 1 12.12				

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 I
addiction	administer	advisors	affirmative	116:17,20 118:3
158:3,5,10,21	152:19	68:16	62:15	137:14 152:22
159:6	administrative	advisory	afford	153:22 165:21
addition	68:18,24 69:3	81:2,3,4 92:23	132:4 169:9	179:13 209:18
24:13 38:25	131:8 133:18	100:24,25	afforded	215:10 227:24
75:20 204:2	178:19	123:19,20,21,24	114:8	257:9
210:4,15	admire	124:5	afraid	ahead
additional	131:5	advocacy	166:12 258:24	217:23 226:15
11:16 37:25	admit	93:24 205:11	259:24	230:23
69:19 131:12	12:1 65:9 245:1	advocate	African	airport
145:25 161:22	admitted	12:11,22 19:2,	73:5	178:5
176:23 201:21	247:20	14 68:3,10	afternoon	aisle
204:11 231:12	adopt	69:24 70:25	27:24 62:20	122:20
additionally	24:23 25:11	80:5 132:14,15,	100:8 144:12,13	AKA
68:25 134:20	ADR	16 171:9 202:2	189:11 216:11,	79:19 82:6,21
177:7	185:5,11	246:20	12 225:10	83:6 101:10
address	advance	advocated	age	102:7 109:12
19:19 39:3	81:12 95:14	68:7	10:1 12:4 28:20	albeit
67:13 70:2	123:20 134:3	advocates	36:11 66:13	186:9
126:19 145:14	168:17	71:4	67:17 130:21	alcohol
189:18 216:20	advancement	advocating	144:19 175:12	159:19
226:12 227:15	82:4	15:5 67:24	200:23 203:23	Alert
250:15 260:2	advancing	affable	229:22	154:13,15,16
addressed	45:24 92:19	202:7	aged	alike
31:9 38:22		affect	15:21 20:21	242:8
70:22 77:3	adventure	121:8	agencies	Alissa
90:11 260:16	196:1	affects	178:7	199:16,21
addresses	adversarial	111:18 218:9	agenda	alive
84:3 217:5	97:17 132:13	252:2	80:16 81:11,13	77:25 184:9
addressing	133:10	affidavit	82:5 92:15,20	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
70:8 106:8	adverse	213:25 216:15	93:4 164:23	allegation
adequately	19:5	217:14	agent	241:5
69:8	adversely		36:23	allege
adhere	243:11	affidavits		223:2
211:9	advertised	8:18 35:11	aggravated 23:10	alligator
adherence	83:6 105:15	64:19 129:23		32:1
74:22	106:1	143:8 174:9 199:4	aggressive	allowance
	advice		19:15	168:22
adjacent 19:23	29:15,18 121:12	affiliated	aggressively	allowed
	125:14	121:24	45:22	204:24 205:8
adjudicative	advised	affirmation	agree	allowing
74:16	68:15	197:11 211:20	15:1 93:6	67:5 218:1
			114:18,20	

alluded	181:19	apologies	application	193:23 194:10
29:9	angered	9:18 30:12	8:13 31:1 35:4	248:5
Alpha	132:17	97:24	62:4 64:14	areas
82:8 102:18	angry	apologize	129:18 143:3	45:24 46:1 70:1
103:14 109:13	12:14 18:2	96:6 174:21	174:4 184:6	71:16 132:7
alternative	animal	226:16	198:23 213:20	208:20
26:7 147:20	20:16 189:4	apparent	applied	arena
Amazing	Ann	81:9	76:18 164:24	38:16
38:2	7:13,19	apparently	apply	argue
amend	annually	53:19 107:4	35:7 77:18	51:19 187:24
34:12	106:14	appeal	91:11 148:21	188:1
Amendment	anonymous	50:5 120:25	164:21,25	argued
8:4 64:2 129:10	181:17 184:21	appealed	165:3,5 166:12	57:24 242:6
198:13	186:13,15	164:25 165:1	applying	arguing
amendments	187:12 235:8	appeals	198:18	32:7
20:5	242:18 247:25	11:2	appointed	argument
America	253:5	appearance	176:4,6	244:23
68:18 80:13	anonymously	33:12 75:3	appointment	arise
84:11 122:10	61:5	80:10 98:18	81:18 91:19	33:16 126:18
American	answering	99:25 101:22	92:2	127:21 140:19
70:12 73:5	44:3 239:11	122:4 126:10	appreciation	arises
amount	answers	127:16 140:13	53:9 191:19	62:13
10:23 11:19	116:19 161:9	172:12 211:13	approach	army
113:14 137:12	214:23 257:6	261:2	23:20 28:24	68:10 80:22
138:4 146:15	anti-trust	appearing	45:10 74:24	arose
205:16	164:4	69:14	239:15	39:2
analogy	antiquated	appears	approached	arrest
185:18	115:2	8:16 25:19,20	152:7	153:15
analysis	Antoine	35:6 64:16	appropriately	arrests
75:25 112:3,4	107:20	129:21 143:6	181:21	153:11
123:14	anybody's	174:7 199:1	approval	arrogance
and/or	61:21	213:23	113:18	77:17,22
200:2	anymore	appellate	approximate	arrogant
Andy	110:10 119:9	13:13 39:21	71:25	77:15 78:1,2
253:16	205:22 260:7	135:2 149:17	apropos	79:5 220:21
anecdotes	anyone's	165:2 182:17	45:19	articles
153:1	88:10	206:24 237:3	arbitrary	8:16 35:5 64:16
Angelou	anytime	applaud	254:7	129:20 143:5
70:13 74:8	157:19	103:21 104:1	area	174:6 198:25
78:18 109:19		189:12 218:12	11:22 22:2	213:22
	apartment	applicability	52:13 72:5	articulate
anger	203:20,22	91:2	75:16 189:15	ai ticulate
	1	1		The state of the s

69:12 114:16	assigned	Atlanta	attorney	avenues
116:1	57:15 178:16	60:12	24:14,18 43:4	147:21
articulation	assist	attached	49:17 69:21,22	avoid
116:1	10:16 19:6 20:3	77:21	83:17 86:23	75:3 98:17
Ashley	37:12 144:25	attachments	97:6 128:13	avoided
7:13,19 9:24	175:18 201:4	88:1	145:2 163:21	55:5
22:7 26:17	230:22	attack	164:2,5,15	aware
Ashley's	assistance	220:20	165:25 201:14	13:10 14:5,7,11
27:3	68:11 87:16	attacked	203:23 204:7	39:19 40:14
aspect	assistant	260:5	205:12 230:24	49:3 79:18
117:16 178:8	30:22,24 31:3	attempt	235:20 251:8	85:18,20 89:17
aspiration	41:13 49:17	85:11 123:24	Attorney's	105:11,14 116:9
246:18	57:25 67:21	attempting	131:7 136:11,12	134:24 135:19
aspirational	84:23 97:10	14:5 40:14	attorney/client	139:9 149:15
187:3	142:22 145:3	89:17 135:19	125:19	150:10 177:14
aspire	152:14 156:15	150:10 183:9	attorneys	182:14 183:9
240:6	157:8,18 162:24	207:16 237:20	12:4 16:17 24:4	206:21 207:16
assault	164:12 232:14	attend	51:12 88:4	236:25 237:20
177:25	235:1	92:8 101:6	133:5 145:8	awhile
assaulted	assisting	102:21 103:11	154:6,8 164:8	20:19 62:9
154:18	148:8	123:19	165:24 169:18	190:10
	associate	attendance	171:2 187:17	aye
assembly 14:1,4 40:10,13	195:19 202:25	79:17 90:13	202:9,25 204:10	141:8 216:5,6
89:9,14 135:15,	associates	attended	220:22 222:9	Ayers
18 138:16	233:4 249:22,23	42:2 79:19	239:4	64:25
150:6,9 183:5,8	Association	82:23 93:21	attributes	ayes
207:12,15	73:5,14	95:1 100:9	161:21 210:16	141:9
237:16,19	assume	101:11 103:12	audience	
assertive	17:18 49:15	122:20 147:4,8	173:1	B
18:22 112:21	170:22 240:6	attending	AUSA	
123:25	255:6	81:20 91:4	41:9,14 56:20	baby
assessing	assuming	92:16 102:19	59:20	18:20 249:9
101:6 242:3	111:19 167:1	147:6	authentically	252:2
assessment	193:4 194:14	attention	30:15	back
92:9 242:10	195:3	27:20 86:25	author	7:7 9:2,5 18:19
assessments	assumptions	148:6 151:2,3	60:14	20:17,25 27:24
243:15	241:4	174:12 221:5	authority	29:14,24 32:6,8,
	assurances	attest	78:12 86:21	25 33:15 41:7
asset 11:21 166:13	44:8,14	18:20 61:5	93:8 99:2	47:24 51:3 52:4
208:23 231:15	assure	attitude	authorized	57:2 58:13
236:22 241:12	210:12	208:15	91:17	59:19 62:16
230.22 241.12				63:4 67:1 74:7
	1	I	I	1

78:18 85:9	8:12 11:15,17	bargain	bearing	45:23 48:11
101:22 106:21	15:10 22:15	55:14,15	51:17	49:11 53:22
111:25 113:3,8,	26:20 35:3	Barry	beautiful	58:14,15 60:7
10 115:21	37:24,25 64:13	191:16	261:9	69:10,12 71:21
119:18 127:20	69:17,19 70:9,	bars	begin	103:20 131:24
140:19 153:12,	17,19 75:11	202:5	90:6	147:9 155:1,4
17 154:1	78:17 95:14	base	beginning	157:20 190:4,11
159:10,23	96:21 110:13	115:3	29:3 41:8 181:7	194:4 201:18
172:14,22 173:2	129:17 131:11,	based	190:22	208:24 236:23
176:4 177:20	13 143:2	9:21 11:8 36:6	behalf	239:9 240:14,24
178:22 184:1	145:24,25	39:5 66:9 70:18	14:4,6 40:13,15	241:12 244:16
190:10 197:8	161:22 165:13	75:4,10,13 77:6	89:15 92:7	245:18 247:2
200:12 203:12	167:6 174:3	78:16 82:2,21,	93:11 135:18,20	beneficial
204:23 205:1	176:22,23	22 91:15 94:23	150:9,11 156:8	44:18
211:15 212:7,	198:22 201:20,	98:25 105:23	172:13 183:8,10	benefit
10,15 219:22	22 213:19	106:1 113:2	207:15,17	48:4 184:16
230:5,16 235:17	231:11,13,25	114:3 115:7	237:19,21	248:3
239:13 243:23	238:24 239:23	116:2,5,6	behave	benefits
244:5 247:2	242:5,25 244:7,	130:16 144:14	15:3 181:21	161:6
251:22 260:9	12 245:2 246:12	175:7 200:18	behaves	Benson
261:4,18	249:25 253:21	201:10 221:21	248:9	174:1,22 175:6,
backends	254:1 257:25	229:17 246:8	behavior	7,15 183:16
159:20	band	basically	112:4	bias
background	138:24	16:21 17:16	behoove	74:18 115:22,25
24:25 25:8	bank	26:8 46:13,15	95:11	116:9
46:17 69:7 75:5	72:2 253:21	47:3 116:22		biased
80:14 106:11	banks	157:18 160:11	belabor	113:20 153:4
131:4 162:21	204:2 205:6	253:20 255:9,22	223:20	171:11
bad	bar	basing	belief	bid
110:24 157:11	16:25 21:19,20	79:6	77:21 113:8	199:5
158:11 167:19	27:11 49:2	basis	151:12	big
233:6 235:2	53:19 61:3	110:19 204:10	believed	86:18 115:22
Baggett	69:24 73:14	basketball	25:17 85:5	149:12 151:21
220:4	103:5 122:19	67:8	86:20 181:7	159:4 164:17
Bakari	131:17 168:25	Batesburg	believes	166:24 254:1
107:14	208:17 233:13,	226:23 233:19	77:18 115:20	bigger
balance	15 240:24	battery	believing	194:16
25:9 139:2	243:11 244:9,	177:25	75:16	biggest
168:21 191:22	10,12,18 255:18		Ben	166:13
balancing	257:9	battle 169:24	204:22	bill
41:17 138:19	barely		bench	168:2
ballot	163:4	battles	11:1 17:3,14	100.2
		19:15	23:5,7 27:7	
İ	I	1	I	l .

11.41	1	06.21.110.12	206 4 200 14 10	n 1
birth	boisterous	96:21 110:13	206:4 209:14,18	Brooks
20:4,7,14	202:2	129:17 131:12,	Breibart's	48:15
birthday	bond	13 143:2	203:8 205:4	brother
159:2	76:5,6,8,20	145:24,25	Breibert	65:2,5
bit	114:6 131:21	161:22 165:13	209:17	brothers
12:2 15:20 17:8	178:12 179:4	167:6 174:3	Brian	154:3
19:15 21:21	bone	176:22,23	48:16	brought
24:17,25 25:9	79:5	198:22 201:20,	bridge	8:20 32:13
31:20,24 32:2	bono	22 213:19	138:9	35:13 58:12
41:24 59:3	19:25 20:2	231:11,13,25	briefing	64:23 130:1
65:11 77:5 91:6	204:11 205:4	238:24 239:23	141:6	151:15,16 199:8
102:22 103:9	bono/pro	242:5,25 244:7,	briefly	214:3 215:14
106:11 108:8	19:25 20:2	13 245:2 246:12	93:5 120:8	224:11 225:22,
113:8,11 120:19	book	249:25 252:20	137:3 227:24	24 238:12 249:7
145:14 151:7	113:18	253:21 257:25	244:19	Brown
165:15 179:13	booking	boxes	bright	83:18 85:7
180:3 231:7	158:6,7	15:10 254:1	96:5 99:11	bubbly
black	books	Boyd	100:22	188:3
49:25 62:11	72:21	85:21	brilliant	build
163:17 165:4	BOPHAN	brag	27:2	41:25 60:24
172:11 211:12	23:10	148:2		78:23
Blackley		brand	bring 57:2 72:12	bunch
27:21,23	borderline 25:25	12:3		154:2 193:8
121:16,18		brand-new	103:18 107:3,6	232:15 249:4
124:25 125:12	born	192:21	143:11 146:13	bundle
blight	219:15	breach	167:13 174:11	42:2
118:24	borrow	23:10	194:23 220:17	
block	204:25	breaches	bringing	burden
80:7	bothers	11:6	32:19 82:13,17	218:25 219:17
bloom	232:3 235:5	breadth	172:14 261:4	burning
205:9	255:24 256:1	26:25 69:9 71:9	brings	134:6
blurted	bound		121:3,4 201:24	Burns
249:8	13:11 39:20	break	British	83:17 85:18
	79:14 134:25	128:10	21:24	87:20 102:9
board	149:16 182:15	breakfasts	broad	126:8
232:23	206:22 237:1	103:11 122:22	121:11 178:3	business
bodies	box	breath	broaden	218:6,7 242:21
259:1	8:13 11:15,17	241:23	189:13	busy
body	22:15 26:20	Breeden	broader	85:10 178:3
28:11 73:8 79:5	35:3 37:24,25	86:23	120:19	191:7
132:21 219:20	64:13 69:17,19	Breibart	broke	buttons
boiled	70:9,17,19	202:12,16 203:3	203:12	249:4
193:12	75:11 78:17	204:18 205:8	203.12	
			1	1

		74.15 144.15 17	l soud	
	candidacies 189:19	74:15 144:15,17 175:8,10	card 19:7	carried 168:4
C		ĺ ,		
call	candidacy 13:17 35:12	candidates 8:11 9:22 35:1	care	carrier
31:7 33:15 42:1			33:21 39:4	228:18 229:3
49:5 58:19,22	40:1 42:16 43:2 45:21 64:11	42:7,14 66:6 79:13 81:12,18,	77:24 79:4 103:22 139:21	carries
61:6,16 62:16	89:1 91:12	20 91:17 92:2	161:14,15 205:3	208:16
82:10 83:13	104:23 107:8	102:25 123:8	236:7	carry
128:9 139:18,25	129:16,24 132:3	140:11 217:8		218:25
154:12,13	135:6 142:25	218:15,22 219:2	career	cars
169:19 174:12	143:9 149:22	220:12 242:23	19:1 27:17	155:12
197:8 225:23	182:21 198:20	243:6 255:15	43:19 44:1	carts
234:12 244:16,	207:3 213:17	259:5	52:19 70:23	196:12
20 246:9 258:22	237:7 241:20		132:1 153:24	case
called	242:4,25 245:4	Candidates' 74:21	165:7 230:2,7	23:1,11 29:6
21:6 127:20	,		240:12,14	44:5 51:6,11,19,
140:18 155:25	candidate 7:10 13:11	candidate's	241:22,25 244:2 246:8 254:25	24 52:1,4 57:1,
219:24	14:14,16 39:19	200:19,21		22 58:2,18,20
calling	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	229:18,20	careful	76:18 78:15
17:19 26:2	40:24,25 74:19, 25 79:15 80:17	candor	52:24 260:19	79:8 95:7
60:24 211:15	82:5 83:4 88:23	117:22 220:22	cares	104:14 113:23
callous	90:1,2 91:3,4,18	221:18	132:1	114:9,10,11,14
161:13	92:4,6,10,15,20	canon	caring	115:21 117:10
	93:24 101:24	79:14,24 81:13,	231:17	151:14 152:6,18
calls	109:15 128:9	21 83:10 91:15	Carlyle	153:12 154:10
153:7 209:9	131:10 134:25	104:18	250:23	155:15 164:9
233:16	149:15 150:19,	canons	Carmax	217:12,13 224:5
calm	21 162:7 172:23	108:18 123:15	204:3 205:5	226:18 227:1,3,
12:15 53:8 60:3	176:19 179:17	can't	Carolina	25 228:5 235:21
180:12,14 202:1	182:15 183:18,	242:13 244:13	13:12 14:9	243:18 245:3,11
Calvin	20 202:8 206:22	245:6 246:1	18:19 20:18	246:21
154:5	207:25 208:2,21	249:17 251:22	39:21 41:8	caseload
camera	207:25 208:2,21	254:20,21	60:19 67:11	137:13 166:18
119:18	218:24 219:3,	258:13	73:9,10 79:1,2	195:3
campaign	17,21 220:7,9,	capable	81:7,8 83:21,22	cases
244:15	13 221:21,24	176:25	89:20 93:1	11:5,11 12:7
campaigning	229:13 236:22	capacity	107:16 131:17	22:12,21,23,24
74:25	237:1 238:5,7	10:13 56:14	135:2,23 149:17	23:2 25:15,21,
campus	241:11 242:11	caption	150:14 156:19	25 28:21,24
80:6 178:6	251:23 252:6	125:19 126:11,	182:17 183:13	36:19 43:24
cancer	254:9	16	205:15 206:24	44:19,25 45:5,7
181:3	candidate's	car	218:20 219:15	48:3,9 49:16
	9:24 36:7,9	194:19 204:2	230:17 237:2,25	51:4,5,8,15,16
	7.27 30.1,7			
		1	1	<u> </u>

54:9,13,15,18,	223:25 224:2	CDR	21 104:6,7,8	12 222:3 223:24
24 55:2,10,17	225:1 241:13,	21:3	106:22,25	224:4 225:3,5,7
56:19 57:8,9,13,	14,16 247:6,22	celebrate	117:24,25	227:18,20
18 68:22 71:17	251:15	157:25 158:25	120:3,4 121:16	229:11 238:3,7,
78:19 114:8,12	Caskey's	159:13	125:13 126:6,	10 241:13,14
132:18 133:20	253:18	Center	22,24 127:1,5,	247:12,13,21
136:12 137:20,	cast	80:6 178:5	24 128:9,13,15,	250:11 251:9,
21,23 138:4	212:12 261:21	central	22 129:2,14	10,14 253:16
139:21 147:17	catching	178:24	130:4,13,15	256:23 258:1
148:4,7,8,10,11	159:20,21,22	certificate	136:1,6,7,8,24,	260:22 261:8,18
151:5,6,13,18	categories	20:4,7,14	25 139:8,22	challenge
153:8,9,25	146:16	chair	140:3,22 141:1,	43:8 44:15
154:1,2 156:7	caucus	18:15 69:2,6	4,15,16,17,23	241:20 247:10
157:9 161:7	81:5,7,9 92:24,	74:14 83:22,24	142:1,5,14,19,	253:5
163:20 166:20	25 93:1,2,7	90:24 99:16,22	24 143:14,23	challenges
168:3 169:20,24	, ,	116:12 120:5	144:1,4,7,9,11	43:6 44:9,10
170:10,14	caught 32:1 158:11	137:3	150:17,22,24	chance
175:24 176:7		chairman	156:2,3,5,21,22	15:11 178:13
177:12,15,16,25	257:14		160:25 161:1,	259:11
178:1 189:5	caused	7:2,7,17,20 8:8,	17,19 169:8,12	chanced
194:16,19,21,22	67:17 83:15	23 9:2,5,15,17	172:1,5,16,19,	166:3
195:5,10,11,18	84:6 248:24	14:12,16,18,19	22 173:5,7,14,	change
204:11 205:3,4	caution	18:14 22:3,4	24 174:14,18	28:15 29:10,11,
231:5,6 245:9	30:12 108:15	26:14,15,16	175:1,6 183:16,	13 30:11 114:22
259:9	119:25 121:10	27:18,21 30:18 32:14,22 33:7,	20,22,24 189:6,	115:5 137:11
Caskey	124:16 125:9	18,21,23 34:1,7,	8 191:4,9,10	158:13 217:12
26:15,16 42:20,	240:2 245:15		196:19 197:4,	241:2,7,8
21,23 90:4,9	cautionary	11,20,25 35:17 36:3 40:21,25	13,16,18,24	changed
95:22 96:11,13	260:18	41:2,4 42:20,21	198:2,3,17	112:20 195:3
97:22 98:2	cautioned	46:4,5,9,22	199:13,15,17,20	
99:21 104:7	7:14 34:4 63:15	50:22,23 52:24	200:1,12,16	changing
106:22,25	128:19 141:21	53:12,13 56:7,8	207:23 208:2,4,	163:25
117:24 120:4	173:10 197:22	58:10 59:23	7 209:5,7,8,24	channel
121:16 125:13	212:19 214:15	60:2,11 61:8,14,	210:3,21 211:3,	168:16
126:6,22,24	cautious	18 62:5,18,20,	12,18,19,22,24	channeling
127:1,5,24	187:17	24 63:4,9,11,17	212:4,10,15,22,	168:18
136:6,25 139:22	caveat	64:6 65:4,8,12,	25 213:2,6,16	character
140:3,22 141:1,	15:8 61:18	15 66:2,8 83:12	214:5,9,18,21	13:3 39:11
4 156:2,3,5	Cayce	88:12 89:24	215:5,12,15,18,	69:22 74:21
161:4,21 189:7,	153:11	90:4,9 95:22	25 216:3,4,5,7,	88:16 131:20,23
8,10 191:4	CCI	96:11,13 97:21,	24 217:24	134:18 146:17
196:10 208:6,7	60:20	22 98:2 99:18,	219:7,11,12	149:9 177:1
209:6 216:3		22 70.2 77.10,	220:23 221:8,9,	182:10 206:14
	1	1		1

210:8 217:18	26:3,5 66:22	67:2,3 82:20	circumstances	149:11 166:17,
218:16 220:11,	184:19	95:4 101:11,13	37:5 160:1,3	19,21 169:1,18
18 221:16,20	child's	103:15 118:13,	186:17	175:23,24
236:17 239:2	26:1	15 124:25	citation	193:11 194:12
242:16 243:2,7	children	125:2,3	224:5	199:12 201:16
characteristics	24:12 26:9 67:9,	Cindy	citizen	230:12 231:16
220:7	13 82:18 85:10	199:22	95:9 206:10,18	civility
characterize	119:5,10 159:6	circ	Citizen's	74:1
105:10	160:23 230:3	170:6	15:7,15,16 61:3	CJC
charged	chill	circle	citizens	106:14 109:7
152:15 218:13	86:18	27:24 29:21	12:24 31:12	123:23
244:24 247:1	chip	162:2	39:8 88:13	claim
charges	15:20 28:2,17	circuit	134:11,12 149:3	228:12
154:24 171:17	29:8	10:5,7,8,17	164:9 166:16	clarify
Charleston	Chitlin'	11:12,14 27:1,7	167:3,11 182:5	87:1 125:16
21:6 195:16	79:1	36:15 37:9,13	188:22 219:4,8	class
Charlottesville	choice	42:11 44:16	233:14 236:14	164:6 179:21
80:5	79:21 105:17	45:3 49:12	241:10	185:4,21,25
chart	choose	51:20 57:23	citizenship	classes
21:10	51:7 130:6,8	66:19,21 69:10	67:16	185:2
charter	151:4	70:5,20,25 71:2,	civic	classmate
82:9 102:13,14	chose	7,12,13,17,21	122:6,8 123:6	60:17
charts	51:5 209:22	72:1 75:14	civics	classmates
21:2	233:12	76:24 97:13	67:15 82:19	29:24
	chosen	118:20 120:18,	103:24	CLE
check	233:8	21 121:1,2	civil	42:1,2
8:17 35:4,7		131:1 136:22	11:4,5,20 22:24	, ,
64:17 86:6 129:22 143:7	Chris 58:12	142:23 145:1,19	23:1,2 38:7,12,	clean 127:2 159:24
174:8 184:1		146:5 148:22	13 39:16 41:22,	
199:2 213:24	Christ	157:4 160:6	25 42:5,8,12	cleaning
	187:4	165:8,23 170:9,	44:5 46:17	204:1 205:6
cheerful 188:3	Christian	11 175:19	48:10 53:18	clear
	103:10 104:2	179:18 180:17	56:22 61:19,22	81:9 85:19 93:9
Chester	212:18,24	184:8 190:4,8	68:4,13,24	102:5 116:1
41:7 58:13,17	229:20	198:18,19	71:13 88:21,22	cleared
chief	Christmas	201:5,8,16	119:22 131:8	250:25 251:1
60:18 69:2 86:4,	159:3	217:9,10 229:24	133:19,21,25	clerk
10 153:15	Christopher	230:6,22	134:2 136:16	10:8 22:11,19
178:19,20	33:25 34:3 36:9	232:20,21,23	145:10,11	36:21 148:22
232:14,15 235:1	chunk	236:22 241:12,	146:10,11,16,	170:15,19,25
240:22	10:12	19	20,23 147:1,14,	171:5 220:3
child	church	circumstance	15,19 148:25	clerking
25:17,18,22		51:25		133:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1

clerks	clues	columnists	26:19,24 30:10,	91:11 93:12,13
22:18	72:10	242:7	14 33:1 37:25	96:8 99:9,13
CLES	coach	Colvin	38:2,4,5 56:15	101:1 103:19
38:11 42:3	111:25 112:16	154:4	69:19,21,25	118:12 127:13
147:2,3 189:16	code	combat	70:4,9,10,22	131:11 132:2
196:10,12,13	13:11 14:10	68:19	90:6 96:1,23	135:5 136:8
client	21:3 39:20	combination	97:2 98:23	137:1 140:10
12:12 59:17	40:18 75:2,23	72:5 159:19	109:25 110:1	145:24 146:24
132:20 166:8	76:15 77:9	combined	112:17 114:21	149:21 150:23
179:24 187:20	79:14 83:10	176:15,18	115:1 118:17	172:24,25
228:14 245:17	89:21 90:16	comer	125:15 131:13,	176:21 177:14
clients	123:6 134:25	32:16	14 132:5,22,23,	182:20 183:13
12:18 20:6 68:4,	135:24 149:16	comfort	24 133:3 137:2	200:2 201:20
7 187:17 195:7,	150:15 182:15	124:4	139:23 145:25	205:23,25 207:2
8,10 202:24	183:14 206:23	•	146:2,8,9,19,21	208:5 216:14
204:9,12,13	207:20 237:1,25	comfortable	156:9,12 161:17	217:7,11,15,20,
205:10,12	cognizant	112:10	165:13 169:25	25 218:3,13,18,
210:12	47:1,10	command	176:23,24	25 219:19
Clifton	cohesiveness	78:6	177:2,10 179:15	221:25 223:16
10:9 30:5 152:4,	26:11	commanders	184:21 186:8	224:9 225:13,14
15	collaborative	68:15	201:21,23	231:11 237:7
close	29:1,4	commend	202:10,11,15	244:4,24 250:8
11:1 33:10	collapse	41:10,19 59:12	208:10 210:4,17	260:25
35:22 46:10	206:4	169:3 210:15	231:12,14,20	commission's
62:1,22 103:4,9	collapsed	comment	238:23 239:8	14:9 40:18
109:10 124:4	203:13	13:6 27:25	247:23,25	89:20 127:12
175:1 178:6	collected	45:18 60:21	248:6,25 250:7	135:23 140:10
255:14 257:1	60:3	96:2 98:11,21	251:22 252:15	150:14
closed		151:3 167:21	254:18 258:19	commissioner
197:6 203:10	collectively	206:19 248:8,	259:12	64:8 65:16
211:7 228:16	60:23	11,16,18 251:11	commission	66:17 68:23
261:4	college	commentary	9:10 11:15	69:1 70:24
closer	60:17 67:12	92:22 254:15	13:16 35:25	83:20 84:20
107:1 176:9	72:25 73:1	commentators	37:24 40:1 41:3	103:12 106:13
closing	82:15 84:11 85:9 164:6	179:7	46:10 64:24	115:16 116:12
33:3 61:25	230:3	commented	65:20 66:18	118:20
130:8 144:2		134:20	69:3,5,18 71:3,	commissioners
169:10 174:24	collegiality 255:19	commenters	10,15 75:8,10,	79:13 84:14,18,
196:21,23		70:8 75:7,9,18	21 79:18 80:24,	21 85:23
200:13 202:24	colloquy	77:4 113:1	25 83:13 84:1,	commissions
210:18 256:25	91:7	comments	11 85:4,5,6,7 86:9 87:2,3,17,	97:19
	Columbia	11:16,18,22	19 88:25 90:5	Commission's
	65:3 133:17		17 00.23 70.3	207:20 237:24

• 4	70 10 72 0 12		11 22 16 0 10	1
commit	72:12 73:2,13	complaints	11:23 16:9,10	condescending
46:18 76:6	82:10,12,13,18,	8:19 35:11	17:13 31:17	17:4
commitment	24 83:3 94:3,7	167:7 174:9	38:8 43:2 70:7	condition
19:1 66:24 67:1,	95:3,4,6,8	199:4 215:23	72:5 77:16	76:8
4 73:13 158:17	123:1,17 132:2	complete	83:15 84:14,15	conducive
committed	164:13 220:13	160:17,18	86:8 101:19	67:16
24:6 76:9	230:15 255:22	completed	136:15,17	conduct
131:25 152:9	Comp	223:9,15	146:22 153:3	13:12 39:20
158:4,5	11:8	completely	155:17 177:3	62:14 75:2,23
committee	compare	15:2 49:25	179:5 184:25	76:15 77:1,9
12:25 13:6 15:8,	114:10	186:13	202:13,16	78:2,20 79:14
15,16 31:13	compared	complex	234:17,20	83:10 90:17
39:8,15 61:4	167:25 190:7	36:19 37:19	concerned	123:7 135:1
74:15 88:13,20	243:5	65:11 68:20	13:6 85:2 86:5	149:16 182:16
134:11,13,20	compassion	complexities	99:25 100:2,4	193:17 206:23
149:3,10 166:16	68:8 171:14	43:21	108:14,16 119:2	237:2 248:22
167:3,5,12	204:9	complexity	179:14 181:23	conducting
182:5,11 188:22	compel	71:14,16	concerns	102:14
206:11,19	228:4	compliance	11:22,25 14:13	conference
210:21 233:14,	compelled	8:14 35:10	15:9 38:5,6	35:15 54:11
15 236:14,21	83:2	64:15 129:19	40:22 70:1,2,4	conferences
241:10	competency	143:4 174:5	74:4 75:7 77:13	54:4
committees	217:18	198:24 213:21	89:24 132:5,6,	confidence
20:20			10 133:9,11	69:15
committing	competent 69:16 152:12	complicated 37:14	136:2 138:7	confident
158:10			146:9,10,12	112:12
common	complain	complications	150:18 177:2	
71:22 201:8	181:18	43:7	180:4 183:17	confirm
communicate	COMPLAINAN	complied	189:20 202:11	214:24
112:12 125:25	T	75:2	207:24 221:20,	confirmed
185:21	216:9	compliment	21 231:20,21,23	215:22
	complained	60:8 61:9	238:4	conflicts
communicated	227:2	210:13	conclude	8:18 35:8 64:18
126:4	complaint	compose	33:2 62:5 127:8,	129:22 143:7
communicating	214:25 216:2,	53:9	25 172:6 197:5	174:8 199:3
153:8	15,20,23 217:6	composure	260:23 261:12	213:24
communication	219:9 220:9	12:9	concluded	conformity
84:15 85:3 86:9	221:1,24	comprehensive	223:21 261:24	35:9
125:20 185:10,	225:13,20	84:9	conclusion	confused
20 258:8 260:3	226:4,5,10	Compton	140:7 159:17	18:3
community	227:21 240:19	204:22	conclusions	confusing
19:2,13 66:25	245:14	concern	71:19	93:8
67:1,5,10,12,15			/1.1/	

confusion	Constitution	144:15 146:1	122:21 167:11	94:20 142:20
39:5 84:5	60:13 218:14	175:8 200:19	191:1	
	constitutional	201:22 225:19	conversations	cough 65:22
congress 161:25	9:25 13:2 36:10	229:18 231:13	76:12,13 77:8	
	39:14 66:12		121:19	coughing
congressional	88:19 130:19	contemplates 90:17		65:24
162:7	134:14 144:18		convey	couldn't
connected	149:5 175:11	contemporary 17:8	219:5	224:14 235:3
81:25	182:7 200:22		convictions	254:16
connections	206:17 229:21	contend	148:3 151:5,7	counsel
72:20 233:21	236:19	133:6	convince	9:9 35:20 68:6
conscientious		context	188:13	86:4,10,11,23
190:21	constructed	72:9,12 109:23	cool	131:20 208:24
conscious	67:8	132:12 253:3	21:2 60:3	222:24 223:3
125:6	construction	continuance	Cooper	241:10
consent	194:20	139:13	190:12,24 191:5	counseling
9:24 23:5 36:9	construed	continuances	copied	158:20
66:11 130:18	109:16 112:13	139:12	83:14,18 85:17	count
144:17 175:10	consult	continue	96:7	148:9
200:21 229:20	83:24	10:13 147:3,10	copy	counties
consents	consulted	205:3 260:9	83:14 87:19	201:13 230:11
139:14	106:9	continued	127:2 205:20	country
consequential	contact	73:12 205:2,4	cordial	69:23 127:7
218:9	14:3 40:12	continues	68:5	140:5
conservation	89:14 135:17	185:1	Corley	county
95:15	150:8 183:7	continuing	199:23	31:5 43:18
considerable	207:14 210:6	186:25 236:2	correct	47:25 48:17
156:10	237:18	contract	30:23 33:16	67:7 157:2,3,5
consideration	contacted	11:6 68:12,16	34:9,23 35:14	178:15 196:5
132:3 138:4	13:16 39:25	127:10	49:17,25 57:10,	202:5 224:15
139:4	88:25 135:5	contrary	17 87:6,10	231:3 235:13
considered	149:21 182:20	15:6	108:11 125:20	couple
29:2 33:14 36:1	207:2 235:23	contribution	137:15,16	9:14 10:22 15:1
76:11 97:15	237:6	67:18,20 92:9	142:2,3,16	30:21 55:10
109:12 122:15	contacting	165:6	151:10 184:2	56:15,16 64:21
consistent	13:25 40:9 89:9	control	205:22,24	111:23 120:9
74:22 114:13,19	135:14 150:5	16:1,2,3 168:5	211:17 222:9,10	137:8 153:1,6,
115:25	183:4 207:11	controversy	224:15 228:7	10 155:2 208:9
constantly	237:15	220:15	232:3	224:10 238:11
258:6	contained	conversation	correction	243:24 248:7
constituents	9:22 11:17 36:7	51:2 71:8,24	94:21	courses
122:9	38:1 66:9 69:20	87:8 91:9 94:14	correctly	42:2
1	130:16 131:14	07.0071.0071.11	44:4 57:21	

aavuut	222.21.220.2.24	anadanas	196.15	252.2
court 10:5,7,17 11:2,	223:21 229:2,24 230:6,22 236:6,	credence 170:8 211:9	186:15	252:2
3,12,14 12:23	23 237:3 241:12		criticized	dad's
13:13 15:17	250:17 257:14	credit	259:21	226:22 228:19
26:3 27:1,7 31:7	259:23	162:6	cross	daily
32:3 36:15 37:9,		crime	226:20	71:2
13,15,16,18,19,	courteous 12:16 16:6 18:5	24:7 26:1 76:6,9	crossed	danger
20 39:22 41:10		158:3 159:18	104:4 109:16	189:23
42:11 43:14	188:6	crimes	124:16	data
44:13,16,21,22	courthouse	158:5,10 159:25	crossing	34:8 242:13
45:3 48:3,17	48:9 204:20	160:2	103:4	243:17 245:3
50:6 53:24 54:3,	courtroom	criminal	cry	date
6,7,10,17,18	10:10 12:11,13	10:23 11:4,20	49:5 249:9	13:21 21:5 89:5
55:10 57:7	13:7 19:14,20,	20:25 21:1,11	252:2	135:10 150:1
66:19,21 67:8	22 27:5 47:6	22:24 23:9,14,	CSC	182:25 207:7
69:10,23 70:5,	74:17 139:6	15 25:5,21	23:9	237:11
20 71:1,2,7,12,	147:25 168:5	36:18 37:6	cultural	dated
13,17,21 75:14	180:13 231:14	38:14 42:8,12	101:10	83:16
97:13 120:18,21	234:18 240:7,16	44:2,6 48:9	curing	daughter
121:1,2 131:1	248:23 249:16	52:10,13 53:17	239:10	138:23 238:15
132:8 133:12,	courtrooms	55:4 68:4 77:1	curious	255:4
13,14,20,22	43:9 45:2	88:21 113:25	23:16 24:20	daughters
134:1,8 135:2	courts	119:21 133:5,	43:10,15	21:24 214:7
136:18,19,20,21	53:22,23 148:22	17,21 145:6	current	250:2
138:3 139:3,11,	165:2 170:19	146:15 147:15,	83:25 121:24	daunting
16,17,18,20	201:8	16,17 148:24	Curtis	218:11
142:22 145:1,7,	cover	166:19,21	224:21	David
19 146:6 147:1,	181:3	171:17 176:2	custodian	141:20,25
2,19 149:18	coverage	177:5,11,16,18,	171:5	144:17
155:4 157:1,5,	228:12	22 178:9 189:15	cut	day
13,17,20 160:15	covered	201:17 231:16	77:19 159:7	12:6 19:14
170:15,25	169:13,16	criteria	160:4 220:24	20:18,25 29:9
175:19 176:2	196:25 217:19	8:12 13:1 35:3	221:9	40:5 84:25
178:12,16,17,	crap	39:10,13 64:12		124:21 125:6
18,23,24 179:2,	47:3	88:15,18 127:13	cycle 209:10	139:7 161:12
3,4,18 180:17	Crawford	129:17 140:10	<u> </u>	171:10 177:16,
182:17 184:8	65:18 66:4,5,8,	143:1 149:5,8		18 180:2 187:1
187:19 189:5	16 83:12 88:12	174:2 182:6,9	D	197:14 198:17
190:4 194:6	89:24 96:2,12	198:21 206:12,	dad	202:19 204:16,
196:11 198:18	126:20	16 213:18	140:1 164:1,6	20
201:5,8,9,16,18	created	236:15,19 239:3 242:16 243:6	192:11 226:21,	days
206:24 208:12	21:5 84:17		24 230:14	28:10 168:19
217:9 220:2	192:2	criticisms	233:19 249:9	171:22 179:2
			233.17 277.7	
	I	I	I	I

102.25.104.4	210.0.222.10	1.6	50.22.24.60.6	
193:25 194:4	218:9 232:19	defers	58:23,24 60:6	Desanty
202:23	240:13 245:19	50:6	77:14 132:7	84:23,25
deal	261:20	deficiencies	145:21 146:17	describe
17:11 49:14	declaratory	176:1,3	165:11 166:15	79:21 105:23
58:21 73:10	11:6	Define	167:8,20 186:10	109:9
74:16 137:14,18	dedicate	185:3	190:15 231:15,	describing
138:3,11 139:15	10:11	defined	22 240:7	193:2
159:4 181:19	dedicated	81:15,21 92:14	Democratic	description
215:16	210:9	122:5	81:8 93:1	105:22
dealing	deeds	defines	demonstrate	deserve
28:20 65:23	74:21	80:15	74:22	151:15 152:11
79:8 115:23	deem	definition	demonstrated	246:20 260:12
138:2 235:17	215:6 221:25	79:22 80:1	27:17 41:15	deserving
dealings	deep	87:12,21 91:22,	66:25 67:4 68:8	127:16 140:14
111:8 221:22	66:24 196:2	24 103:2	78:16	designed
dealt	deeper	degree	department	93:22 94:7
59:21 176:17	154:11	49:13 108:5	153:14 203:7	desire
240:6	defendant	221:2,6	205:15 232:13	41:18 51:2
death	24:3	dejected	235:2	desperately
54:24 55:22,24	defendant's	260:5	depend	20:13
148:8 232:18	67:25 76:5,20	Delaney	29:19	detailed
249:21	defendants	46:9 59:4	depending	24:10
decade	23:17 133:1	delay	30:13	details
112:18 219:14	202:2 230:9	9:19 174:21	depends	255:17
decades	defended	194:9	29:17	determine
17:16	68:15 231:5	delayed	depicting	217:8 218:16
December	defender	54:15	101:24	determined
236:5	58:1 170:6,7,10,	delegates	deployed	158:4
decide	12	232:20,22	106:16	
95:10 151:13	12	deliberate	deposition	determines
200:13	defender's	252:5	222:5,6 228:9	92:23
decided	231:2 234:1		depth	detractors
67:19 109:8	257:11	deliberations 127:17 140:15	45:25 241:23	242:8
192:19 236:3	defense		deputy	develop
decision	19:4 24:4,13,18	delighted	145:4 146:6	17:1
55:22 101:8	25:4 68:2 133:5,	209:22	153:7 157:8	developed
111:17 114:17	18 152:5 154:5,	Delta	162:25	23:19
116:2,5 117:17	7 163:21 165:24,25 169:1	93:18 99:7	derogatory	dialogue
decisions	208:17 235:20	demeanor	248:16	91:8
7:9 38:3 63:6	242:6 251:7	11:24 12:1 13:7	Derrick	dickens
68:19 69:12,13		16:19 18:10	197:21 202:3	152:16
71:11,18 171:22	defensive	27:6 32:5 53:7	197.21 202.3	
	257:6			
	l	I		I

didn't	director	251:19	242:20,21	114:15
203:6,14,25	84:17 85:21	discussed	disputes	documents
204:19 224:8	86:11,19 157:16	31:25 32:10	232:25	106:2,4 128:23
228:11,13	disadvantageous	79:12 81:15	disrespect	131:3 216:17
233:23 234:11,	246:18	82:21 101:2	171:25	217:14,19
13 235:21	disagree	167:10		225:18
254:13 259:5,24	116:17	discussing	disrespectful 19:11	doesn't
difference	disagreeable	217:1,3		241:5 256:21
53:21 54:9	12:21	discussion	distinction	dog
101:10 114:5,6		75:24 76:2	90:15	179:12 189:2,3
211:2	disagreement 76:17,19 115:10	96:24 112:6	district	'
differently	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	122:22 214:22	95:15 177:17	dogfighting 72:2
113:20 162:11	disappointed 152:24	discussions	districts	
254:5		60:16 76:4	231:4	dogs
difficult	disappointing		dive	47:3
23:22 114:9	179:14	disease 158:22	196:3 200:8	Dolan
179:9,11	disarm		diversed	33:25 34:3 36:9
181:13,14,18	112:9,22	disingenuous	210:25	dollar
187:25 232:24	disavows	254:3	diversity	68:22
difficulties	132:21	dismiss	101:3	domestic
45:16 181:2,25	Disciplinary	215:23 245:3	divide	178:22,23
difficulty	100:25 222:24	dismissal	80:18	donated
17:1 41:17	disclaimer	72:4	Divine	21:17,19
	93:11	dismissed	82:7 93:20 99:4	dons
digging 154:11	discouraged	154:24 236:5	102:19 103:15	221:14
	97:8	dismissive	121:21 122:2	don't
dignity	discovery	132:10	124:18,21	202:18,22
165:18 171:3	113:22,23	Disney	125:14	204:23,24
diligence	168:24 185:9	238:15	divorce	211:3,19 220:24
247:7	193:13 228:21	dispense	203:14,21	224:7,12
dim	discredit	143:16 174:22	docket	226:17,19
157:10	103:18	displeasure	43:13,22 44:5,6	227:10 229:8
diminished	discrepancy	260:14	137:19 166:19,	232:12 233:7,18
12:5 15:21	120:24	disposed	21	234:4,5,6,9
194:21 195:6	discretion	72:4	dockets	238:12,20
direct	48:25 49:14,15	disposition	45:24 48:3,13	239:22 241:2,6,
18:22 21:14	50:5,8 55:20,21	202:8	document	7,22 242:23
59:24 91:8	56:1 113:14	dispositive	75:24 193:25	243:12 244:2,8,
172:10 221:5	116:4,6 117:15,	93:13	224:13	15,22,25 245:9,
227:11	17 123:11	dispute	documented	11 246:2,4,16,
directly	discuss	137:8 147:20	113:17	24 247:4,16
43:1 55:3 81:3 101:2	82:14,18 90:7	229:8 232:23	documenting	248:5,9,15 249:9,12 251:23
101.2				2.5.5,12.251.25

252:23 253:2,9	65:22	124:6 138:10	educating	electronic
254:22 255:10,	drug	157:6 208:25	123:12	76:7,21 114:7
17,25 256:19	21:2,10 72:21	254:9	education	element
257:5,22	142:22 157:1,4,	early	67:14 82:19	16:25
259:11,20 260:4	12,17,20 158:3	9:18 28:14	95:2,19 131:6	elements
door	160:14	67:17 96:5	educational	74:13
249:13,14	drugs	138:22	82:11 95:16	eligible
252:25 253:1	24:11 72:2	earned	effect	55:18
259:17	159:19	27:11 209:4	50:8 107:22	eliminate
doors	drugstore	244:1	effective	115:24
202:23 249:15	226:21	ears	10:16 11:14	Elise
double	due	60:25	37:13 66:21	85:1 86:4,11
153:10	219:16	easier	77:14 131:1	else's
doubt	DUI	91:8	144:25 175:18	233:23 248:15
57:10 121:10	23:12	easily	191:18 201:4,	email
131:18 137:8	duly	180:11	10,16 230:22	83:14,16,19
151:18,20 242:4	7:13 33:8 34:3	easy	effectively	84:3,5,18,21
dozens	63:14 69:7	59:6 138:15,19	109:22	85:4,14,16,17,
194:19	128:18 141:20	158:16,23 249:3	effort	18,20,22 86:12
draft	173:9 197:21	257:21	112:21 123:25	87:1,4,5,8,17
83:25	212:18 214:14	eat	125:6 170:17,21	90:10 202:20
draw	duplicative	257:13	189:17 195:13	emailed
148:6	88:6	ebb	efforts	126:1 225:22
drawer	duties	166:19 184:20	64:10 102:16	emails
110:16	74:16 203:9	186:7	189:12	84:17,22 85:1
drawn	205:12	echo	elderly	embarrass
27:19 151:3	dutifully	30:8 156:13	154:4 155:2	187:20
dread	9:18	echoed	elect	embracing
248:10	duty	165:14	234:22	95:6
drilled	166:21 178:17	economic	elected	
230:15	219:25 220:7	8:17 35:8 64:18	13:7 73:3,4,6,8,	emotional 76:25
drip	dynamic	129:22 143:7	17 103:20 148:9	
65:23	48:6	174:8 199:3	232:14,17,21	emotionally
	+0.0	213:24	233:7 258:4	162:18
drive		edification	electing	empathetic
180:23 204:19 247:5	E	202:17	218:6	180:5 182:3
	e-filing		election	empathy
driver's	194:1	editor 60:18	35:1,2 64:20	68:8 179:21
159:9,10	Eagle		73:12 81:17	emphasize
driving	232:10	educate 79:20 93:22	91:18 92:1	242:18
137:25 155:3	earlier		120:24,25	Empire
drops		educated	131:18 214:1	21:24
•	55:20 106:6	94:8	[3]:18 /141	

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	
employed	ends	67:17 112:20	88:15 134:17	17 101:2,5,7,10,
203:1	120:25	envision	136:14 146:2	17 102:10,21
employees	enforcement	43:10	149:8 161:8	103:3,6 105:23
234:25	41:17 82:19	epitome	182:9 206:12	109:11,15
employment	153:8 154:23	164:15	210:10 231:22	110:10,11 118:9
68:13 202:12	178:7 187:7	equal	234:8 236:16	120:8,9,11,22,
empower	engage	245:25	ethics	23 121:5 122:6,
79:20 86:13	79:20 177:20	equation	33:13 35:10	15,17,18
93:23	engaged	242:3 254:22	62:12 64:15	123:13,20
empowered	57:17 100:12	equipped	75:22 76:2,4,6,	124:7,12,17,18,
83:2 94:8	162:8 180:12,14	69:8	8,15 77:10 80:7	25 125:7
emulate	Engages	Erin	84:10 85:12	events
18:7 30:2 168:9	27:3	100:11 176:13	88:7 106:14	81:20 82:11,12
240:11	England	257:3	109:7 127:14	98:19 101:11,14
encounter	21:23	err	129:20 143:4	102:10,19,24
110:7,22	enjoy	88:9 121:11	146:7 172:12	103:8,15 109:8
encourage	115:18 156:10	errors	174:5 197:10	122:19,23,24
45:20 53:4	157:6 195:23	148:16	198:25 211:10	everybody's
95:18 119:14,15	201:25	escape	213:21 217:18	72:18
158:1 194:5	enjoyed	27:19	245:12,14 261:1	everybody's
encouraged	159:14	essence	evaluate	209:19 227:6
94:15 120:10	enlighten	79:10	252:8	240:16
encouragement	223:16	essential	evaluating	everyday
97:16	ensure	12:11,12	137:11	204:9
encourages	80:9 115:24	, and the second	evaluative	Everyone's
53:4	190:17	essentially 80:18,19 82:10	8:12 13:1 35:2	123:4
encouraging	ensuring	estate	39:10 64:12	everything's
94:11 122:7	67:25		88:14,18 127:12	49:25
end	entered	228:15,17,20	129:16 140:10	evidence
18:1 23:2,6 54:9	7:21	231:7	143:1 149:4,7	68:25 71:11
55:12 90:12	entertain	estimation 47:1	174:2 182:6,9	116:3,7,22
97:23 111:9	215:16		198:21 206:12, 16 213:18	133:21,23,24
124:21 125:5	entire	estranged 203:19 206:1	236:15,19 239:3	147:12 153:15
143:22 159:22,	201:12 203:13		242:15	209:16
23 185:11	246:7	ethic 8:15 39:17	event	ex-wife
238:25	entitled	61:20 231:18	79:19,22 82:6,	205:16
ended	77:19 79:20		21,24,25 83:1	exam
23:4 204:1,10	123:4	ethical 13:2 39:10 74:5,	90:13,20 91:2	53:19
ending	entrusted	13:2 39:10 74:3,	93:10,15,22	examination
85:3	247:8	75:6,12,13,19,	94:6,14,20,22	10:3 14:20
endorse	environment	25 76:13 77:6	95:24 96:15,20	18:16 22:5
92:5		25 /0.15 / /.0	99:3 100:8,15,	27:22 30:17

			1	
36:13 41:5	exclusively	expansive	166:18 175:17	express
42:22 46:6	43:4	76:2	176:14,18	11:22 69:25
50:24 53:14	excuse	expect	177:4,5,8 180:4,	132:5
56:9 62:6 66:15	74:23 89:12	42:6 62:15	7 181:5 182:11	expressed
90:8 99:23	139:24	211:19 236:5	191:22 193:10	38:5,6 146:9
104:9 120:6	excused	expectation	201:3,7,14,17	177:2,3 202:11
121:17 130:22	99:19	49:2	204:6 206:14	231:20,21
137:6 144:21	executive	expectations	208:20 230:21	expressing
150:25 156:4,23	7:4,5,8 62:24	218:21	231:15,17	260:13
161:2,18 175:14	63:1,2,5 69:2	expected	236:17 248:12	extended
183:23 189:9	84:16 85:21	75:1 158:21	experienced	91:7
191:11 200:25	86:11,19 111:25	expecting	79:7 122:24	extensive
216:9 222:2	141:5,9,11	108:4,7	experiences	131:4
224:1 225:8	212:5,8,11	expects	18:24 156:12,14	extent
227:22 229:14	251:21 261:13,	127:13 140:11	180:25 189:14	177:6
238:9 241:15	16,19		208:12 230:7	extra
246:25 247:14	exemplary	experience	241:24 243:13	210:11
250:12	255:12	10:15 11:13,20	explain	
examples	exercise	13:5 19:12,17	31:19 84:4	extreme
19:8	95:10 247:8	23:25 24:14	166:23 167:9	17:5 254:18
exceedingly	exercises	27:1,6 28:22	235:13	extremely
210:14	42:17 185:9	37:3,8,11 38:6,	explained	11:19
excellent		9,13 39:13,16	86:3 205:17	
69:21 97:6,7	exhibit	41:23,25 42:5,8	explaining	F
131:19,21,22	7:24 8:2,5	44:24 45:15,25 53:18 54:22	87:11	
146:3,6 177:1	34:14,17 61:11 63:22,25 64:3	56:17 60:19	explains	face
202:6,7 208:21	74:20 87:24	61:19 66:20	227:7	43:7 44:11,12
231:14	129:5,8,11			82:22 106:1
exceptionally	, ,	69:1,9 70:3,5,6,	explanation 98:24 99:15	118:4
201:24 231:17	142:4,8,11	10,17 71:6,24	110:23 111:19	facing
excess	173:18,21 198:8,11,14	72:6 75:19 76:1 78:16 88:17,21,		95:8
239:5	213:9,12 216:21	22 102:20,21	expletives	fact
exchange	226:8	105:24 110:21	26:9	14:25 17:10
60:2 90:10		130:25 131:6,7	exploration	18:8,20 47:1
98:14	exhibits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	31:21	71:19 81:2
	102:8	132:8 133:12,	exposed	100:20 108:1
exchanges	exists	13,19 134:19	176:10,16	170:11 172:8
29:16	222:12,13	136:19 144:24 145:10,11,17,	180:20	186:3 239:6
excited	expand	18,20 146:4,10,	exposure	247:20 253:3,4
194:2,8	76:2	11,23 147:3,13,	10:10 177:22	254:20 258:14
exclude	expanded	25 149:7,11	178:10 195:9	259:17
111:7 254:21	77:7 175:25	160:5 165:7,10	expound	factor
		100.3 103.7,10	41:24	117:4,6
		1	1	1

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	İ
facts	families	favorable	112:9 113:19	260:1
116:2,7,22	68:12 138:21	167:25 170:23	114:2 116:6,22	figured
117:18	family	220:16	123:3 130:24	169:12
fail	11:2 24:8 25:24	favorably	143:21 144:23	figuring
254:24	26:12 29:22,23	190:7	145:17 164:13,	23:20
fair	47:21 51:12	favorite	14,16 165:5,8,9	file
10:23 27:3	68:12 93:22	210:24	175:16 201:2,6,	113:17 114:15
46:20,21 57:19,	103:18,22 104:5	fear	14 230:20	174:9 194:6
20 74:5 93:21	105:10 128:2	220:17	234:11,13	filed
97:3,11 105:22	138:17 147:1	fearful	248:1,5 250:7	8:19 35:11
110:7 111:17	159:1,3 162:5,9	17:5 113:12	254:12,14	64:19 129:23
113:9 114:1,2	164:1 233:18,22	February	258:15 260:11,	143:8 199:4
115:10 131:19	238:13,21	193:8	15,16	205:14 213:25
137:12,23,24	246:24 251:17	fed	feeling	216:2 222:17
146:2 152:11	252:12 260:4	105:5	78:20 110:25	228:5 235:16
153:3 185:23	261:9	federal	260:5	245:14
190:21 208:17	famous	11:3 37:15,19	feelings	files
215:1 254:7,8,	70:12	38:21 41:9 43:5,	78:19 251:17	194:15 195:22
15	fan	23 44:12,22	feels	fill
Fairfield	164:2,5	49:19,22 51:18	94:12,16 249:4	239:23 244:17
31:4	fantastic	52:21 53:21,24	feet	filled
fairly	27:1 97:5	54:3,10,17 57:3,	148:1 158:19	221:15
31:22 36:19	131:17 190:15	14,25 80:20	fellow	filtering
37:19 68:2	231:18	133:14,20,22	164:8 220:22	85:1
91:11 252:8	fashion	134:1,7 136:19,	felt	filters
fairness	23:23 79:9	20 137:9,12,22	53:1 55:19	84:23
221:18	fast	139:10	103:1 108:25	final
faith	118:6 120:20,23	fee	110:21 114:1	62:8 140:17
69:15	fast-paced	232:23,25	162:16 164:12	172:9 197:7
fallen	177:22	feedback	204:12	211:6 223:7,9
154:14	father	96:21	females	finally
falls	107:15 184:8,20	feeding	249:23	77:12 143:7
219:17	255:4	67:9	field	199:2 202:6
 familiar	father's	feel	125:23	241:9
13:24 29:21	66:24,25	10:14 11:8	fight	find
40:8 71:2,4	fathers	17:20 22:1	152:16	12:20 46:11,14
89:8,11 135:13	159:5	37:11 38:16	fighting	56:24 119:5
142:24 150:4	fault	66:19 70:15	32:7 245:17	167:7 170:18
172:7 173:24	200:6	73:16 74:11	255:21	232:8 255:13
174:1 183:3	favor	79:23 83:2	figure	256:17
207:10 209:13	141:7 216:5	97:17 109:22	23:23 59:11	finding
210:5 237:14	248:13	110:6,22 111:15	145:21 195:19	224:13 236:1
	210.13			227.13 230.1
	l			1

findings	fits	64:11 143:1	form	184:6 219:14
findings 71:19 240:1	109:9	174:2 198:21	92:19 121:9	
	fix	220:25		fourths 132:24
fine	235:7 257:18	focused	formal 33:10 127:19	
15:4 126:1,23 127:4 143:19			211:7 222:11	framework
174:25 224:15	fixed	113:5,6 124:17 132:6	261:5	246:17
245:25	9:1			frankly
	fixes	focusing	forthright 88:7	25:14 152:23
finest 46:11 218:4	189:20	129:16		155:22
	flattering	folks	fortunately	fraternities
fine's	110:18	22:15 61:4	78:21 253:11	121:20 122:2
245:24	flaws	64:22 72:18	fortune	124:20
finish	220:18 242:9,	79:6 98:21	156:6 253:7	fraternity
23:1 52:1	18,19 245:2	112:22 161:23	forum	73:7 121:25
finished	flesh	185:18 186:7	94:3 96:24	122:23
116:25	77:4	199:7 209:24	forward	frenetic
fire	flexibility	210:4 214:3,6	16:5 58:7	58:18
158:19 232:13	140:24	239:15 253:8,10	113:23 119:13	frequently
235:1 254:21	flies	follow	120:17,21,23	31:4 187:18
firm	10:21	27:12 56:15	125:10 151:19	220:14
30:23 31:2 78:6	Flip	74:25 124:1	153:20 217:3	freshman
83:25 133:16	17:6	127:13 140:11	239:11,14,16	73:3,4 84:12
168:13 191:24	floor	186:24 247:21	240:5 257:19	Fridays
202:24 203:1,10	66:1,3 96:16	follow-up	260:2	196:11
204:18 233:1,2,	97:20 130:9	99:15	Foster	friend
4 234:3,23	Florence	foray	200:9,16,18	46:10 60:17
235:15 236:3,8	56:19 180:24	142:15	201:1,6 206:10	72:15 85:8
firmly	flow	forceful	207:23 208:4	97:25 107:18,20
155:23	166:19 184:20	19:2,13	found	181:25 190:1
firsthand	186:7	forces	12:25 13:12	friends
215:12	flowers	220:20	39:21 75:18	21:6 29:23
fiscal	8:22 9:4 32:19,	forever	76:5 80:24	72:22 102:7
68:17	21 33:21 59:14	47:16 184:15	101:4 119:6	107:17 121:2
fit	flush	forget	123:23 135:1	157:15 181:24
168:1	243:19	70:14,15 74:9	149:17 154:12	190:8 244:16,20
fitness	flyer	159:24	165:18 182:16	Frog
13:2 39:10 74:5,	82:25 105:16	forgetting	206:7,15,23	79:2
12,14 75:6,12,	109:15	238:19	233:13,14 237:2	front
13,19,25 88:15	flyers	forgive	243:1 244:9,11	17:5 18:11
134:17 149:8	118:2	32:20,22 142:16	Foundation	19:24 22:12
182:9 206:13	focus	238:19	21:19,20	24:2,17 35:25
221:20 231:22	8:10,11 26:21	forgot	fourth	41:16 52:2
236:16	0.10,11 20.21	85:15	51:20 57:23	116:11 159:21

167:2 168:3	204:18	86:4,10 89:9,14	20 177:5 196:16	141:17 142:5
171:18 187:20	gap	135:14,18	224:21 230:16	144:1,11,13
Frost	138:9	138:16 150:5,9	233:10,12 240:9	147:25 148:19
210:24	garnished	175:5 183:4,8	248:3,21 251:24	152:11,18
frustrated	39:1	207:11,15	260:18	155:18,25
180:11	Garrett	237:15,19	giving	157:15 159:11,
fulfill	53:12,13,15	General's	22:20 65:22	12 160:20
205:11	56:7 97:20,21,	80:6	87:20 139:16	162:14,22
full	25 98:6,9 99:22,	generally	159:23 218:2	164:16 165:8
69:15 196:13	24 103:21	12:14 60:5	glad	166:14 168:19
214:18,22	156:22,24 161:9	generation	128:4 173:3	169:15 170:25
243:22 248:6	261:14	95:3	185:24 200:3	172:5 173:14
full-blown	Garrett's	generically	202:17 223:15	176:19 179:17,
193:14	57:2	16:12	glowing	18 182:1 189:11
fully	gatekeepers	gentleman	109:25 110:2	190:18 196:5,6
116:18 127:23	218:21	199:18	glutton	197:2,4,13
function	gathered	gentlemen	115:18	198:3 199:20
107:10	104:4	217:25	go-getter	204:22 209:11
			12:3 15:20 28:2	212:25 214:21
functions	gathering	George 191:16	goal	215:15,25
92:12 122:1	79:22 80:1,3		12:15,23 18:8	216:11,12
fundamental	81:4,6,21,25	get along	· ·	222:19 225:10
76:17,19	82:1,3,4 87:12,	72:16	gold 99:5	253:7 254:14
funds	21 90:19,21 91:4 92:18,24	Gibbons		255:1
92:8	94:10 103:2	48:16	Goldsmith	goodness
furniture	105:11 109:10,	gifted	48:15	189:4 190:23
204:24	103.11 109.10,	201:23	good	252:1
furthest	12,17 120.19	Giresi	7:10,17 8:23	Google
233:21		212:18,24	9:15,17 10:12	84:19
fuss	gatherings	girl	11:10 19:12	googled
179:13	79:17 92:8,17	249:9	22:3,7 26:17	85:15,17
fussed	109:5,14	girl's	27:24 31:1	gosh
180:21	gave	252:2	33:18,23 34:1	253:8
future	21:10 61:24	give	35:17 36:3,4,5	gotta
79:20 105:4,17	152:13 153:19	29:17 31:20	37:4 52:19 53:1	45:10
156:20	161:5,22 240:9	35:20 41:12	56:11,12 62:5,	government
fuzzy	gears	49:10 58:20	18,20 63:8,17	43:5
18:22	108:8 109:18	59:14,18 69:13	65:6 67:16	grab
	110:8	78:7 80:9,21	72:18 74:2 81:1	58:20
<u> </u>	general	94:22 102:23	85:8,12 88:23	grace
G	13:25 14:4 40:9,	106:11 111:17	107:18 108:10	49:8 181:5
gain	13 42:10 47:22	126:20 132:3	119:2,19 130:4, 13 131:15	77.0 101.3
	69:14 70:11	139:10 158:19,	15 151:15	

ano si ou s	160.10 162.7			honnon
gracious 190:23	160:19 162:7 184:15,22	guess 28:6 29:2 42:1	guys 33:5 138:17	happen 54:5 102:6
	191:14,18	44:1 49:1,11	232:15	112:25 118:25
graduate 158:15	197:14,18	50:11 52:13	232.13	112.23 116.23
	211:9 226:24,25	72:9 91:8 107:2		151:14 160:17
graduated	230:17 231:18	108:8,13 110:4,	H	224:5 256:21
73:1 162:21	240:23 241:21	19 111:2 115:5	hacking	
Graham	257:4	137:13,25	65:24	happened 101:17 107:11
141:16,20,25		145:14 148:13,	hair	
142:3,7,17,21	greatly 12:5 15:22	25 153:2,5	128:3	113:2 154:19 205:7 223:2
143:13,19,24		154:21 155:16		254:2
144:3,13,18,23	green	158:24 159:12	Haley	
145:23 146:2,10	19:7	160:16 162:20	125:18	happening
149:2,14,15	Greenwood	163:24 165:5,9	hand	76:16 107:8
151:2 156:21	98:5	167:20 168:10,	63:12 64:6	120:14 125:4 157:5 256:3
161:20 163:15	Greg	15 171:8 177:13	128:15 129:2	
169:12 172:2,	59:4	181:20 189:25	141:18 173:7	happily
15,18 224:21	grew	193:11 233:20	178:9 197:18	156:7
grandfather's	226:22	236:10 251:11,	198:3 212:16	happy
219:22	Grey	12 252:3	225:21 249:16	72:17 97:7
grandmother	251:15	guests	259:18,19	110:25 127:2
67:12 82:16	grind	64:23	handful	153:18 172:16
grandpa	203:11	guide	11:4	184:11 195:21
168:12,18	ground	82:10	handle	256:10
grandparents	193:3		43:21 44:4	hard
66:23	group	guideline	49:15 85:16	29:14 42:12
grandson	28:23 81:16	57:12	145:13 147:18	56:20 73:23
154:17	91:25 255:18	guidelines	160:9 177:17	114:10 117:6
grant	groups	14:9 40:18	203:6	132:11 138:14
259:24	19:25	89:20 135:23	handled	186:23 208:19
granted	grow	150:14 183:13	11:9 23:3 37:19	210:9 234:22
159:1 251:3,4,6	28:22 67:2	207:20 237:24	121:22 147:17,	239:20 241:1
grateful	180:9	guides	22 154:2 175:23	259:10
176:19 192:20	growing	75:24	178:23 195:18	hardworking
great	67:16 178:24	guilty	203:5	97:12 136:14
21:8 32:23 38:4	184:16	155:16	handling	Harling
39:17 42:17	grown	guy	43:10 57:13	199:11,19
49:13,14 69:21,	181:15	110:15 152:10	195:11	harsh
22 78:18 84:14,	guard	163:13 165:16	hands	76:12
15 86:6 111:16	guaru 118:16	226:24,25 227:1	117:4,8 257:17	hat
116:10 119:24		245:23,24	hang	245:20,21
122:9 146:8,15	Guardian	guy's	31:4	Hatch
156:6 159:15	160:22	111:16		80:8,14,21
	<u> </u>			l

109:6	254:8 259:4	helping	hit	240:15,20
hate	260:13,15	68:8 104:1	152:25 193:3	241:25 246:23
180:14 232:4	hearing	helps	hold	250:5 256:6,13
235:6	46:16 61:1 88:8	163:18	33:5 91:20 92:4	257:22,23
hates	111:23 127:25	Herculean	157:24 158:18	260:14
247:6	140:8 195:24	218:18	159:11 249:15	horrible
haughty	217:6,16 222:14	hermit	holding	232:13
78:14	225:15 261:12	123:2,17	92:13 249:14	horrific
haven't	hearings	hesitated	259:17	76:25
238:17 249:16	69:4 115:17	55:9	holds	host
He'll	147:6,9,22,23	hey	11:11	109:13
24:9	180:22 261:23	16:2 21:7 86:13	hole	hotel
head	hearsay	111:15 187:2	185:6	103:6
109:1 152:25	215:7	he's	home	hour
160:13 254:10	heart	240:15 258:15	128:5 141:2	112:2,6 174:20
headed	43:1 131:15	high	154:3 203:19	211:25
180:24	161:10 241:19	23:10 68:20	205:18 206:1	hours
health	heat	72:25 74:23	211:25 217:5	21:9 115:16
13:4 39:14	59:5 169:23	177:12,15,17,22	219:15	house
82:12 88:19	heavily	193:20 203:10	homecoming	97:22 232:19,22
130:3 134:15	22:13 49:1	210:13 254:17	79:3	housekeeping
138:10,11 149:6	heavy	higher	homicide	13:8 39:18
182:7 206:17	127:17 137:19	59:6	153:11 154:1	134:23 149:14
236:20	140:14 193:24,	highest	honest	182:14 206:21
healthcare	25 194:18	146:7 218:20	101:12 106:3	236:24
203:17	218:25	highly	109:2	Hubbard
hear	heeding	68:20 73:2	honestly	96:10 125:18,23
31:11 73:14	61:16	202:4 210:10	29:4 154:7,9	huge
86:12 100:14	heels	Hill	164:14 168:10	54:8 233:20
121:1 152:3	206:3	180:23	169:22	human
191:8 221:6	held	hire	honesty	48:20 111:5
249:19 252:10	7:5 11:12 63:2	20:9	221:17	117:3,6
258:5,10 260:4	80:25 141:11	hired	honor	humanity
heard	171:24 212:8	12:17,22 111:25	35:24 191:5	201:25
16:19 23:21	249:13 252:24	112:16 113:15	220:3,6 260:8	humbly
56:15,16 100:13	253:1 261:16	181:16 188:14,	honorable	243:22
108:19 109:25	helped	15	131:15 144:17	humor
110:1,17 117:20	52:3,5 98:15,25	hiring	220:3 234:13	74:19
154:16 165:19,	helpful	152:8	hope	hundred
21,22 166:11	21:13 54:7,17	history	120:13 128:5	224:25
167:23 168:19	91:12 95:23	25:6 104:20	132:2 137:17	hundreds
191:20 210:16	96:18		176:2 211:24	

115:16 177:15	198:9,12,15	implement	130:18 142:6	influenced
	213:10,13	186:8	144:16 175:9	24:21
hung	215.10,13		200:20 216:24	
110:15 209:15		importance	222:9 229:19	Influencing
hurry	identified	48:18 67:14		72:22
144:1	189:19 235:11	80:8	includes	information
hurt	ignore	important	35:3 64:12 69:1	21:13 24:25
28:11 112:23	243:16,18	16:20 27:9 45:6	129:17 170:24	126:16 170:20
251:16	254:20 258:13	47:21 48:8	198:22 213:18	175:21 191:23
hurts	ignoring	56:14 72:23	including	205:23,24
227:12 249:20	186:3	103:24 155:15	13:24 40:8 89:8	215:14,19
husband	ill-tempered	156:25 164:18	126:7 135:13	225:17 226:3
25:23 155:3	132:9	165:22 218:12	143:2 150:4	243:21 244:3
	imagine	240:18 251:13,	183:3 207:10	246:17 253:21
I	86:24 107:25	19 252:11 253:4	237:14	informed
	169:21	impossible	income	106:19
I's	imagined	244:22	21:17	inherent
21:22	219:19	impressed	incorporated	242:9,18,19
I20	immediately	155:20 161:20	14:15 40:24	initial
180:24	85:17 102:3,4	impression	90:1 136:4	9:9 143:17
IBM	205:19 206:7	26:23 196:6	150:20 183:19	226:4
162:22 164:1,3	immigration	improper	208:1 238:6	initially
iconic	20:2	118:17 119:16	incorrect	190:17
255:10	impact	121:5	187:24	initiative
ID	51:9 67:21	impropriety	increase	38:9
20:8	104:23 112:20	75:3,4 98:18	115:20	injured
idea	254:1	100:1 101:22	incredibly	68:6
23:20 24:10	impactful	127:16 140:13	16:19	injury
25:19 113:8	60:5	172:12 261:3	independent	11:6
197:2 203:2		improve	249:10	innocent
	impacts	53:23	index	154:20
ideal 146:5	37:2	improvement	224:20	innuendos
	impartial	149:12 166:24	indication	227:10
ideas	69:16 74:6 77:6	inappropriate	108:10	
28:25 95:6	97:3 208:18	79:16		input
113:7	impartially		indictments	242:10
IDENTIFICATI	74:16	incentive	154:11	inquired
ON	impassioned	160:18	individual	192:15
7:25 8:3,6	255:3	include	114:10	inquisition
34:15,18 63:23	impatient	8:12 60:15	individuals	253:19
64:1,4 87:25	77:23	126:9,16 127:2	57:18	inside
129:6,9,12	imperfections	included	inescapable	258:6
142:9,12	60:22	9:23 36:8 66:10	242:12	insisted
173:19,22		81:22 100:10		

		1	ſ	
60:21	interacting	intuition	involving	149:14 182:14
instance	248:10	94:16	77:1 223:11	185:19 192:1
101:23 110:14	interaction	invasion	IOLTA	204:14 206:21
223:2	113:1,4	154:4	21:18	214:25 215:17
instances	interactions	inverse	Iraq	216:1 217:4
50:5 155:21	112:17 115:19	94:12	68:19	236:24 248:1
institutions	interest	investigate	ire	251:20
220:20	8:18 35:8 52:12	64:11 213:17	115:19	item
instruction	54:12 64:18	investigated	irritable	215:2,3 217:1
80:7	90:12 129:23	203:2	179:8,12 180:10	items
insult	143:8 174:8	investigating	181:11,19	216:25
250:3	199:3 213:24	154:24	188:1,5 189:21,	it's
integrity	interested	investigation	22	198:17 201:16,
218:22 221:18	51:1 53:20	14:14 40:23	isn't	17 210:19,23
247:2	interesting	62:7 89:25	253:19 256:3	211:2 212:3
intellect	51:4	136:3 150:19	issue	215:21 230:13,
176:25	interpret	183:18 198:20	39:2,3 43:25	17 232:5 233:1,
intelligence	123:11	207:25 238:5	45:19 56:23,25	2 234:23 235:8
27:5 146:4	interrupt	invite	70:11 78:12	239:20,25
218:16	93:5 106:23	8:20 67:12	85:16 86:3,8	241:1,5,17
intelligent	244:19	243:17,23	90:11 99:17	246:8,13,14
190:21 202:7	interrupting	invited	104:13 113:22	247:24 248:25
210:9	186:18	19:23 20:24	115:22 119:3	249:3,10,12
	interruption	102:25	121:4 126:3	251:13,15,18,
intended	163:1	involved	137:9 154:21	21,25 253:13,25
80:18 98:21	intervene	12:16 18:18	164:20 165:1	254:10 255:24
intense	14:5 40:14	19:1,12 25:22	166:7 216:19	256:3,11 258:9
122:21	135:19 150:10	26:1,2 36:20	217:1 221:1,7	260:8
intent	183:9 207:16	37:14 73:2	236:7 241:20	I'd
13:15,19 39:25	237:20	78:19 95:3	250:14,16 257:5	205:24 210:22
40:3 88:24 89:3	interview	102:12 103:24	issued	214:5 215:22
124:1 135:4,8	31:10 79:12	111:4 114:12	62:9 223:10	221:22 223:15
149:20,24	167:11	162:8 203:4	issues	I'll
182:19,23 207:1,5 226:5	intricacies	220:14 230:14	11:4 13:8 17:17	234:21 238:14
237:6,9	114:11	235:19 250:20,	38:22 39:18	240:9 253:17
· '		24 257:8	68:12 71:9,14	I'm
intentionally 111:15	introduce	involvement	73:23 82:13,18,	199:14 209:8,
	8:21 64:23 143:12 174:14	67:15 228:2,8	19,20 94:3 95:1	13,22 214:10
intentions	199:8 214:5	involvement's	100:17,23	215:22 221:9,19
102:16 112:24		228:3	114:6,7 130:3	224:12,22
intently	introduced 128:24 173:16	involves	134:2,23	227:5,8 230:2,4
99:14		174:3 213:17	138:10,11,21	234:4 239:23,24
	198:1 213:4	171.5 215.17		
		1	1	

240:4 241:8	204:1 205:6	join	120:18 122:14	judge's
245:4,12 246:10	Jason	161:4	123:18 124:13	184:17
247:22 248:2,6	83:18 85:7	joining	128:11,13	judged
249:9,14 250:3,	Jay	173:1	131:2,17,21,22	231:25
15 252:1,21	56:24	Jonathan	132:16 136:22	judgements
255:4 256:9,24	JDC	199:11,19	138:20,25	11:6
257:4,8 258:7	101:4	Jordan	141:15,16,25	judges
259:3,21,22	Jesus	56:8,10 58:6	142:3,7,17,20,	18:10 23:21
I've	187:4	120:4,5,7	21,22 143:13,	42:10 45:22
201:14,17 209:9	JMSC	121:14 137:2,3,	14,19,24 144:3,	46:25 48:5,10,
210:6,7 220:2	64:9 87:4	7 141:5	11,13,23 145:1,	14 52:2,7 81:19
221:11 224:8,10	142:15 185:22	Journal	19,23 146:6,10	91:16 108:18
226:16 227:6	186:2 218:7,24	60:12	147:8 148:16,19	121:2,20,24
229:10 230:1,7,	249:2 258:25	joy	149:2,4,14	122:12 123:7,8,
24 231:1,3,6		208:15	152:9 156:21	16,18 124:2
232:11,22,25	job		157:1,17,18	131:5 133:15
233:15,16	23:23 41:10	Jr	160:8 161:4,20	139:9 145:9
239:22 240:12,	48:19 49:24	214:14,20	163:15 164:15,	147:7 159:16
20 245:9,10,11,	81:1 108:10 112:18 114:5	judge	16,22 165:3,8,	168:1 176:12
13,19,22,24		10:6,7,8,17	18,22,23 166:9,	180:20 190:3,
246:5,10 247:19	134:10 159:11 161:5 162:22	11:14 16:17	14 168:1,3	13,16,19 201:13
250:4 251:2,6,7	163:25 176:20	17:10 18:2,6,9	169:12,15	218:6,7 240:6
253:7,22,24	179:18 180:1,18	22:11,18 23:16,	171:1,20 172:2,	257:12
258:11 259:1	188:8,9,10,11	25 25:11,14	15,16,18 173:6,	judgeship
	191:14 192:3,17	27:2 29:19 30:3	12,17 174:13,	52:18 53:16
$oxed{J}$	203:25 204:1	32:16 36:16	16,25 175:3,10,	97:14 185:24,25
	208:15 233:17,	37:4,9,13,18	16,19 176:21	189:16
Jack	24 234:2,3	42:11 44:23	177:2 178:19	judging
161:7 170:3	246:9	45:4,6 47:10	179:5,19 182:4,	176:18
210:5		48:15,16 49:12	5,13 184:16	judicial
Jackie	jobs	50:2,7 58:13,14,	189:11 190:5,8,	11:23 13:5,10,
65:22 66:1	41:18 138:17	15,19,22 59:1,	24 191:4,7	11.25 13.3,10,
jail	159:11 171:6	15 66:19,21	196:22 197:12	42:7 51:23 75:2,
154:25	192:16 246:5	68:10 70:20,25	201:5,16,19	23 76:15,24
Jake	Jocelyn	71:4,7 74:6	208:21 210:8	77:9 79:14,15
235:15 236:2	85:21	75:1,14 77:14	217:9 222:14	81:19 83:10
Jakie	Jodie	79:15 80:5	229:25 230:6,22	85:7 87:2 88:17
173:2 174:15	250:24	85:24 91:3,10,	234:7,8,9,10,12,	90:16 91:3,19
199:24 261:10	John	18 92:4 97:6,7	14 239:20	92:4 100:25
James	86:23	100:1 101:1,3,4 106:8 107:23,24	240:10,17,18,20	123:6,16 127:8
83:17	Johnny	116:10 118:19,	241:24 245:22	134:19,25 135:1
janitor	65:2	20 119:15,24	248:12 255:12	149:10,12,15,16
jamiui 		40 117.13,4 4	258:24 259:24	177.10,12,13,10
	1	1		1

	l .		1	1
160:6 166:24	jurors	217:13,24	228:6	
177:12 182:11,	250:18	219:7,11,13	kindly	L
15,16 187:10	jury	220:23 221:8,	105:12	1.1. 2.41
194:3 200:1,2	178:22 193:7,16	11,13 224:3	kindred	labyrinth
202:5 206:14,	194:4,6	225:2,4,7,16,20	256:1	68:10
22,23 217:10	justice	226:13,16	kinds	lack
219:21 234:20	25:10 38:3	227:3,25	98:19 99:8	38:6 41:23
236:1,18,25	41:19 52:10	Kennedys	155:22	132:7 133:12
237:1 241:19	67:23 68:18	261:10	knack	146:20,22
242:16 243:5,7	119:21,22	Kennedy's	52:12	166:17 215:8
judiciaries	151:12 152:19	226:25 250:15	knee	231:21 234:17
218:4	210:10,12 220:6	Kerri	196:2	lacked
judiciary	240:22	8:22 21:22	knees	74:4
11:21 101:3	Justin	kid	61:13	lacking
103:19 118:24	63:7,14 66:11	184:17	knew	149:11 219:4
119:17,21 132:4	69:22 83:20	kidding	21:5 85:12	lacks
140:6 190:18,21	97:5 107:18	33:5	194:14 209:17	220:8,11,21
218:23 220:19	110:15	kids	Knotts	ladies
judiciously		47:19	173:2,4 174:15	217:25
50:12	K	killed	199:24 261:10	laid
juggle		233:10		32:6
48:3	K-A-R-E-Y	kind	knowing 112:10 132:11	land
Julius	8:25	11:7,8 18:5 19:8	160:4 239:21	67:5
220:3	K-E-R-R-I	20:5 25:1,2,8		language
July	8:24	29:24 37:16,21	knowledge	92:17
176:6 192:5,7	Карра	44:21 48:4,13	115:3 131:23	laptops
jump	82:8 102:18	105:9,10 110:7	208:22,23	87:22
59:9 79:2	103:14 109:13	111:5 115:2,11	215:2,3,6,8,9,13	larceny
jumped	Keesley	116:25 117:15	219:3,9,16	177:25
230:23	172:19 173:6,9,	118:16 122:4	221:1 239:17	
	12,17 174:13,	138:5 154:21	knowledgeable	largely 49:13
jumps 239:18	16,25 175:3,10,	155:18 157:6,9	11:19 97:4	
June	16 176:21 177:3	166:5 167:16	Kolb	larger
83:22 176:6	182:6,13 183:25	172:3 193:2,12	152:6,13	194:22
	190:5 191:7	194:12 195:25	Korea	lasting
Junior	196:22 197:12	196:2 201:24	68:18	43:12
20:19,21	240:10,17	253:6 254:10	kudos	late
juries	255:12	kinda	188:23	100:15
10:24	Kennedy	22:9 29:2 32:2	Kylie	laughed
jurisdiction	214:12,14,20	45:12 47:24	173:13	258:13
178:3,4,13,24	215:3,12,19	48:12 52:4,12	Kyliene	laughing
jurist	216:9,11,13,14	55:4 56:17	173:9,12	258:15
146:3	, , - ,	JJ. T JU.1/		

	I	1		
law	laws	194:5 209:11	138:22 162:15	182:24 207:6
10:8,21,23	8:15 35:10	220:16	210:22 211:3	237:10 259:22
18:25 19:23	62:12 64:15	lawyer's	240:16 259:10,	legislature
20:25 21:1,11	75:1 127:15	259:19	16 260:5	20:18 122:19
22:11,18 23:14	143:4 174:6	lead	leaves	lends
31:2 33:13	197:10 198:25	81:24 143:17	194:25	96:24 98:23
36:17,18,20,21,	211:10 213:21	leader	leaving	lengthy
22 38:3,7 41:17	261:1	69:23 91:20	100:18 194:13,	222:5 252:17
43:4 44:10,15,	lawsuit	112:1	14,25	lesson
19 45:17 51:11,	235:12,14,16	leaders	led	103:16 186:25
14,23 55:5	lawyer	67:12	192:8	let alone
59:13 67:19	15:23 18:21	Leadership	Lee	163:4
68:12,13,17,18	19:4 20:9 29:22	18:19	156:12 161:5,25	lets
71:20 72:14	32:17 38:2	leading	170:4 173:12	168:6,8
73:1,13 76:10,	51:17 59:16	194:13	left	letter
17 77:8 80:21	68:3,7 77:25	leads	49:13 95:19	13:15,19 33:12
82:19 90:15,23	78:3 134:8	92:13	100:16 104:5	39:24 40:3 59:4
92:21 94:18	136:14 138:12,	League	124:14 125:1	60:12 62:11
97:4 100:23	14 139:1,4,9	20:19,21 101:1	146:24 163:25	88:1,24 89:3
107:14 117:18	146:7 152:5	106:8	195:15 242:1	96:3,9 102:8
124:1 129:20	161:8 182:2	lean	249:16	125:17 126:7
138:12,13,18	196:4 210:9,11	70:9,12	legal	127:14 135:4,8
139:8 140:12	215:11 231:18	learn	10:14 11:11	140:11 149:20,
145:6 148:1,17, 18,20,21 152:3,	233:22 240:19	15:24,25 18:11	21:21 28:16	24 170:7
5 153:7 154:22	245:13,17	30:11 46:15	36:24 37:1,11	182:19,23
160:22 163:7	259:22	48:14 51:3	66:20 68:10,11,	197:10 205:22
164:21,23 165:3	lawyer's	82:14 147:11,12	16 76:22 80:6	207:1,5 211:9,
166:12 172:10,	179:22	148:20 164:20	83:25 87:16,18	12 237:5,9
12 178:7,9	lawyer/judge	187:2 188:25	118:3 130:24	245:15 250:16
182:1 185:14	184:20	196:14 240:21	131:4,6 141:6	260:25
187:7 188:7	lawyers	257:7,8	144:23 175:16	letters
189:14 194:24	15:16 18:20	learned	201:2 204:14	18:24 19:3
195:12 201:11,	21:12 29:23	16:2 48:17	230:20 231:15	32:14 46:8
25 208:20 209:4	43:24 44:11,24	70:13 112:24	248:11,23	133:4,7 156:9
218:14 219:14	45:12 47:2,14,	115:15 228:15	legislation	161:5,23 169:17
220:17 221:15	22 48:6 74:1,17	247:19 256:14	89:11	171:8 172:2
230:5 233:1,2	85:12 98:17	learning	legislative	184:22 191:15
235:15 236:3	133:2 137:18	112:15 146:3	81:5 92:23,25	240:2
244:25 247:1	138:2,16,20	185:20 193:10	93:1	letting
250:6,19 258:12	139:11 151:24	leave	legislator	45:12 49:5
260:11	152:12 176:11	65:13,14 102:3,	13:20 40:4 89:4	98:12 112:23
	180:6,20 181:1, 4,9,10 188:10	4 116:14 124:9	135:9 149:25	168:23
	7,7,10 100.10			
	I	1	I	I .

Let's	139:1 155:6	listening	191:1 193:24	178:1,6 181:24
214:11	158:12 171:16,	238:22	195:25 198:17	185:8 193:3,12,
level	22 190:19	Litem	235:17 242:11	13 194:17
43:21 71:16	204:17 232:1,2,	160:22	246:22 258:22	196:25 202:17
73:12 80:11	9,18 246:14	litigants	longer	209:11 224:11
86:18 114:8	lifetime	44:11 68:2	77:25 195:8	234:24 238:17
116:8 120:14	25:2	74:17	203:21 228:16	247:19 251:14
190:4 233:19	light	litigation	looked	255:23 260:11
levels	105:3 145:9	176:15,17 180:8	15:12 25:16	lots
122:25	167:25 185:17	185:8 193:11	38:12 118:2	26:8
Leventis	186:2 220:16	194:15,18 195:5	192:13 244:10	loud
161:5,25 170:4	lightly	231:16	loosely	175:3,4
Leventis'	151:20 218:11	litigator	80:15	love
156:12	Likewise	239:19	lorded	103:11 194:6
levity	71:20	live	232:17	230:5 240:10
168:21	limit	111:11 179:12	lose	249:21
Lexington	219:8	206:4	102:13 169:11	lovely
98:7,8,10 99:21	limitations	lived	loser	64:25 65:5
142:22 146:7	13:25 40:9 89:8	105:24 154:20	111:10	103:22
147:7 155:1	135:14 150:5	lives	lost	low
157:2,5 160:11	183:4 207:11	47:6 65:2 138:5	153:20 159:9	19:25 20:2
170:16 178:5,15	237:15	159:7,24 179:22	233:9 247:5	Lucy
196:5 202:5,9	limited	218:9 234:24	lot	251:15
liability	253:21	living	12:3 15:24	Luke
191:25 194:20	limits	103:25 205:25	19:23,24 20:6,	100:16 107:19
libel	191:24	206:2	15 23:2 25:7,23	lunch
236:9	Lindi	Lizard's	26:11 30:9 37:4	128:10
license	226:1 257:3	162:2	44:19 45:8	Lunches
159:9,10	linear	local	47:17 48:1,2,9	67:10
lied	78:7 81:23	239:9	51:13 66:22	
154:19	lines	locations	94:12 103:5	M
lien	102:17 108:6	206:2	111:20 113:15	
205:14,19,21	123:23 221:11	locked	120:8 122:12	Macey
206:8	230:19	202:24	124:19 125:5	214:11 249:7
life	listed	logical	137:21 145:5,	252:16 257:3
15:23 24:9	39:16 151:6	27:3 78:8 81:23	17,19 147:2,11,	machine
47:13 55:1,12,	listen	lone	24 148:9 154:8	117:2
23,25 56:3 57:6	98:13 258:19,25	29:3	161:7 162:18	made
60:7 67:18 69:8	259:6	long	165:19 170:15	7:9 27:25 62:24
72:10 78:1	listened	46:13 60:17	175:20,21	63:6 67:20
103:13 111:18	99:14 134:2	112:2 159:24	176:11,12	68:19 70:15
113:12 138:17	154:12 240:17	161:13 188:4	177:19,24	79:18 85:18,20
		101.15 100.1		
	•		•	•

	1	1	1	1
87:22 104:2	109:21 112:9,	Mama's	matches	may've
109:18 110:6,22	21,22 113:24	25:25	138:23	189:18
114:17 132:20	115:1 116:9,13,	mamas	mate	Maya
151:4 153:11	24 119:24	26:2	189:6	70:12 74:8
162:23,24	125:6,7 126:4	man	material	78:18 109:19
165:25 166:1,	130:5,7 131:22	38:2 79:4	32:16 78:6	mayor
10,16 170:17,20	138:1 139:20	131:15,19	materials	233:19
171:16,23	143:15 144:2	204:6,16	8:14 35:4 38:11	ma'am
177:10,11 211:1	148:19 153:15	manage	64:14 83:6	225:11 226:7
216:18 219:15	165:6,24	43:15	93:15 126:8	227:17 237:4,8,
233:16 240:13	169:10,15	managing	129:18 143:3	13,17,22 238:1
241:4 254:1	174:19,23	233:5	174:4 175:20	250:10
255:3 259:25	177:13 196:5	mandatory	177:23 179:20	Mccaslin
magistrate	198:5 200:7	76:9	184:3 196:24	147:8
176:4,5 178:20	201:10 203:24	manner	197:3 198:23	Mciver
192:12,14 196:4	204:13 208:20,	38:22 69:10	213:20	7:3 18:14,15,17
201:9	23 210:18	78:8 248:9	math	247:12,13,15
magistrate's	211:25 215:23	manual	163:8	Mcleod
11:4 187:19	217:22 225:15	21:17	mathematics	147:8 157:17
mailbox	230:7,13 232:18	marathon	163:4	222:14
206:3	243:18 245:2	9:13	matter	Mcmahan
main	246:1,21 247:7	March	26:5,13 72:23	7:11,13,18,19,
11:22 226:23	251:2,7 252:5	82:8	79:12 84:1	23 8:9,22,24
maintain	254:12,14		86:21,24 168:25	9:3,11,16,24
215:10	256:25 258:20	margin	217:4 223:9,11,	10:5,14 11:15
maintaining	260:12	100:18 243:10	21 224:4	12:25 13:10
12:9 68:4	makes	marked	227:20,25	18:18,21 19:18
major	38:3 73:15	7:24 8:2,5	matters	26:25 30:16
163:8	131:9 176:19 231:8 241:4	34:14,17 63:22, 25 64:3 87:24	19:24 20:3	33:4,17,18,20
majored	245:23	129:5,8,11	37:15,19 38:12	meaningful
52:9		142:3,8,11	48:10 68:16	90:14
majority	making	173:18,21	134:1 177:18,22	means
70:23 77:12	30:12 45:23 55:21 72:19,22	173.18,21	214:24 216:15,	19:11,15 117:14
make	101:9 111:17	213:9,12 216:21	17 221:17,25	249:6
9:1,7 24:6 30:25	112:8 116:1,5	226:8	225:18 226:3,12	meant
31:9 33:3 35:18,	118:21 139:2	marquee	Maulden	28:1 29:2 59:16
21 53:5 57:16	152:10 176:3	60:13	170:5	measured
65:17 67:18	185:17 186:2	married	max	53:8 60:3
71:11,19,21	205:11 218:8	65:1 99:7	59:17	mediate
74:11 88:9	245:18 246:9	232:11	maximum	190:14
90:24 91:7 92:7,	Mama		245:25 250:16	mediating
9 96:2 97:6	25:18,19	match		190:12
	23.10,17	71:15		170.12
	[1	<u> </u>	1

mediation	68:13 69:25	96:3 106:6	Midland	107:20 126:3
23:3 166:20	80:9 82:17	118:23 157:6	134:12	160:7
175:25 176:15	83:12 87:17,19	170:3,4 177:6,	Midlands	minimize
179:8 180:7,9	88:25 89:9,14	24 188:6 196:10	12:24 39:8	254:24
181:15,16	90:4 94:7 95:2,	243:24	88:13 149:3	minimum
195:4,5	5,8,25 96:8	mentor	182:5 206:10,18	75:1 76:9
mediator	97:19 99:9	30:1 240:12	209:11 236:13	Minister
177:1 180:8	102:7 122:19	250:5	midnight	67:23
188:15 191:15	124:20 135:5,	mentoring	134:7	minor
Medic	14,17 136:8	220:5	mighty	25:7
154:13,15	137:1 138:16	mercy	50:8	minority
Medical	149:21 150:5,8,	25:10	mike	186:9
154:16 178:5	23 169:9 182:20	merit	199:13	minute
medium	183:4,7 207:2,	85:7 87:2	mile	100:5 163:13
133:16	11,14 208:5	186:20,22 200:2	210:11	175:4 184:14
meet	216:6 217:15	met	military	minutes
103:5 133:1	218:8,17 219:18	61:1 86:9 112:7	68:17 69:24	180:23
174:16 188:21	225:14 237:6,	113:16 122:14	80:12	missed
209:25 210:2	15,19 243:10	162:1 163:3	million	96:4 106:16
214:9	membership	183:25 186:6	68:22	mistake
meeting	186:7	method	mind	148:14
81:6 92:24	memos	218:5	49:8 61:21 69:7	mistakes
94:24 112:1	113:17	metric	70:16 78:15	171:16
162:7 170:21	men	234:6,7 244:7	82:21 90:25	misunderstandi
meetings	154:20 164:9	246:11,13	94:9 99:18	
157:21	219:23	metrics	100:17 105:8	ngs 227:9
meets	mental	231:24 244:6	109:11,16	Mobley
9:24 36:10	13:4 39:15	Meyers	111:16 112:14	197:16,17,21
66:11 130:19	88:20 134:15	163:8	116:7 124:23	197:10,17,21
144:18 175:10	149:6 182:8	mic	224:7 226:5	14,16,19,21
200:21 229:20	206:18 236:20	9:15 175:1	234:6	200:10,15,17,21
member	mentality	176:8	minded	201:2,20,23
82:7,8 91:10	73:21	Micah	131:19	205:13 206:9,
93:16,18 99:4	mentally	30:8 259:7,9	mindful	11,15,21 207:1
102:18 121:20	162:19	microphone	182:2	208:8,18 209:5,
124:18 125:13	mention	65:25 106:25	minds	8,23 210:2,21
172:25 244:24	51:16 71:18	mid	105:8	211:11,18,21,23
members	72:3 109:14	16:1 203:23	mindset	212:3
13:16,25 14:3	166:22	middle	101:5	model
31:13 39:25	mentioned	45:13 164:6	mine	160:14 167:24
40:9,13 41:2	22:21,23 43:18	203:14	17:8 46:10	molar
64:24 65:20	51:4,10,19 83:4		72:15 85:8	203:12
	1	1	T.	1

mom	188:2,3,4	multi	nature	nervous
21:22	261:21	68:21	48:20 95:15	31:24 52:2
mom's	mornings	multi-	111:5 235:13	61:10
21:22 25:22	58:17	68:21	nauseam	nervousness
moment	mother	multi-billion	23:18	61:12
26:18 79:6	25:16 100:11	68:21,22	navigate	nested
momentous	mother's	multi-litigant	68:9	81:22
218:10	66:23 67:4	68:20	nays	neutral
moments	192:11	multiple	141:8	250:18
47:13 76:13	mothers	43:8 247:25	necessarily	Newman
moms	26:8,12	municipal	20:7 32:24	10:9 18:9 23:16,
26:3	motion	142:21 165:18	45:19 95:17	25 25:11,14
Monday	7:2 62:24 141:4	192:16 201:9,18	104:13 105:21	29:19 30:3,5
58:16	147:6,22,23	murder	108:3 111:14	149:4 152:4
money	193:13,24 212:4	23:9 157:9	121:21 123:4	168:4
21:18 162:24	215:16,23	162:17 230:9,10	161:24 242:24	Newman's
169:6 203:5,9,	222:15 228:4	murders	254:19	22:11,18 152:9
15 204:21,24	250:16 256:15	72:2	necessity	newspaper
206:8	259:25 261:14	mute	105:1	8:15 35:5 64:16
monitoring	motions	86:17	neck	129:20 143:5
76:7,21 114:7	147:9 165:24		209:16	174:6 198:25
monopoly	166:1,10 251:2	N	needed	213:22 242:7
164:3	motivation		30:11 152:3	nice
months	115:11	nail	225:23 250:25	64:22 97:11
147:5 192:4	move	152:25	needless	157:22 161:24
204:25 230:4	16:5 18:7 43:22	named	220:15	165:16 168:12
moon	45:7 53:24	163:13 235:12	Neeses	209:25 210:2
110:15	54:18 59:8	names	67:11	212:25 214:9
Moore	177:11,18	240:9	negative	241:17
235:16	203:22 240:5	naming	26:21 30:10	night
 moral	moved	190:5	179:15 188:25	178:21,25
74:20	43:24 44:5,6	narrow	189:1,2,3	203:11
morality	54:13 137:20	121:4,7,11	238:22	nn
76:4,7,8	203:18	nasal	negatives	159:25
Morgan	moving	65:23	96:24	nobody's
214:7	42:10 43:12	nation	neglect	134:9
morning	44:23 206:4	43:1 218:5	189:4	nominate
7:10,11 33:23	260:2	national	neighbor	192:19
36:4,5 56:11,12	mud	68:17 157:15	104:1	nominated
62:21 63:8 96:5	99:12	natural	Nelson	131:18
178:25 180:21	Mullins	97:14 111:5	83:17	non-jury
	83:17			48:13
I				

non-political	noticed	204:13 248:1	offers	one's
124:22	21:4 24:15	250:8	234:2	24:7
non-profit	26:24 156:25	obligations	office	one-pager
83:7	158:24	139:14	47:7,18 48:2	102:9
nonetheless	notion	observation	72:1 73:18	ongoing
128:4 156:18	42:9	158:8 167:4	81:18 91:19,20	43:12 189:17
243:15	notorious	observe	92:2,4,6,13 97:1	online
nonpolitical	23:17	187:6,16 188:12	131:7 136:11,12	147:5 235:22
122:3	November	210:8	139:7 146:25	236:9
north	83:16 205:20	obvious	161:6 162:16	open
240:8	novice	146:19 258:9	170:9 174:17	9:10 62:1,10
notably	109:4	occasion	177:13,14,17	65:19 95:6
156:11	nuance	62:12 104:22	178:2,4 181:2	113:22 126:18
note	114:12	occurred	187:8 195:16,18	127:19 140:17
9:21 12:24	number	121:5	203:8 204:3,21,	146:2 147:11
14:12 36:6 39:7	16:23 22:12	October	23 205:1,2	172:8 192:24
40:21 64:21	36:25 216:19	145:3	209:20 220:12	202:23 204:3,21
66:8 88:12 99:8	217:1,4 221:1,7	offense	222:24 231:2	211:16 249:13,
127:18 130:15	232:20,24 239:7	15:5 258:16	234:1 249:23	14,15 252:25
134:12 136:1	253:25	offenses	257:11,12 258:4	253:1
140:16 144:14	numbers	25:7	officer	opening
149:2 166:16,22	70:18	offer	69:3,4 155:7	9:8 35:18,21
175:7 182:4,12	Nurse	11:24 19:18	offices	61:25 65:17
183:16 188:20	65:21,25	37:7 38:7 70:7	178:7 234:3	130:6,12 143:15
200:18 206:10		71:23 75:9	official	145:16 174:20
207:23 214:3	0	77:16 109:3	73:7	200:7 217:21
229:17 236:13		132:19 146:11	oftentimes	operate
238:3	oath	174:23 179:9	96:23 98:19	26:12 30:13
noted	60:1 74:1,2	200:12 202:13	ogre	103:14
15:14,18 38:18	155:7 198:5	210:17 231:23	232:16 233:6	operated
39:15 70:4 72:6	215:22	234:3,19 243:21	234:9 235:3	151:12
74:4 88:20	objecting	256:24	243:25	operation
151:6 236:21	168:24	offered	oil	44:16
241:9	objection	14:25 67:8	134:7	opinion
notes	8:9 34:21 126:6	73:17 126:12	older	75:12 81:3,5
31:15	142:5	offering	28:20 95:5	83:25 85:13
notice	objections	50:20 53:16	ole	86:20 87:18,20
130:1 172:24	129:3	56:13 119:23	168:19	90:11 92:23
200:1,3 205:19,	objectively	127:7 137:4	Olivia	96:4,8 100:24,
21 206:2,6,7	74:18	140:6 156:19	21:18	25 106:7,18
242:13	obligation	208:9 239:8	omitted	113:2 114:5
	27:12 60:22	241:18 253:11	197:1	115:23 123:5,

21,22,24,25	199:5 213:25	181:11 188:2	paper	partiality
124:5 256:22	216:7	overpowered	52:6	75:4
opinions	option	235:4	paralegal	participants
51:23 81:2 88:8	239:3	override	171:9 199:16,	74:18
101:7 106:17	Orangeburg	133:3	22,23	participate
118:3 122:13	67:6	overturned	parent	82:9 238:24
123:16,19	orator	148:7,10,12	32:24	239:4
opportunities	201:24	overwhelming	parents	participated
111:13	order	239:7	29:18 53:3	54:24 57:8
opportunity	24:24 203:24	overwhelmingly	66:23,24,25	75:11 241:11
9:7 19:18 20:1	204:18 215:22	184:24 202:10	67:4 226:23	participating
35:18,21 53:2	223:9 243:10	ow	parishioner	70:18 123:9
55:8 61:24	orders	55:8	184:19	participation
65:16 67:2	69:13 71:19		Park	55:21
78:23 114:22	organization	P	82:17	parties
115:5 116:18	81:14,15,23		parking	12:7 14:3 40:12
127:11 130:5,7,	82:1 83:7 91:20,	p.m.	103:5	69:14 89:13
11 132:4 133:1	25 92:5,7,10,14	261:24	parole	92:11 135:17
139:10 140:8	93:17,20	pages	36:23 55:2,13,	150:8 176:11
143:15 157:12,	organizations	7:25 8:3,6	23,25 56:3 57:7	180:4,14 207:14
13 159:23	95:5	34:16,18 63:24	parrot	237:18
174:19 199:7	organize	64:1,4 88:1	167:22	partisan
200:5 210:7,18 228:24 243:22	44:22	129:7,9,13	part	80:16 81:10
246:21 248:21	original	142:10,12	17:11 37:2	90:16,17 93:7
252:6 256:24	99:15 225:24	173:20,22	60:15 82:9	partisanship
	originals	198:10,12,15	83:19 87:23	80:11
oppose 92:6	225:22	213:11,13	114:9 123:1,17	partition
opposed	outcome	216:23 226:10	139:1 140:7	235:18
44:22 73:23	13:21 40:5 89:5	paid	157:14,23	partner
117:19 137:21	113:25 135:10	151:2 202:20,21	158:12,15	152:4 233:5
141:8 193:13	150:1 182:25	203:18 242:7	159:14 179:21	235:15
opposing	207:7 237:11	pain	185:14 189:17	partners
68:5 131:20	outdated	246:1	201:18 203:3,9	233:3,7 234:22
opposite	42:9	painful	215:8 216:19	246:3 249:21
132:25 133:6,8	outlier	251:25	227:25 250:4	partnership
226:19 259:18,	167:21 248:20	paints	253:14,22 256:11 258:3	67:6
19	outstanding	171:13	259:1 261:3	parts
opposition	97:4 136:13	pamphlets		23:22 28:11
8:19 35:12	outwork	105:12	part-time 31:3	party
64:19 129:24	134:9	panel		16:18 80:14
143:9 156:13	overly	52:2 118:7	parte 84:14 85:3 86:8	81:16 82:6
			04.14 03.3 00.8	91:25 92:20

	<u> </u>		1	1
180:3	51:16 63:18,24	67:2 70:13	258:5,8,10,21	177:9 178:16
pass	66:10 128:23	72:16,19,22	259:3,6,14	188:2,4 201:24
48:16 54:21	129:7 130:17	73:11,25 74:8	260:4,10,11,19	210:13 231:17
passed	142:1,10 144:15	77:24 79:4	people's	232:13 233:7
53:19 84:9,16	173:15,20 175:8	82:13 93:23	25:8 151:21	235:2,19 242:19
passenger	197:24 198:10	94:11,15 95:18	people's	244:11 256:17
155:3	200:20 205:14	105:20 109:22,	234:24	personal
passion	213:2,11 229:18	24 110:14	perceive	11:5 34:7 63:18
18:25	235:11	111:7,13 112:9	109:22 110:6	85:14,16 138:5
passionate	peace	113:6 114:22	perceived	167:4 215:2,3,9
208:19	23:10	115:1,17 118:9	73:24 187:10	219:3,9,16
past	peculiarities	120:11 121:23	189:21	personality
10:19 24:12	223:16	122:7 124:19	percent	78:1 112:5
28:22 32:6	peers	132:17 140:1	70:19 75:14,15	personally
46:25 57:14	28:23 29:21	146:21 148:20	94:25 95:16	47:17 186:15
74:21 103:12	184:24 202:4	156:10 157:10,	100:2,3,4,6,12,	187:6 233:11
105:2 114:21	pen	12,21,24 158:1,	14,18,19	personnel
path	113:14 152:18	8 159:4,8	101:15,23 117:8	166:5 187:8
151:10	153:17	160:12,15	159:18 243:2	persons
patience	penalties	161:8,13,14	percentage	41:16 183:7
58:14 179:20	57:19	162:4 165:12,	16:24 239:6	person's
185:3 186:9	penalty	17,19,22,23	perception	259:18
	54:24 55:22,24	168:6,25	79:7 95:23	perspective
pattern 132:6	148:8	169:19,21	115:7 187:23	37:17,21 77:2
	pending	170:23 171:14	189:24 190:18	90:20 107:12
Paula	13:21 40:5 89:5	177:10 178:11	196:6 232:6	188:10
172:20	135:10 150:1	179:15 180:10	255:8	pertaining
paved	182:25 194:22	181:2,15,22 184:9 186:24	perfect	216:17
67:7	207:7 235:12,14		146:14	petty
pay	237:11	187:1 188:16	perfectly	177:25
21:18 92:8	pension	191:15 192:14, 23 202:3 215:14	27:6 188:20	Pfizer
169:4 204:12,25	162:15		perform	72:21
payment	people	218:10,19 230:10 232:7,	74:15	
39:4	12:8 15:24 16:4,	·		phases
PCR	16,25 17:3,9	17,21 233:3,18	period	158:13,14
21:16	18:7 20:3,10	234:4,12 235:2, 4,8 238:21	158:8	philosophy
PCRS	21:12 27:4	4,8 238:21 239:21 240:25	persistent	23:19 151:8
48:11	29:10,16 30:11	242:20,21	189:17	152:20
PDQ	37:2 41:11	242:20,21 243:1,2,4 245:7,	person	phone
7:20 8:1 9:22	46:11,17 48:1,	8 246:4 247:8,	24:2,10,16,25	225:23 233:16
34:16 36:7	15,23 49:4,9	24 249:15	29:17 60:3 74:2	246:9
38:19,25 43:3	52:8 55:12 57:9	254:4,11,13	76:10 106:7	photo
	22.0 33.12 31.7	237. 1 ,11,13	152:15 155:18	219:22

photos	planned	pm	political	posed
158:6,7	118:22 195:25	141:11 212:8	79:16,17,22	47:9 99:17
physical	play	261:16	80:1,2,3,13,15,	190:10
13:4 39:14	138:23 180:2	pocket	16,17 81:4,6,10,	position
88:19 134:15	played	203:15	14,15,16,18,21,	10:1 12:14,19,
149:6 182:7	138:21	poem	22,24,25 82:1,5,	20 19:5 36:11
206:17 236:20	playground	210:24	6 83:4,8 87:12,	37:18 39:16
physically	67:6,7	poet	21 90:16,19,21	66:13 78:7,14
162:18	,	70:12 78:18	91:4,20,24,25	80:19 84:19
pick	plea 55:14,15 56:2	110:20 254:12	92:2,5,7,8,10,	90:22 91:1,13,
147:3 148:4	72:4 132:19	point	11,13,15,16,18,	15 104:3,24
picked	160:11	19:16 23:13	19,20,24 94:10,	116:16 117:1
169:17	pleadings	29:1 31:11 32:9	13,15,16,17	118:1 119:7
picking	29:8	61:2,15 78:8	99:3 102:10,12	130:20 144:19
136:16		81:3 90:18	103:2 109:4,5,	146:5 175:12
picture	pleas 44:20 71:22	102:15 116:7	10,12,13,14,17	179:25 180:1
100:10 163:24	147:18 201:9	120:15 145:22	118:4,9,21	192:14,18
		146:13,19,20,23	120:19 121:7	198:18 200:23
pictures	pleased 221:22	148:5 157:7	122:6,8,15,18	227:7 229:22
158:1 171:13,14	·	158:9 162:14	123:6 124:7,13	233:20 239:10
pieces	pleaser	165:7,9 167:8	125:1 233:20,21	244:24
255:2	73:25	184:23 186:5	politician	positions
pile	pleasure	200:14 203:24	72:7 73:15	15:5 132:17
247:23	211:2 212:3	204:15 206:6	polled	192:24 232:18
pinnacle	pled	228:1,15 230:2	170:19	positive
55:4 260:10	11:1	242:7,13 243:17	polls	11:18 26:19
PK	pledge	245:3 250:20	105:13	27:11 30:9 38:1
184:17	13:20 40:4 89:4	255:24 256:19	poor	67:21 69:20
place	135:9 149:25	pointedly	180:15 188:17	96:23 97:2
21:25 103:6	182:24 207:6	46:25	220:14	131:14 133:3
125:8 146:19	237:10	pole	popped	146:1 165:12
158:11 222:25	pledging	144:6,9	10:22 108:25	176:24 184:25
228:9 256:2	14:9 40:18	Polemarch	popular	201:23 202:10
places	74:25 89:20	73:7	82:12	208:14 231:14
32:18 160:9	135:23 150:14 183:13 207:20	police	portion	239:7 243:13
178:4 253:6	237:24	154:19	33:2 62:6 94:25	256:8
plain		policy	127:9 172:6	possession
92:17	plenty 111:3 179:3	113:22	197:5 260:23	178:1
plaintiff	255:1	polite	261:12	possibility
169:1 235:12		16:6 18:5	pose	55:1,23 56:3
plaintiff's	plight 41:15 205:10	politic	190:1	118:5,8 255:7
68:6 133:17	41.13 203.10	233:19		possibly
		I	l	I

11.50	1 240 22 250 5	1.000		
116:8	248:23 250:6	163:20	prevailing	privileged
post	260:11	prepared	238:23	125:19
36:1 65:23	practices	33:4 34:7 50:14	prevented	pro
82:15	193:13	78:5 134:6	39:17	12:8 16:15
potential	practicing	176:25 193:16	previous	204:11 205:4
104:18 132:19	10:21 44:10,18	194:1	8:17 35:6 38:11	proactive
202:8 254:17	45:17 51:13	preparing	64:17 129:21	38:15
potentially	133:25 152:5	188:9	174:7 176:1	probable
15:4 55:16	182:1 195:8	presence	189:19 199:2	151:17
118:7,15 121:1,	230:5 258:12	200:3	209:15 213:23	probate
6 140:14	practitioners	present	previously	11:3 147:1
power	71:1	64:20 83:5	57:24 244:6	231:6
41:12 42:17	praise	98:22 214:2	primarily	probation
113:14 152:18	193:20 247:3	222:7 246:17	36:18 44:2	36:23
PR	praised	247:4	71:22 133:23	problem
228:16	179:7	presentation	primary	73:19 118:11
practical	prayer	80:21 95:1	68:16	119:4,25 189:24
120:16 208:22	103:10 122:22	255:4	prime	245:10 249:24
practice	pre-trial	presented	156:14	259:2
10:2 21:1 36:12,	54:4	24:18 95:19	principal	problematic
17 37:5 38:10	preach	97:1 243:16	81:16 82:2,3	189:21 242:5
43:3,8 44:15	151:23	presents	92:1	procedure
48:7 55:5 56:22	preacher's	53:9	principles	68:25 71:13
59:13 66:14	184:17	president	74:23	Procedures
76:19 97:11	preaches	73:4,6,8 131:16	prior	68:24
130:21 131:7	184:18	presiding	13:21 40:5 89:5	proceed
133:14 136:18	precious	69:4	107:7 135:10	7:9 63:7 130:10
137:22 138:18,	218:2 249:11	pressed	143:6 150:1	212:13 229:12
19 139:6,7,8	predisposed	259:14	182:25 202:12	261:21
144:20 145:5	15:11	pressure	207:7 217:16	process
146:25 147:1	preface	153:14	225:15 237:11	14:6 24:21
160:21 164:14	46:8	pretend	prioritizing	31:10,13,18
175:13,25	prefer	109:3	43:14	34:23 38:19
176:7,10,15,17	194:3	pretty	private	40:15 42:4 45:7
180:8,10,19	preferred	21:4 31:22	43:7 97:10	61:3 77:8 101:9
181:15 194:12,	160:14	36:19 49:1 50:6	131:7 133:16	106:20 107:5
18 195:4,5,13		58:17 80:25	146:25 164:13	111:4 123:10,12
199:12 200:24	premises 194:20	88:5 125:14	184:21	127:9 129:15
201:11,13,25		136:15 175:4	privilege	135:20 137:11
209:4 219:14	premiums	184:11 194:12,	48:23 97:9	139:19 142:25
220:16 221:15	203:17	13 210:13 230:1	126:2,16 219:13	150:11 160:10
229:23 242:1	prepare	232:24		168:23 172:7
	1	I	I	I

			1	1
173:25 183:10	programs	170:14 171:21	54:12 58:1	purpose
185:18,22 186:2	160:9	prosecutorial	66:18 69:14	67:18 81:17
207:17 213:16	progress	151:8	70:24 71:3,10,	82:2,3 92:1
233:17 237:21	22:19 45:23	prosecutors	15 73:17 80:23,	98:23 169:18
252:1 253:13,14	prohibited	155:25 208:17	24 84:10,18,21	217:6 231:4
255:17 256:12	83:8 102:11	protect	85:6 92:6	purposes
260:6,7	123:8,9	236:3,10 247:1	103:13,19	7:25 8:3,6
proclivities	prohibition	protected	106:13 115:15,	34:15,18 63:23
220:18	81:19	67:25	17,20 116:12	64:1,4 87:25
produce	prohibits	protective	118:19 131:25	91:7 129:6,9,12
169:2,3	79:17	190:18	160:21 170:6,7,	142:9,12
profession	promoting	proud	9,12 184:20	173:19,22
22:20 36:24	81:11 92:14	22:19 27:16	190:20 224:20	198:9,12,15
37:1 131:16,25	93:3 119:20,21	97:12 103:7,8	230:15 231:1	213:10,13
181:24 231:16	pronounce	169:16 209:3	234:1 240:24	216:22 226:9
professional	144:5		257:11	pursuant
10:15 11:18		prove 187:22	publicly	127:12 140:9
12:6 13:3 37:11	proof 181:21		92:5,6	pursue
39:11 66:20		proven	published	67:19
68:5 75:22	proper	69:22	226:6	push
76:14 77:10	223:6	provide	pugnacious	95:17 113:8,10
78:22 85:10	properly	90:18 127:1	189:20	pushed
88:15 130:25	139:3	170:20 202:17	pull	154:15,18
134:17 144:24	proponent	205:23,25	51:24 106:25	pushing
149:8 175:17	86:19 240:23	provided	175:1 181:3	81:12 92:15
182:9 201:3	prosecuted	68:11 105:12	224:19	put
206:13 230:20	68:14 152:2	106:2 125:18	pulling	34:20 55:12
236:16 259:1	231:1	205:20 225:12	95:13 256:17	64:7 73:16 98:1,
professionalism	prosecuting	providing	punch	2 105:3 117:4,8
12:5	25:15 114:14	67:2 81:2	249:3	118:1 122:1
professionally	157:9 230:10	PSC	punishment	129:3 141:23
111:8 125:9	prosecution	68:22 69:1	115:18	154:9 170:8
233:12	20:24 43:20	79:13 83:15,21,	PURC	191:4 198:4
professionals	113:17	22,24 84:3	80:25 81:5	209:13,21 211:8
76:22	prosecutor	85:17,21 103:12	86:22 92:22	232:12,15 236:2
professor	41:8 42:17	108:10,16	100:24 106:18	239:24 244:14
107:15	67:23 71:25	Psi	108:21	245:20 251:23
professors	76:1,3,23 78:10	82:8 102:18	purchase	Putnam
187:13	113:13,15,16	103:15 109:13	92:10	64:6 129:2
	132:13,25	psychological		198:4 213:6
program	151:11,17,18,22	112:4	purple 99:5	putting
67:9 94:1,2 159:15	152:17,21 153:4	public	77.3	17:13 58:7
139.13	155:18,19	10:12 47:23		17.13 30.7

147:7 235:22	222:15	105:7 116:15	224:22	22:3 26:15
	question	120:9 124:3	rabbit	27:21 30:18
Q	15:7,18 17:18	125:15 126:18	185:6	32:14,22 33:7,
	25:13 29:6 44:3	130:10 136:5	race	18,21,23 34:1,7,
qualification	46:24 47:9	137:1,8 139:22	192:22 242:22	11,20,25 35:17
243:12	52:15 61:21	143:17,20	244:11 254:2	36:3 41:2 42:20
qualifications	67:17 87:11	144:10 150:21,	racially	46:4 50:22
13:2 33:11	90:25 91:6 93:6	22 174:1 183:21	250:18	52:24 53:12
39:14 62:8	94:9,14,18	184:7 187:9	raise	56:8 58:10
75:13 88:19	101:21 106:20	189:7 191:14	59:8 63:12	59:23 60:2,11
127:20 134:14	116:18 120:16	196:19 200:8,11	128:15 141:17	61:8,14,18 62:5,
140:18 148:15	124:9 145:10	208:3,5 209:25	169:4 173:7	18,20,24 63:4,9,
149:5 172:9	148:14 169:8	214:22 216:8	197:18 212:16	11,17 64:6 65:4,
182:7 197:7	185:11 186:5,	221:23 223:24	248:1 250:8	8,12,15 66:2
206:17 211:8	18,23 188:17	225:3 227:5,11,	raised	128:9,13,15,22
217:7 236:20	189:25 190:9	18 229:11,12	14:13 40:22	129:2,14 130:4,
261:5	191:3 192:1	238:8 259:10	75:7 89:25	13 141:15,17,23
qualified	194:8 202:14	261:23	136:2 146:9	142:1,5,14,19,
10:16 13:1	222:4,19 223:6	quick	150:18 165:2	24 143:14,23
37:12 38:16	227:8 239:20	30:19 61:22	183:17 207:24	144:1,4,7,9
39:9,13 66:21	241:6,23 242:12	152:3 163:1	214:25 238:4	150:22 156:2,22
69:7 70:19,21	248:2	181:19 194:15		160:25 161:17,
75:15,16 88:14,	questioned	195:21 222:4	raking 100:18	19 169:8 172:1,
18 131:1	77:13 228:17	quick-		5,16,19,22
134:14,17,22	questioning	180:10	rally	173:5,7,14,24
136:22 144:25	14:15 23:17	quickly	105:19	174:14,18
149:4,7 175:18	40:24 90:2	54:13 59:8	Ralph	175:1,6 183:22,
176:20 182:6,8,	96:20 136:4	155:20 177:19	214:14,20	24 189:6 190:2
13 188:23 201:4	150:20 174:23	quit	ran	191:9 196:19
206:12,16,20	183:19 208:1	181:25	125:17 161:25	197:4,13,16,18,
217:9 230:8,13,	222:8 238:6,20	quote	162:5 167:1	24 198:3,17
21 231:8	questionnaire	70:12 74:8	170:18 190:16	199:13,15,17,20
233:13,14	34:8	83:19 105:13	range	200:1,12,16
236:15,18 239:5	questions	109:19 110:4,20	21:3 82:12	208:4 209:7,24
244:9,12	9:10 14:17 24:1,	112:14 134:21	230:24	210:3 211:3,12,
qualify	3,10,16 27:18	149:11 228:16	ranges	19,22,24 212:4,
241:24	29:5 33:1,15	quoted	57:12	10,15,22,25
qualities	35:20 41:1,2,22	254:12	ranging	213:2,6,16
187:3 220:11	45:11 51:1	23 1.12	68:12,20	214:5,9,18,21
quarters	59:23 61:23		Rankin	215:5,15,25
238:18	62:13 65:19	R	7:2,7,17,20 8:8,	216:5,7,24
quash	90:3,5,7 96:1,14	R-A-W-L-S	23 9:2,5,15,17	219:7,12 220:23
1	99:16 104:12,25		14:18 18:14	221:9,12 222:3
				•

223:24 225:5	245:1	reasonable	54:8 137:20	200:18,20
227:20 229:11	reading	111:1 113:3,7	138:20 139:15	207:24 209:13,
238:10 241:13	52:6 61:1 91:15	151:18,20	242:9,17 254:24	21 211:5,6,16
247:12 250:11	92:18,21	reasoned	255:6	212:9,10,11,14,
251:10 253:16	100:23,24 106:4	69:11	recognized	15,22 213:4
256:23 258:1	250:15	reasoning	44:8 139:16	214:18,23
260:22 261:8,18	ready	27:3	recognizing	216:16,19 217:5
rates	7:21 34:11,13	reasons	115:6	223:8 225:25
115:20	61:6 63:19	37:8 54:15,16	recollection	226:6 229:17,19
rationale	94:20 100:16	55:18 180:16	57:17 58:12	238:3 250:17
114:16	128:24 173:16	181:6 220:10	recommendatio	257:1 261:4,17,
rattlesnake	197:25 213:3	rebuild	n	19
119:10,12,14,16	227:8 252:21	159:8	46:9 156:10	recount
120:1	real	recall	169:17	155:22
rattlesnake's	10:10 12:13	32:19 61:24	recommendatio	recreated
119:11	17:17 30:19	238:12	ns	21:9
Rawls	44:12 118:6	receive	170:16	Recreation
224:21	125:25 194:15	49:10 84:22	reconcile	67:7
re-	231:6 232:5	135:9 141:5	242:22	red
35:1	254:14	202:19	record	99:6
reached	realities	received	7:2,6,7,18,22	redact
86:22 140:7	43:7	11:15 13:20	8:9,25 9:21,23	126:20,22
219:4 255:24	reality	37:24 40:4	14:12 33:9,22,	225:17 226:2
reaches	115:7,9 232:5,6	69:18 83:13	24 34:12,21	redacted
17:4	243:16 255:8	85:19 89:4	36:6,8 40:22	141:13 216:25
reaching	realize	96:22 131:11	60:16 62:8,9	redacting
42:13 260:10	24:1 28:12 62:7	145:24 149:25	63:3,4,5,20	127:4
read	138:25	176:21 182:24	64:7,10 65:4	reduction
22:14 46:8	realized	201:20 207:6	66:2,8,10 87:23	114:8
51:21,23 72:21	29:10 180:23	231:11 237:10	88:12 118:1	Reece
91:16,21 92:12	realm	receiving	126:9 127:3,18	214:8
101:7 105:16	175:23 176:2	18:1 210:12	128:8,25	refer
106:19 167:22	reason	recent	130:16,18 136:2	17:2 67:10
175:21 177:23	30:14 77:20	31:18	140:16 141:12,	reference
187:13,14	78:13 81:24	recently	14,23 142:6	32:15 60:12
191:22 215:20	101:21 104:12	177:23 228:5	144:14,16	74:14 85:22
220:8 221:2	114:16 152:13	reciting	150:18 155:8,9	87:2 109:19
223:18,20 227:6	158:4 190:13	243:23	169:11 170:12	161:23 184:22
248:6,19 249:6	192:10 228:13,	recognition	172:8,20,21,22	referenced
250:21 252:9	21 229:5 250:18	16:24 137:18	173:16 175:7,9	74:13 85:6
readily	252:4	recognize	183:17 197:6,7,	references
12:1 224:15		38:13 47:13	14,15 198:1	133:5
	1		1	1

referencing	relationship	remind	reporter	39:12 74:24
100:13 107:25	68:5 78:23	127:10,11 140:9	118:18	88:16 119:17
referred	179:23 208:16	238:14 260:24	reporting	134:18 149:9
208:24	relationships	reminding	86:16,18	182:10 206:14
referring	72:20,23	219:23	represent	209:3 210:14
65:7 87:4,7	relative	remove	12:18,22 69:10	220:13 231:22
reflect	90:10	126:1,13	232:22	233:23,25
256:7	relaxed	removed	representation	236:8,10,17
refrain	31:23 32:5	217:5 227:3	15:2 106:3	242:16 243:3,7
79:16 186:25	release	renders	172:3 204:18	245:6 246:8
refute	33:10 127:19	10:15 37:12	215:7	reputations
252:18,22	140:17 197:7	66:20 130:25	representations	156:11
regard	211:7 261:5	144:24 175:17	170:2	request
222:17	Relief	201:3 230:21	representative	216:18
	68:14	renting	26:15,16 41:3,4,	requests
registered 120:23	relitigate	203:20	6 42:20,21,23	178:11
	217:11	rep	43:17 52:25	require
registering 120:10 122:7	rely	72:21	54:14 56:8,10	76:21 137:10
	22:13 87:13	repair	58:6,10,11 60:1	required
registration	245:6	159:8	120:4,5,7	80:22 106:13
94:4 95:13 120:10 121:5	remain	repeat	121:14 137:2,3,	127:10 210:20
	74:11 127:19	168:7 199:17	7 141:4,7 156:2	220:11
regret	140:16 172:8	repeated	161:4,21 168:16	requirement
47:18	211:16	109:19	189:6 196:9	76:11
regrettable	remaining		208:6,7,11	requirements
256:12	200:4	repeatedly 191:20	209:6 223:5,25	9:25 36:11
regular	remains		224:2 225:1	66:12 75:3
25:21 229:12	62:9	repeating 90:25	241:13,14,16	130:20 144:19
regulated	remarks		253:18 258:1,2	175:11 200:22
80:25	169:11 196:21,	replace	representatives	219:2 229:21
regulation	23 256:25	255:10	164:11 242:8	requires
68:23 80:23	remember	report	represented	19:15 82:9
rehash	18:23 56:24	33:11 62:8	228:18 230:11	218:19
90:20	57:13,21 104:21	86:21 134:12	231:3,4	requiring
rejoin	138:14 153:9	140:18 172:9	representing	76:7
99:19	191:13 238:11	206:15	222:8	requisite
related	245:21 254:11	reported	represents	71:6 131:23
80:11	remembering	12:25 38:25 39:8 86:23	202:3	rescues
relates	32:23 180:22	88:13 134:13,16	Republican	20:16
36:19	remembers	149:3 182:5	81:7 93:2	research
relating	17:9	206:11 223:1	reputation	22:14
51:2	11.7	236:14 239:25	13:4 19:9 27:10	
		230.17 237.23		
	I	I	I .	I.

researching	respectful	102:2	review	Rise
87:20	12:7,10 19:4	responsive	8:13 75:10	157:16
reservations	101:18	90:24	217:7	risk
219:6	respectfully	rest	reviewed	102:14
residence	113:10 216:18	74:10 148:11	14:8 40:17	road
10:1 36:12	219:8 220:23	197:14	89:19 112:5	121:9 211:24
66:13 130:21	respects	restate	135:22 150:13	roads
144:20 175:12	248:13 256:2	91:5,14 258:9	153:12 183:12	210:25
200:23 229:22	respond	restriction	207:19 217:15	robberies
resident	16:3 29:11 72:7	91:2	219:1 225:15	72:2
147:6	74:6 132:10,11	restrictions	237:23	robe
residing	133:8,11 248:21	89:22 102:9	revoke	221:14 234:11
205:18	259:15	restrictive	76:20	robe-itis
resolution	responded	84:10	revoking	17:2
147:20 185:19	170:22 243:11	result	76:5	Robert
resolve	respondents	55:19	Richard	210:24
39:3 55:17	72:6 239:7	results	202:12,16	Robinson's
94:18,19	242:25 243:2	113:5 217:13	203:3,8 205:3	249:21
resolved	responding	resume	209:18	robitis
38:23 39:6	248:3	44:10 112:6,11	Richland	234:9,15
43:25 45:1,5	response	retained	202:5	Rock
195:14	11:24 38:7	223:3	rides	180:23
resolving	62:16 70:6	retire	119:23	rocks
189:23	77:16 139:12	162:13 194:24	Ridge	247:24
resonates	146:12 152:9,	retired	234:10 245:19,	role
47:20	12,14,23 155:14	190:13,14	22	34:25 129:14
resounding	177:5 179:9	retirement	rightfully	152:20 177:12
254:10	202:13 225:13,	184:10 203:16	85:2	187:10 194:3
respect	19 226:4,10		rightly	198:20
43:2 90:19 91:1	227:6 231:23	retort 259:11	244:1	roles
93:15 96:21	234:19 243:17	retreated	rights	180:3
100:20 165:17	250:9	103:13	67:25 68:1	Ronnie
171:4,19 189:14	responses		151:21	163:14
200:10 224:3	18:13 69:18	reunifying 159:5	rigid	room
241:21 242:2,	78:17 98:15		45:9	27:19 95:25
14,15 243:3,4	99:1,16 242:14	Revenue	rigorous	97:18 100:18
244:19 245:4	243:8	205:15	246:25	118:19,23
248:14	responsibilities	revere	ring	119:6,7,12
respected	67:22 244:25	256:18	239:19	219:22 238:22
16:17 131:24	responsibility	reverence	ripe	245:8,10 255:11
202:4 219:20	27:14 75:22	220:2	203:23	rooms
	76:14 77:11			

206:5	168:23 184:10	18:12 27:13	scared	213:21
root	185:22,25	46:4,5,7 50:20	113:19	searching
115:24	209:22 234:23	54:14 104:7,8,	schedule	256:21
rooted	246:10	10 117:22	139:17 178:20	seasoned
114:21	running	162:10 191:9,	scheduled	76:22
roots	12:13 85:24	10,12 212:5	193:8	seat
97:12	139:3 180:16	229:10 253:17	school	49:12 184:10
rough	181:6 190:22	sage	24:9 36:21,23	189:6 198:19
93:24 158:9	193:3 205:1,2	125:14	51:12,23 72:14,	214:11 217:10
roughly	Rutherford	salesman	25 73:1,13 80:6	225:5
52:20 192:4	41:3,4,6 43:17	204:3	107:15 138:12,	Seawright
round	52:25 58:11	Salley	13 162:1 163:7	107:21,22
143:17	59:24 60:1	78:25	schools	seconded
rounded	141:7 168:16	Saluda	73:9	7:3 62:25 141:6
231:19 236:21	223:5 258:1,2	224:15 235:12	scintilla	212:6 261:14
241:11		236:7	209:16	secondhand
row	S	sanctions	scope	215:6
97:23		158:19	185:5	secret
rude	S.C.	Sara	Scout	105:18
93:6	207:20	170:5	232:10	section
rule	Saab	sat	screened	13:24 14:10
13:12 39:21	98:1,3,8,10	10:8 46:23	163:10 182:12	40:8,19 89:21
43:13 71:11	161:9	233:15	184:1 210:3	92:12 135:13,24
100:22 135:1	Sabb	satisfaction	screening	150:4,15 183:3,
149:17 166:12	22:3,4,6 26:14	205:21	8:11 13:22 14:1	14 207:10,21
182:16 206:23	50:22,23,25	satisfied	40:6,10 89:6,10	237:14,25
215:11 237:2	58:11 60:4	90:10 98:25	127:9,17	Sections
rules	150:23,24 151:1	99:17 111:6	135:11,15	91:17
13:13 39:22	163:13,14 212:6	116:19 205:19	140:15 143:6	secured
68:24,25 71:12	215:18 227:21, 23 251:10,11	206:8	150:2,6 160:10	88:3
75:21 76:14	253:3,14	satisfy	172:7 183:1,5	security
77:10,18 91:11	Sabb's	105:8	197:5 207:8,12	68:17
108:16 133:21,	253:18	satisfying	213:23 237:12,	seek
22,24 135:2		184:23	16 260:23	88:22
147:12 149:18	safe 123:1	Saturday	screenings	seeking
182:17 206:24		96:5 100:8	8:17 35:6 64:17	87:16 104:24
237:3 260:24	safely 141:2	savings	129:22 174:7	210:10 220:12
rulings		203:16 204:17	176:1 199:2	seeks
201:10	safety	sawing	search	38:3
run	103:14,16	116:11	8:15 64:15	selected
41:9 52:16 97:8	Safran 7.2 14.19 10 21	SC	129:20 143:5	54:21 233:4
139:7,20 155:8	7:3 14:18,19,21	40:18	174:6 198:25	

selection	sends	217:9 230:5	231:19	Shawn's
85:7 87:2 200:2	84:24	served	sets	146:3 165:16
218:17	senior	68:3 69:6 83:21	256:15	Shealy
self-report	30:24 31:3,6	131:5,16 164:12	setting	214:14,20
124:11	97:25 98:3	172:25 219:24	78:22 121:8	shed
self-serving	141:6	serves	settlement	220:15
156:16	sense	69:2 83:20,23	228:4	sheer
selfie	74:19 197:9	132:2	Setzler	204:22
100:10	253:19	service	192:18	sheets
sell	sensitive	10:12 42:25	sexist	134:3
21:15	17:10 76:25	46:2 66:18 67:3	249:14	Sheila
Sellers	122:11	68:13 69:9,23	shake	249:20
107:14	sentence	70:24 71:3,10,	111:17 113:9	she'll
semantics	24:24 55:16	15 80:9,11,13,	114:1,3 152:11	198:4
227:10	76:11 160:13	24 82:11 84:10	shaking	She's
semester	249:5	85:6 103:19	249:16 257:17	249:22
181:17 185:15	sentences	106:13 115:16	259:18	shining
Senator	23:18,21,23	116:12 118:20	shallower	167:24
22:3,4 50:22,23,	57:10	127:6,8 132:1	45:25	shopping
25 53:12,13,15	sentencing	140:4,6 156:18,	shame	196:12
56:7 57:2 58:11	24:22 25:13,20	20 160:21	107:23	short
60:4 97:19,21,	55:12 114:7	164:15 178:14	shape	48:15 51:10
25 98:1,3,4,6,8,	separate	218:12 230:15	92:19	58:13,14,15,19,
9,10 99:21,22,	203:7 206:2	241:18 246:16	share	22 111:9 185:7
24 100:8,21	separated	Services	26:18 29:7	Short's
102:5 103:21	205:17	21:21	86:20 96:16,25	59:15
150:23,24 151:1	separately	serving	97:15 99:13	shortcomings
156:22,24	70:2	67:23 73:14	100:7,11 121:3	189:18
160:4,25 161:1,	separating	76:22 108:9	153:2,6 154:25	shortly
9 163:13,15	38:20	137:5 142:19	162:17 251:18	212:7
173:2 174:15	separation	164:8,11,14	252:11 253:17	shot
190:2 192:17	203:14	176:5 253:7	shared	255:14
199:24 212:6	September	session	60:21 99:2	shoulder
251:10,11	79:18 205:16	7:4,5,8 62:24	sharing	15:21 28:2,18
253:17 261:10,	servant's	63:1,2,5 141:5,	125:21 215:19	shouldn't
14	131:15	10,11 212:6,8,	Sharon	
senators	serve	11 251:22	130:13	253:1 257:16
97:24 164:11	56:14 66:17	261:14,16,20	Shawn	show
242:8	70:20 71:6	sessions	141:20,25	27:8 33:4 72:11
send	75:13,15 80:13	42:10	144:17 154:6	78:4,10 100:11
100:10 126:12	131:1 132:4	set	156:6	103:4 112:19 116:8 155:12,14
189:5	137:4 164:7	75:20 78:15		110.0 133:12,14

				1
161:10 169:25	242:3 243:10	128:14 136:25	76:25 104:14	soaring
193:17 204:8	signify	137:5 140:21,	111:3 115:1,8	105:13
showing	141:7	22,25 145:23	121:22 253:24	soccer
123:12,25	signing	156:20 172:5	sixty-six	138:21,23
shown	196:12	173:2,6 200:16	224:25	social
105:11 161:11	signs	209:6 210:1	sized	83:7
shows	83:4 101:23	212:16 213:1,5,	133:16	society
26:13 138:15	similar	7,15 214:4	skill	22:21 67:19,20
148:17 220:10	72:14 82:16,20	217:23 223:14	27:5 231:19	soil
221:16	88:5 101:11	224:7 225:1,5	skilled	95:14
sibling	122:23 155:22	228:13,25	210:11	soldiers
32:25	simple	229:2,7,9	skills	68:11,14,15
siblings	178:1	244:19 245:5	22:14 210:8	80:22
26:13	simply	247:11 251:5	skillset	sole
side	67:24 243:23	253:14 260:22	152:8	78:12
12:9 15:4 16:21	sincere	261:7	slander	solicit
17:6 36:20 37:6	109:2	Sis	236:9	92:8
38:10,13,14		9:2		
44:2 48:20	sincerely 104:24	sister	slight 115:13	soliciting 90:11
51:18 54:23		8:22 32:18		
58:1 67:24	sincerity	192:11 203:23	small	solicitor
81:11 87:14,15	61:9	206:5 249:22	16:24 43:18	19:3 24:19
121:11 136:16	single	sit	73:10 85:10	30:22,24 31:3
137:10,12	165:25 178:2	109:3 157:19	133:16 157:23	41:14 44:21
169:20 186:10	251:20 259:25	177:20 254:4,22	194:17 226:21	49:16 58:16
235:20 244:18	sip	sitting	230:25 233:1 234:23 255:22	67:21 97:10
251:24 257:15	61:10	17:3 18:8 23:25		145:3,4 146:6 148:2 151:4
sides	sipping	121:19 122:12	smaller	
37:17 45:5	61:10	123:18 124:13	47:25	152:6,12,14
122:20 163:19,	sir	132:16 190:11,	smart	153:7,24 156:15
23 230:12	14:23 34:10,24	16 229:3	22:15 136:15	157:7,8,13
sideways	45:18 46:19	245:18,21	145:8 146:2	162:24,25 163:8 164:12 259:23
118:6,8	50:13 61:10	situation	164:19 176:25	
Sigma	63:10,21 64:24	20:9 39:5 54:8	179:2 208:19	solicitor's 72:1 146:24
93:18	65:14 90:23	55:13,24 56:5	smartest	
	93:18 98:9	107:7 117:14,19	134:8	161:6 162:15
sign	104:19 105:6	132:14 133:10	smile	solicitor's
42:3	106:4,5 107:1	163:19 202:18	208:14	234:2 257:12
signed	108:21 109:2	205:8 209:14	smoke	somebody's
38:10 42:1	114:24 115:15	223:17 260:16	254:21	118:21 188:3
significant	117:13,23 120:2	situations	smoothly	somebody's
51:17 57:10,19	121:13 126:25	12:17 57:7	139:3,20	257:15
210:7 221:19	127:23,24			

someone's	sounds	259:21	spoken	149:6 182:8
25:22 120:22	193:1 195:24	specific	218:23	206:18 236:21
124:15	234:5	23:8 39:3 177:9		Stacey
someplace	source	221:7 227:12	sponsored 79:19	64:25
98:12	75:24	242:7 252:16,17		staff
something's	South	specifically	spoon 105:5 254:6	14:14 40:23
119:8	13:12 14:9	24:15 54:23		83:16 84:4
	18:18 20:18	123:7 179:19	spot 256:18	89:25 136:3
son 100:9,12 103:23	39:21 41:7	190:25 202:11		138:3 150:19
100.9,12 103.23	67:11 73:9,10	217:17 234:19	Spradley	170:24 183:18
222:7 226:25	78:25 79:2 81:7,	242:5,15	212:13,18,24	207:25 238:5
227:1	8 83:21,22	specificity	213:1,5,15	257:4
	89:20 92:25	252:20	214:4,7,8 217:8	staffing
song 168:20	107:16 131:17	specifics	219:3,5,17 220:8,9 221:21,	157:21
	135:1,23 149:17	221:4	24 222:9 225:8,	
sooner	150:14 156:19		10,12 226:2,11	stage 18:21
32:16	182:16 183:13	speech 118:21	227:14,24	stance
sophomore	205:14 206:24		229:16,20,24	16:4 30:13
73:6	218:20 219:14	speeches	230:20 231:10,	73:23
sororities	230:17 237:2,24	92:7	11 234:16	
121:21 122:2	space	speed	235:10,11	stand
124:20	121:3 123:1	143:25 155:6	236:12,14,24,25	94:20 219:21
sorority	speak	speeding	237:5 238:11	233:24 246:2
79:19 121:25	7:14 19:24	155:2,5,13	241:17 246:15	stand-up
122:24	20:24 34:4	spelled	247:16 250:14	110:15
sort	63:15 101:3,5	8:25	252:14 253:13	standard
10:9,18 20:21	128:19 141:21	spend	256:24 257:2	75:20 81:1
25:3 28:18 29:1	172:3,23 173:10	45:21,22 165:15	258:2 260:21	139:12
32:5 37:17	178:25 197:22	spent	261:7,9	standards
44:23 53:6	212:19 214:15	66:22 70:25	Spradley's	113:16
120:16 241:25	219:20 232:7	71:25 240:20	217:18 220:13	standing
sorts	251:22	spirit	222:15	26:4 220:4
17:11 20:20	speakers	33:13 62:11	Spring	standpoint
sought	107:5	127:14 140:12	234:10 245:20,	166:2
13:20 40:4 88:7	speaking	172:11 197:9	22	Stanley
89:4 135:9	101:1 168:21	211:10,13 261:1	Springfield	233:10
149:25 182:24	222:20	spirited	79:1	stanza
207:6 237:10	speaks	220:21	squarely	210:23
soul	26:11 92:16	spiritually	103:1 109:9	star
256:20	special	67:3	stability	167:24 240:8
souls	67:22 77:19	spit	13:4 39:15	stares
218:15	231:4 255:15	117:1	88:20 134:15	219:22

start	197:10 198:24	66:12 130:20	street	strongly
42:4,9 52:1	201:12 211:10	144:18 175:11	154:21 226:22,	79:23 97:15
63:11 65:18	212:22 213:21	200:22 218:14	23	220:10 249:5
70:11 79:25	214:18 218:10	219:1 229:21	strength	struck
97:23 151:9	220:2 230:17	stay	69:21 74:20	156:8
173:25 174:22	233:18 257:20	96:18 119:11	175:22 177:1	structure
200:6 257:2	stated	153:24 242:20	stress	54:16
started	77:15 83:19	stayed	235:5	struggle
9:19 20:20	149:10 202:3,7	162:23	stresses	99:10
43:19 112:15	206:19	steadily	181:1,4	struggled
113:12 133:15	statement	196:10	stressful	24:11
152:5 154:11	7:21 8:7 9:7,8	step	12:16	struggles
162:21 176:5,6	33:3 34:8,19	20:22 21:20	stricken	95:7
178:15 180:16,	35:18,21 63:19	22:1 53:5 81:23	216:16 217:4	struggling
22 181:6 190:22	64:5 65:17	97:14 99:5	strict	93:10
192:7 196:1	72:13 73:15	230:4	81:1	Strut
214:6 235:22	104:2 128:24	stepped	stricter	79:1
257:10 258:12	129:13 130:6,8	228:6	108:19	student
starting	142:2,13 143:15	steps	strictly	73:8,14 184:19
67:1 167:19	144:2 173:15,23	38:15 45:8	83:7	
234:1	174:20,24	stick	strike	students
starts	185:23 197:25	25:18	250:18	67:13 73:5 181:17 182:3
119:16 174:1	198:16 200:7	stocks		185:13,14
state	210:19 213:3,14	238:17	stringent	187:13 188:8
7:18 8:15 20:18	217:21	stood	108:17	
33:23 35:10	statements	230:8,10	strive	studies
36:2 37:7,15,18,	130:12	· ·	12:6 254:8	160:15
20 38:21 42:25	states	stop 91:21 151:25	stroke	studious
43:5,20,22	79:15 122:10	163:22	153:17	196:9
44:16,20 49:18	136:12		Strom	study
51:18 52:20	statewide	stopped 236:5	62:25 117:24,25	8:16 35:4,6
53:21,23 54:6,7,	176:7,10 180:19		120:3 121:10	61:22 64:13,17
10,18,23 55:10	194:18 195:15	store	136:6,7,24	129:18,21
57:7 62:12	239:10	226:22	160:25 161:1,3,	143:2,6 174:4,7
64:15 69:11	status	story	16 209:7,8,23	177:20 198:23
76:23 127:7	54:4,11	152:3	250:11,13 251:9	199:1 213:19,23
129:19 132:7	statute	straight	strong	studying
133:12,13,22	89:12	200:8	69:24 151:16	134:6
136:18,21	statutorily	straightforward	219:6 231:16	stuff
137:10 140:5	106:14	101:12	249:10	20:5 28:17,19
143:4 156:19	statutory	strange	strongest	29:25 54:4
164:13 172:11	9:25 36:10	223:19	165:9	164:4 177:24
174:5 178:6				194:20 236:2,4

259:8	successful	156:9	161:22 176:22	systems
sub-paragraph	44:15 45:21	support	181:17 186:14	43:14 86:6
92:3	120:17 152:7	26:3 80:10	187:12,14	
subject	168:1 258:18	179:24 203:21	201:21 231:12	
92:22 109:4	successfully	supported	242:5	
115:19 186:14	160:19	99:2 112:3	suspect	tailgate
submit	Sue	supporting	110:4 203:5	79:2
33:7 133:2,4	169:1	80:19,20 216:17	243:14	takes
248:25 249:2	sufficient	217:14 225:17	suspended	112:25 208:15
submitted	215:9	supports	160:12	240:16 260:25
87:18 88:4	suggest	78:1 80:16	suspicion	taking
93:15 131:3	48:22 238:20	220:10	172:13	9:12 12:20
184:6 187:12	239:14	supposed	swayed	73:23 103:6,22
189:16 217:13	suggested	86:7 98:12	252:9	110:4 138:4
submitting	27:13	122:3 124:22	Swerling	139:3 180:1
13:15,19 39:24	suggestions	153:21 255:19,	161:7 170:3	196:10 203:3
40:3 88:24 89:3	126:18	20	210:5	247:9
135:4,8 149:20,	suing	Supreme	switch	tale
24 182:19,23	228:19	139:16	108:8 109:18	260:18
207:1,5 237:5,9	suited	surprised	switching	talent
subpoena	27:6	167:16 193:22,	110:8	33:4
222:5,6,16	suits	23	sworn	talk
223:12,13	164:4 196:13	surprises	7:13,21 8:7	28:24 50:11
subpoenaed	sum	22:16	34:3,8,19 63:14,	56:17,21 57:1
229:2,4	210:6	survey	19 64:5 128:18,	73:19 74:12
subsequent	summarize	8:13 11:17	23 129:13	85:24 90:13
66:5	91:1	26:20 35:3 38:1	141:20 142:2,13	94:3,24 100:5
substance	summary	64:13 69:18,20	173:9,15,23	101:15 107:7
82:23	87:8 134:21	70:9,17,19	197:21,25	133:1 145:11 165:23 188:4
substantial	220:12,25	75:11 78:17	198:5,16 212:18	199:13 247:10
45:23 104:13,23	summation	96:21 129:17	213:3,14 214:14	252:21 258:16
subsumed	93:25	131:13 143:2	sympathetic	
243:20	summer	146:1 174:3	47:23	talked 32:2 55:20
succeed	67:9 176:4	176:23 198:22	system	102:1,7 117:15
71:16	Super	201:22 208:10	11:11 37:3	120:8 121:25
succeeding	142:24	213:19 231:13	44:16 49:18,19,	120.8 121.23
166:3	superior	238:25 242:14	22 52:20,21	125:22 159:16
success	77:22 176:25	243:1 247:25	54:11 57:3,14	186:21 187:7
97:13	superiority	surveys	60:23 119:22	192:13,19,23
successes	65:11	11:16 22:15	220:2 242:6,18	240:13 254:11
157:25	superlative	37:24 69:17	243:19	258:13,23
	superiauve	131:12 145:24		

			1	1
talking	185:12,21,24	tempered	128:20 141:22	there'd
16:11 20:11	teacher	180:11	173:11 197:23	137:17
22:15 57:2,7	185:1 188:15	tempting	212:20 214:16	thereof
71:22 72:17	teaching	26:21	testify	41:23
77:7,9 100:19,	181:14 185:4,18	ten	64:21 129:25	there's
20 110:10,11,13	187:4 188:7	70:24 80:3,4	143:10 174:10	209:16 221:3
112:15,16	team	117:19 121:23	199:6 214:1,2	222:4 235:8
148:22 151:9	218:8 255:20	132:5 233:3	217:17	241:22 244:14
159:2 162:5	technical	244:16	testimony	248:14 254:13,
185:2 222:22	69:8	tension	9:22 36:7 66:9	20,21 255:6,11
238:14 240:21	Technically	43:12 94:11	130:16 144:15	256:8
247:22 257:14	30:24	203:10,12 242:2	175:8 200:19	Theta
258:14,15	teeth	tensions	229:18 242:19	93:18
talks	203:11	43:23	Tetterton	they're
19:4	telling	tenure	32:1	239:15
taller	18:6 24:14	59:15	texted	they've
65:10	86:15 108:6	term	85:14	260:15
task	109:21 118:10	60:4 81:4	thankful	thin
218:11,18	140:1 154:6	120:19 178:19	43:19	98:20
244:22	155:10,24	236:6 257:13	Thankfully	thing
taught	, ,	terms	12:4	11:7 21:11
20:3 76:1 220:6	temper	8:10 18:10	thanking	23:16 25:2
tax	25:10	23:13 24:22	257:3	26:23 36:22
38:21 39:2	temperament	37:7 43:24		44:20 46:22
205:14,21	11:23 13:5	44:25 45:5,8,16	That'll	48:4,13 50:4
taxes	31:17 38:4	48:6 49:15 69:6	143:24	51:7 58:25
38:21	39:12 56:18	74:9 82:17	that's	59:10 71:20
Taylor	60:6 77:14	105:20 107:7	204:2,4 205:24	107:2 108:13
33:25 34:3,10,	88:17 97:5	116:11 123:5	221:18 222:10	111:2 114:13
13,21,24 35:15,	131:23 132:7,9,	167:23 191:24	223:23 228:7,	119:2 151:22
23 36:4,5,9,15	14,15 134:19	227:21 239:10	10,23 229:10	153:16 154:23,
37:10,23 38:18	146:5,8 149:10,	240:7	233:20,21	25 157:22 188:5
39:7,9,18,19,24	13 161:11	terrible	234:14 235:4	191:19 192:21
41:7 42:24 46:3	166:22,24	186:14	236:6 242:20	210:23 211:4
50:21 53:11	179:6,7,14,16,		244:17 245:4,24	225:21 227:4
56:11 58:9,20	18 180:15,18	test	249:15,17,18	232:1 239:18
59:22 60:10	181:8 182:2,11	82:2	250:22 252:7,	249:3,7 255:23
61:7,13,17 62:3,	186:10 188:17	tested	20,21 254:14	things
17,19,20,23	202:6 206:15	16:8	255:8,14 256:22	10:18,22 15:1,
teach	234:18,20	testified	259:3,4,6,25	24,25 19:19,21
179:19,20	236:18 239:1,4	155:7	theme	20:19 21:25
181:9,23 182:3	242:17 243:5,7	testifies	105:13	25:15 30:21
101.9,43 104.3	245:16 248:13	7:15 34:5 63:16		23.13 30.21

32:3 36:24	58:21 73:16	29:20 32:21	45:1 56:16	188:14 194:24
37:22 42:11	77:21 80:2	39:6 41:11 43:9	57:24 78:9	217:11
43:25 47:17	106:7 108:23	45:2,21,22 46:1	101:13 104:21	Tommy
48:7,19 49:3	109:11 113:5	47:5 48:6,7	168:7,23 174:17	190:12
51:13 53:24	122:25 125:2	51:14 52:16,19,	190:3 224:10	tone
54:5 61:22	138:15 147:13	22 56:23 57:25	240:12 243:24	12:10
72:11 77:2	170:25 175:3	58:3,15 60:17	251:14	tonight
95:15 96:17	179:16 180:18	66:22 67:11	timing	247:5
97:2 98:15 99:9	187:25 190:12	69:5 78:2 80:2,	56:23 192:17	top
101:16 103:17	191:2 197:1	23 84:12,13,17	195:1	83:6 110:16
108:4 110:18	208:11 209:21	88:10 97:10	Title	125:18 126:12
111:19,23 117:8	210:23 239:21	104:22 106:17	68:23	topic
122:14,23	240:11 241:3	112:7,25 114:23	today	32:10
125:17 134:3,7	249:13 252:10	115:3 116:5	14:13,16 35:16,	
138:6 145:21	259:6 260:1	117:2 125:25	24 40:25 78:4	topics 67:15
148:19 153:6	thoughtful	128:1 134:9	82:16 88:8 90:2	
155:23 157:11	240:15	138:13,22	128:4 136:4	totally
158:20,24,25	thoughts	139:11 140:19,	150:21 167:23	132:15 153:22
161:24 162:19	43:10,15 54:1	23 159:3 161:13	174:13 183:20	205:9
163:23 164:17	253:18	162:16 165:15	190:2 193:21	touch
165:4 167:22	thousands	166:4 170:18	200:4 202:20	30:21 31:10,11
181:4,21 187:3	72:3 175:23	173:1 177:19	208:2,9 217:3	61:2 248:7
195:11 196:25	176:7	178:11 180:22	218:1 220:5	touched
227:12 230:13,	threshold	182:13 184:2	221:19 226:13	70:3 232:2
24 231:1 235:22	70:11	185:7 186:4	238:7 241:17	248:5
240:22 242:20,	throw	188:23 191:1,	254:9 256:13	touches
21 243:12,24	247:24	13,21 192:20	261:11	234:24
249:2 255:1	throwing	195:13 200:10	today's	touchpoints
256:15	111:20	201:18 205:7,18	17:12	188:21
thinking	thrown	206:1 213:8	today's	tough
37:10 65:12	31:23	215:16 218:2	217:6 261:12	19:16 37:5
106:20 112:19		220:1,19 225:4,	Todd	195:12 251:16
249:8 259:21	ticket	16 227:19	31:4 52:24	touring
thinks	155:2,5	232:10 238:24	token	60:20
93:13 118:16	tickets	240:20 248:15	111:12 139:18	town
227:12 235:5,6	92:11	251:13,20	254:19	20:16 142:21
249:20	TILT	253:24 258:23	told	155:1 226:21
Third-year	112:3	timely	26:8 42:15	230:25 234:10,
185:14	time	38:22 69:11	112:2,6,8	12,14
Thirteen	9:12 10:12,21	times	118:25 126:1	towns
69:25	14:24 15:6	12:19 16:7,23	138:10,13	230:11 231:4
thought	16:22 20:22	17:12,25 18:2	157:13 187:14	track
24:21 51:16	21:9 22:2 27:8	31:14,15,25	157.15 107.14	

96:18	171:3,19,25	tricks	turning	229:1,5 254:1
trails	258:25	185:20	118:8	un-mention
172:16	treating	trigger	TV	60:15
trained	258:7	56:3	138:14	unable
109:5,6,7	treatment	troubled	Twenty	157:19
training	77:20 248:8,17	256:4	102:18	unambiguous
37:3 106:14	treats	troubles	Twenty-plus	92:17
157:16	168:6	107:2 255:16	44:18	unbiased
transcript	tremendous	true	twin	69:15
31:16 222:11,13	11:19 138:3	84:20 109:21	192:11	uncomfortable
transfer	trends	115:8 171:13	twins	101:14
178:13,16,17,18	239:1	215:1 221:16	154:5	uncommon
179:2,3	Trey	236:1 241:5	two-year	193:2
transition	143:16	242:24 246:14	158:17	undeniable
138:1 192:2	trial	255:7	type	244:3
transitioning	44:19 58:22	trumps	19:15 36:21	undergo
80:23 82:6	70:6 113:18,25	44:13	44:20 54:11	247:7
138:6	131:6 145:5,7,	Trussel	60:6 105:3,11	undergrad
translate	17,18,20 146:7	199:16,21	235:25	52:9
52:7	147:10,13,16,24	trust	types	undergraduate
transparency	151:19 152:11	195:22 220:8	28:24 76:24	162:1 163:3
86:19	155:1,4 165:2,	232:16 235:3	122:13 175:24	underlying
transparent	10 193:5,16	trusted	195:9,11,18	228:12
88:7 99:12	204:15,16	218:24	250:7	
transpired	210:11 230:9	trustworthiness	typically	understand
100:3	trials	74:20	251:21	14:8 16:9,10
Trask	10:24 11:2 23:5,	truth		17:12,17,25 19:9 40:17
9:20,21 10:4	7,9,14 147:18	7:14,15 34:4,5	U	41:15 43:3,23
12:24 14:12	148:23,24,25	63:15,16		44:14,17,24
33:7	162:17 178:22	128:19,20	U.S.	45:4,15 48:19
travel	193:7,14,15	141:21,22 148:3	49:17 131:6	52:4,5 71:5
128:5 141:2	194:1,4,6	155:10 173:10,	136:10	89:19,22 90:22
201:12	tribunal	11 197:22,23	Uh-huh	91:13 93:21
	222:18,20	212:19,20	47:4 52:11	95:11 104:15
traveled	Tricia	214:15,16 220:6	53:25 54:20	105:24,25
211:1,25 212:1	84:23,25	221:18	UIM	108:11,16
treat 165:12,17	trick	turn	228:12,18 229:3	111:12 116:3,25
170:23 181:22	94:5	9:9,20 35:19	ultimate	126:3 127:21
249:11 254:4	trickery	130:9 214:10	12:23	135:22 140:20
	221:16	turned	ultimately	145:6 148:1,17
treated 68:2 113:20,21	trickled	235:22	12:21 67:19	150:13 151:22
00.4 115.20,41	23:4		94:8 219:18	165:20 166:3,
	I	I	<u> </u>	1

22.25.101.4.0	•	44	72.21.122.6	
23,25 181:4,8 183:12 186:13	uniqueness 117:11	utmost 132:3	73:21 122:6 166:19	video 155:11,12
194:11 197:12				ĺ ´
202:15 205:10	United	UVA	vet	view
207:19 211:6,15	122:9 136:12	80:7	129:15 142:25	11:10 25:19
215:5,11 217:2	unity		213:17	99:1 127:15
221:8 237:23	25:24	V	veteran	140:13 163:23
244:8,21 246:19	University	vocant	103:7,8	184:24 238:23
248:4 250:19	73:8 107:16	vacant 192:18	veterans	251:18 252:9
252:13 254:17	unkind		69:24 122:22	viewed
258:8 259:7	77:23	valid	veterans'	152:19
	unlike	146:13	103:7	vigor
understanding 43:13 47:24	85:9	valuable	vetters	74:20
48:20 75:6	unnoticed	36:1	34:25	violate
79:21,25 84:2,7,	209:2	variable	vice	79:23 83:10
16 87:13 93:12,	unpack	242:3	46:22 69:6	violating
16 94:1,2 95:9,	111:21	varied	83:23 90:4,9,23	104:18
23,24 108:12,	unpleasant	201:7,11	95:22 96:11,13	violation
21,22 125:20	219:25	variety	97:22 98:2	33:11,12 62:10
understood	unqualified	122:13	99:16,21,22	127:15 140:13
48:5 82:22	243:1,3,4,8	vast	104:7 106:22,25	197:9 211:12,13
94:20,22 104:11	unquote	88:21	116:12 117:24,	261:2
105:9 124:6	134:21	vein	25 120:3,4,5	violence
151:23 172:15	unresolved	132:23 143:10	121:16 125:13	178:22,23
undertaken	242:2	167:23 199:6	126:6,22,24	Virginia
189:13 218:11	unsatisfiable	verbal	127:1,5,24	80:5
	184:23	197:11 211:20	136:6,7,24,25	virtue
unethical	Update	verdict	137:3 139:22	258:4
166:6 233:6 234:8 239:25	20:25	10:24,25 22:22,	140:3,22 141:1,	visiting
234.8 239.23	uphold	25 23:2 72:3	4 156:3,5,21	199:24
	74:1	verdicts	189:8 191:4	vital
unfamiliar 192:12		23:6,8	209:5 216:3	117:16
	upholding 67:22	Verdin	247:21 251:14	voice
unfit		240:18	victim	59:8
19:11	upset 165:25 166:4	verification	160:2 171:9	volume
unfortunate	226:17	8:14 35:9 64:14	209:20	54:9 137:14,22
205:7		129:19 143:3	victim's	138:2 177:12,
uniform	urge	174:5 198:24	68:1	15,18,22 195:6
80:12	221:4	213:20	victimless	196:3
unique	USC's	version	159:25 160:2	voluntarily
37:16,21 114:10	79:3	126:12,17	victims	179:1
uniquely	utilize	versus	77:1 204:5	volunteer
230:8	22:1	54:10 55:22	209:19	20:15,17 232:13

	1			
235:1	wake	wasn't	weekend	163:17 165:4
volunteered	28:10	203:4,9 205:25	125:24	172:11
21:23 178:17,21	walk	236:1 249:8	weeks	whup
volunteering	34:22 82:24	250:20,21,24	9:14 139:17	58:3
196:15	83:1 98:19	254:16 255:12	weigh	Why'd
vote	101:20	waste	31:12 49:1	51:7
79:21 93:23	walked	88:10 248:15	252:6	wide
94:9,11,13,15,	107:14 119:7	watch	weighed	230:24
17 95:10,18	wall	48:12 158:12	61:4	wife
101:25 105:17,	144:6,9 219:23	187:22 260:14	weight	19:7 35:15
20,21 120:23	Walpole	watched	127:17 140:14	38:20 39:2 53:4
122:7,8 123:12,	144:4,6,8,11,14,	22:17 133:25	181:3	64:25 65:7
13 125:4	22 149:2 150:17	147:4	welfare	93:16 100:9
voted	wanted	watching	245:25	103:22 107:17,
73:11 234:25	10:7,11 19:16	138:14 147:9,10	well-	19 130:2 138:10
voter	26:17 27:14	ways	231:18	155:3 189:3,5
94:4 95:13	85:16 86:12,13	15:3 255:23	well-dressed	203:19 206:1,5
120:9	87:14 88:8	258:9	78:5	214:7 238:13
voters	95:21 96:22,25	weakness	well-rounded	Wilkinson
75:11 101:2	99:13 111:5	134:4,5	11:10 131:9	130:9,14,15,23
106:9	113:24 117:1	wear	we'll	134:11 136:1
votes	126:4 153:15,19	99:5 234:10	247:10 251:25	William
7:8 63:5 212:12	157:14 162:19	Webb	256:7	128:12,14,18
261:20	163:7 164:7	36:3,4,6,14 39:7	we're	131:21 139:14,
voting	189:12 190:17	40:21 59:24	202:22 210:5	23,25 140:2,3
70:21 123:5,9,	196:5,7,8 208:8,	216:8,10 225:2,	212:5 226:20	Williams
11,15	25 209:1 229:4	9 227:18	253:19 254:6,7,	63:7,8,9,10,14,
	230:1,18 260:12	229:15,17	23 255:2,13,19,	21 64:8,24,25
	wanting	236:13 238:3	20,21 256:1,12	65:2,6,9,14,15,
————	77:4 258:23	Webex	257:4	16,21 66:7,11,
Wade	warm	222:14	we've	17 69:17 77:12
152:6,7	18:22	webinars	210:16 211:4	79:11 83:11,14,
wages	warrant	134:2	213:7 223:8,18,	18 84:2 88:24
39:1	172:14 178:11	website	20 226:18 248:5	90:7 96:2,3
wait	261:3	81:8,9 93:2	253:23 254:4	97:5,7 106:22,
163:13	warranting	106:19	259:12	24 107:18
waive	211:14	websites	whatsoever	110:15 117:23
126:2 130:12	warrants	235:23,24	61:14	120:2 121:15
waived	72:3 178:8	week	what's	125:11,16,22
125:21	Washington	10:20 83:13	252:20 257:24	126:10,23,25
waiving	154:5	98:5 178:2	white	127:4,23 128:2,
143:19		257:13	49:25 99:6	3,7
113.17		237.13	+7.43 J3.0	
	1	I	1	I

				07.7.40.7
Williamsburg	wolf	101:19 112:8	50:2 93:14	87:5 249:5
204:16	29:3 49:6	119:20 131:8	145:7 148:1	writer
willingness	Wolpole	132:21 133:17,	233:16	61:5
73:22 169:4	143:17	18 134:5	world	Writes
win	woman	136:16,20,21	25:18 28:15,16	27:2
28:16 185:24	154:4 232:11	137:13 138:8,22	146:14 157:10	writing
wind	246:11	153:21 157:24	162:12 203:13	10:18
125:17	women	158:18 159:9,21	209:12 238:15	written
window	101:2 106:8	192:15,16 193:5	256:5	11:22 38:4
155:13	164:9 219:24	196:15 202:19	worried	69:13,25 71:18
windup	248:8,10,14,17	203:25 205:4	203:11	132:5 146:8
242:11	249:10,11 250:5	218:13,19 227:4	worry	202:11 225:13
Winner's	wondered	230:12 231:6,7,	53:17 118:17	227:6 231:19
162:2	215:21	18 232:25	120:18	wrong
winnowing	wonderful	233:25	worrying	126:15 136:19
166:20	11:21 61:8	worked	245:22	151:10 158:20,
wiretap	103:23 254:25	37:15 43:18	worse	21 166:6 241:3
51:6	wondering	73:19 136:10,11	169:23	256:15
wit	15:13 186:5	146:22 148:8	worst	wrongfully
27:5	wonky	156:16 162:21	111:10 171:22	152:15
withdrew	24:8	164:1 171:12	worth	wrote
108:1	won't	192:17 195:1	47:2	21:16 113:17
	258:16	205:6 209:20	worthiness	170:6,7,16
Witherspoon 128:10,12,14,	woo	227:1	218:17	188:22 208:18
18,22 129:1,4,	24:5	worker	worthy	241:11
14 130:2,11,19,	wood	208:19	239:12	
24 131:11,19,22	116:11 210:25	workers	would've	Y
132:8 134:13,	word	68:6	148:13,16	
16,21,23 136:3,	43:6 85:4 86:16	Workers'	152:24 162:23	y'all
9,23 137:2,5	131:20 162:13,	11:7	167:2 188:16	108:19 165:3
139:25 140:1,3,	14 163:4 226:20	working	wouldn't	172:18 174:18
21,25 141:3	words	15:22,23 43:22	234:10	175:20 176:8
Witherspoon's	60:14 132:8	47:25 48:1,5	wrapping	179:19 181:20
130:17	work	56:20 97:9	195:12	185:7 188:14
witnessed		113:12 138:2	wreck	191:7 192:10
23:18 24:20	17:15 20:15,23 25:4 39:17	149:12 154:10	194:19 231:5	197:2
124:10		201:25 204:2		year
	44:12 45:4,11 47:3 56:22,25	210:9 238:15	wrestling	8:24 12:2 20:25
witnesses	60:23 61:20	workload	94:9	32:1 52:22
64:20 74:17	71:3 73:20,22,	179:1	Wright's	80:22 84:8
129:24 143:9	24 75:6,8,17	works	82:17	106:16 108:2
174:10	85:25 86:7	9:6 37:1 48:6	write	238:16,19 240:9
	05.25 00.7			
				1

245:23	17:14,20,23	68:7	
year-and-a-half	18:21 28:8,12	zone	
158:16	76:10 154:20	68:19 103:16	
year-old-people	161:7 181:10	zones	
20:12	204:16 250:5	103:14	
years	younger	Zoom	
10:2,19,20	28:6 95:2,7	112:2 222:13	
22:10 36:12,17,	151:24	112.2 222.13	
25 38:21 44:18	youngest		
47:10 52:20	238:15		
57:4,14,16	you'd		
60:18 65:1	199:8 200:5,7		
66:13 69:2	205:23 210:19		
70:23,24 71:25	221:3 256:25		
80:3,4 102:18	you'll		
106:15 130:21	198:3 214:11		
133:14 136:10	257:23		
142:17 144:20	you're		
145:2,4 152:2	199:9 209:3,21		
153:10,23,25	224:13 235:11		
162:22 167:2,3,	239:8 249:19		
6 171:11 175:12	256:10,11		
180:17 188:11	257:16,17,21		
192:12 193:19	259:2		
195:12 200:23	you've		
201:15 208:12	210:3 214:3		
210:6 229:23	217:13 240:5		
232:10,11,20,24	241:21,25		
233:1,5 236:2	243:24 244:1		
241:18 245:13,	246:22 247:9		
14 246:11 253:9,25 258:5	254:25 259:8		
i i	y'all		
yelled 59:17 180:21	209:19 214:9		
	255:9,21,22		
yelling	257:4,19,20		
154:17			
yeoman's	\mathbf{Z}		
218:19			
yesterday	zealous		
17:7 22:10 117:20 187:8	68:3 202:2 246:21		
young	zealously		
	*	•	•